

Pleasant Grove City Council Minutes
March 16, 2010
6:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Bruce W. Call

Council Members:

Cindy Boyd

Val Danklef

Lee G. Jensen

Kim Robinson

Jeffrey D. Wilson

Excused:

Tom Paul, Police Chief

Marc Sanderson, Fire Chief

City Recorder:

Kathy T. Kresser

Colleen A. Mulvey, Deputy City Recorder

Others:

Scott Darrington, City Administrator

Dean Lundell, Finance Director

Tina Petersen, City Attorney

Ken Young, Comm. Dev. Director

Richard Bradford, Economic Dev. Director

Deon Giles, Leis. Services Director

Lynn Walker, Public Works Director

Karen Bezzant, Treasurer

Libby Flegal, NAB Chairperson

The City Council and staff met at the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Call called roll for the Council and noted that Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, and Robinson were present. Council Member Wilson will be joining the meeting late.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was lead by Boy Scout Brandon Johnson.

3. OPENING REMARKS

Opening Remarks were given by Kurt Jurgens.

4. APPROVAL OF MEETING'S AGENDA

ACTION: Council Member Robinson moved to approve the agenda. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen and Robinson voting, “Aye.”

5. CONSENT AGENDA (Consent items are only those which have been discussed beforehand, are non-controversial and do not require further discussion):

MINUTE REVIEW AND APPROVAL:

- a. City Council and Work Session Minutes:
City Council Minutes for February 2, 2010
City Council Work Session Minutes for February 9, 2010
City Council Minutes for February 16, 2010
- b. To consider approval of Final Payment No. Eight to Vancon Inc., for the Pressure Irrigation Storage Tank – Schedule 7A
- c. To consider approval of Payment No. Five to Vancon Inc., for the Pressure Irrigation Booster Pump Station – Schedule 7B
- d. To consider approval of paid vouchers (March 9, 2010)

Council Member Danklef remarked that on the paid vouchers, on pages five and six it looks like we are being double billed for computer support, there is an entry for Administrative Services and one for the Police Department that look like duplicates and that he thinks that we ought to review that before we approve it. Finance Director Lundell stated that he will research it and get it back to the Council.

Mayor Call said that we will exclude that item from the motion and then asked if there were any other questions, there were none.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to approve the consent agenda items, excluding the computer support charges to the Police Department and Administrative Services. Council Member Danklef seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen and Robinson voting “Aye.”

6. OPEN SESSION

Mayor Call explained to those present that if an item was not a public hearing or not on the agenda, they were welcome to come forward and address the Council.

Roselle Harney, Pleasant Grove Library Board member came forward and stated that she is here tonight to inform everyone of what is going on at the Library. Coming up in the beginning of April is the “Food for Fines” program, where when people owe money to the Library, we will allow them to donate food items for the Food Bank and this year we have decided to extend this program through the entire month of April instead of only the first two weeks. Other upcoming events will be a gardening class on the 17th, a cyber safety seminar on the 25th and we’ve started a new class being held once a week called “Savvy Deal,” where you can learn about ways to save money.

Candy Mylroie, 497 North 1300 West came forward and said that she has been following the progress on the City's recycling project and that she hasn't seen anything happening with it lately and asked if it is going to happen soon.

Mayor Call stated that it sure is, the City Council has taken all of the action that we needed to make that happen and that the company we have contracted with has all the information they need for all of the residents involved and they are in the process of purchasing the recycling totes that should be delivered in time to start the recycling program in June.

Kurt Jurgens, 1340 East 150 North came forward and said that on the agenda tonight the Council is going to discuss the permitting of accessory apartments and that he would like to invite the Council to expand the creation of the ordinance from just the staff to also include citizens, contractors and interested parties who have experience with accessory apartments, so that you have a more successful and positive experience, much like you had with the tower/antenna issue with the HAM radio operators.

Mayor Call asked if there was anyone else who wished to come forward and address the Council at this time, there was not. The Mayor then closed the meeting to the public.

7. NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY BOARD REPORT

Chairperson Libby Flegal stated that there was nothing to report at this time.

8. BUSINESS

A. TO CONSIDER APPOINTING VERONICA CHAPMAN TO THE DOWNTOWN ADVISORY BOARD (DAB)

Director Bradford stated that Veronica Chapman has been very proactive on Main Street and has appeared before the DAB several times and she is really very anxious to get some things done. The DAB is recommending that the Council consider appointing her as a member of their Board of Directors.

Mayor Call stated that it's his understanding that the reason we are considering this is because she becomes a voting member of the DAB. They are welcome to create any committees if they want to and that she is going to head the Marketing on Main Committee. The Mayor then asked if there were any questions, there were none.

ACTION: Council Member Boyd moved to approve appointing Veronica Chapman to the Downtown Advisory Board. Council Member Danklef seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen and Robinson voting "Aye."

B. TO CONSIDER AND TAKE ACTION ON WHETHER OR NOT THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE STAFF TO CREATE AN ORDINANCE PERMITTING ACCESSORY APARTMENTS (CITY WIDE IMPACT)

Mayor Call explained to review for the public, that this has been a topic of discussion for several months and at least two different surveys among the citizens. The Mayor stated that the purpose tonight, the action that the Council will take is to simply answer the question of, will Pleasant Grove City allow accessory apartments. Mayor Call said that for the purpose of the question, we define accessory apartments as: "An area within a single family residential property that is rented out to non-family for living space." The Mayor said to also make clear, that most citizens at least want some kind of clarification or explanation or definition, so if the Council were to say "no, we are not going to have accessory apartments," we are not going to drop it. The Council is then responsible to provide direction to staff for amplifying or defining, or a better explanation of our stance on accessory apartments. We will provide direction to staff for the crafting of an ordinance and will also include Mr. Jurgens' earlier suggestion to involve some citizens as well.

Mayor Call said that at last week's meeting while discussing this we came up with a list of reasons to allow accessory apartments and a list of reasons to disallow accessory apartments. The Mayor then reviewed the lists: **Reasons to allow accessory apartments:** it provides additional income for homeowners; it's already being done so let's legalize it; it provides a unique tier of affordable housing, in other words an apartment but in a neighborhood rather than in an apartment complex; it's neighborhood friendly for the renter; it provides security for elderly homeowners; it increases the overall population of the city which is good for businesses; it promotes wise land use by increasing population density; it offers flexibility in living situations; it increases property values for homeowners who have accessory apartments and want to rent them. **Reasons for disallowing accessory apartments:** it promotes excessive population density by going beyond existing zoning; it creates parking issues; it undermines the zoning ordinance, in effect making no such thing as a residential single family zone anymore; it creates fire and public safety problems; it puts a burden on public services; it show disrespect for non-renting homeowners in a residential single family zone; it encourages people to live beyond their means; it benefits some people but not the community as a whole; it warps the school district's formula for forecasting needs; the City collects less per family in property taxes and impact fees; it decreases property values for neighbors.

Mayor Call stated that we have discussed this a lot and asked if there was any new or additional discussion before we take a vote.

Council Member Danklef commented that a neighbor of his referred him to the RUDAT (Regional Urban Design Assistance Team) report that was done in 2006, because he was one of the volunteers who helped collect some of the data. They indicated to him that we had a beautiful neighborhood city but that it was unfortunate that we didn't have enough affordable housing so as our children grow into adults they have to leave the community because there is nowhere to live. Council Member Danklef stated that he did look at the report and did find a couple of things that would support what he said, one was on affordable housing that should be distributed throughout the region to match job opportunities and to avoid concentrations of poverty and another that said within neighborhoods a range of housing types and price levels should be provided to accommodate diverse households, ages, and incomes. Council Member Danklef said that he thought that he would point out that these professionals that came in were aware of the problem that we are addressing and felt that there ought to be some accommodation for it.

Mayor Call stated that he does not remember exactly that in 2006, at that point if we met our requirement, but currently as it stands without accessory apartments we do meet State requirements for affordable housing.

Director Young said that he feels there is an assumption that there is an existing amount of apartments but that it was never quantified and that assumption played into the affordable housing scenario that is provided here in Pleasant Grove. Standing on its own, known apartments and rental units does barely meet the qualifications for affordable housing but again, the non-quantifiable existence of accessory apartments has played into that.

Mayor Call said to clarify that what he is saying is that we think that we meet the affordable housing requirement and asked if it is a State requirement.

Director Young said that it is it is a requirement that we have a moderate income housing element in our plan and that we have goals working toward providing that in our community. With the information on the numbers that we have of known legal rental units, we do meet the threshold, but if there is any questions of our ability to continue to provide that, that unquantifiable amount of existing accessory apartments in the community makes that padding there.

Council Member Boyd remarked that that RUDAT report was done four years ago and at that point we didn't have as many multi-family condominiums in the City. Council Member Boyd added that she received some information from a citizen who had done some research on the occupancy of our existing multi-family units and found that the occupancy rate was at sixty to seventy percent, so the vacancy on our existing units is not even at one hundred percent.

Director Young wanted to add that four years ago all of the new existing units in the Grove area were built at that time, so RUDAT would have taken that into account.

Mayor Call stated that Council Member Boyd made a good point that we are not at one hundred percent occupancy in our legal apartments. Mayor Call asked if there was any other discussion, there was none.

The Mayor then asked Attorney Petersen that on the answer to this question on accessory apartments, does it have to take the form of a motion. Attorney Petersen stated that the way we structured it on the agenda, it sounded like we were going to make the motion and suggests that the motion could either be a motion to direct staff to proceed with submitting the ordinance to permit accessory apartments and regulating their use, or a motion to have the existing zoning ordinance to remain unchanged and direct staff to submit a proposed plan for enforcing the existing ordinance.

Mayor Call asked again if there was any further discussion, there was none. The Mayor then asked for a motion.

ACTION: Council Member Boyd moved to have the existing zoning ordinance to remain unchanged and direct staff to look at defining what we currently have and include a plan for enforcing the existing ordinance. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed three

to one with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, and Robinson voting “Aye” and Council Member Danklef voting “No.”

Mayor Call stated that the motion passes; in effect we are saying that we are not allowing accessory apartments but we will now work with staff to define, amplify and further explain our position on accessory apartments.

Director Young stated that beyond that, one request that he has right now that is very crucial is if they should immediately go out and enforce this, or do you want us to take a period of time to amortize some of the existing situations, do you want to kick people out next month or within the next two years, what is the direction. Director Young stated that he is going to be dealing with a lot of serious situations and would like to know how serious the Council wants this taken right now.

Mayor Call stated that part of the motion was to talk about enforcement and we will provide guidelines to staff for enforcement, therefore until you get those guidelines and until we work together to define that, don't do anything.

Director Young stated that he does not want this hanging out there forever, and could we schedule this discussion soon.

Mayor Call stated that we will put this on for discussion at our next meeting, at the work session meeting on the 30th.

Council Member Wilson arrived at the meeting.

C. TO CONSIDER A RESOLUTION (2010-013) AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN AN AGREEMENT WITH CAPITOL PROJECT PARTNERS (CAPPA) WHICH WILL ALLOW CAPPA TO SERVE AS PLEASANT GROVE CITY'S WASHINGTON REPRESENTATIVE AND PROVIDE STRATEGIC ADVICE AND COUNSEL ON FEDERAL GOVERNMENTAL RELATION ISSUES AS DIRECTED BY PLEASANT GROVE CITY AND ASSIST IN MANAGING THE ENERGY INDEPENDENT COMMUNITY PROJECT (CITY WIDE IMPACT)

Administrator Darrington stated that we have an agreement with our consultants back in Washington D.C. to help us get Federal appropriations for projects that we are working on here in the city. This agreement outlines what the pay will be to them and we need to ratify what we have been paying them since September of 2009 and adopt the agreement.

Council Member Jensen stated that he is concerned that this increase that we are paying these consultants never came before the Council for approval and from a parliamentary and legal perspective is the City bound by what had taken place last September as far as pay goes.

Attorney Petersen stated that it should have come before the Council for approval, the existing contract was expired and should have been up for renewal and terms of negotiation would have taken place at that renewal. Attorney Petersen said that she cannot answer the question as to why it did not come forward, and the best information that she has is that a former staff member

directed the accounts payable person to begin paying the new amount and in terms of whether or not the City is obligated to pay that new amount, it has already been paid from September to the present. We are not going to go back and ask for a refund however, if the Council does not want to pay that amount going forward then that is certainly something you can talk about at this point because this is the new contract and it provides for the new payment amount.

Council Member Boyd asked if the contract will extend from September or from tonight. Administrator Darrington said from September, it is a two year contract to September 2011.

Attorney Petersen added that the CAPPAs representatives did sign the contract in September and submitted it to the city for signature and it just was never brought forward in a timely manner so as far as they were concerned they have been operating under this new contract since last September when they executed the document. Administrator Darrington added that they have been operating in good faith.

Mayor Call asked if there were any other questions or discussion, there was none. The Mayor then read the Resolution and asked for a motion.

ACTION: Council Member Boyd moved to Resolution 2010-013, authorizing the Mayor to sign an agreement with Capitol Project Partners (CAPPAs) which will allow CAPPAs to serve as Pleasant Grove City's Washington representative and provide strategic advice and counsel on Federal Governmental relations issues as directed by Pleasant Grove City and assist in managing the Energy Independent Community Project. Council Member Danklef seconded and the motion passed four to one with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Robinson and Wilson voting "Aye" and Council Member Jensen voting "No."

D. TO DISCUSS FOR APPROVAL RESOLUTION (2010-014) AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH UTAH COUNTY AND PLEASANT GROVE CITY, OREM CITY, LINDON CITY, TOWN OF CEDAR HILLS, AMERICAN FORK CITY, HIGHLAND CITY AND LEHI CITY FOR THE PROPOSED PROVO RESERVOIR CANAL RIGHTS-OF-WAYS TO CONSTRUCT A PUBLIC TRAIL FOR RECREATION PURPOSES OVER THE CANAL AND TO PARTICIPATE WITH THE COUNTY IN FUNDING, CONSTRUCTING, MANAGING, POLICING, MAINTAINING AND USING THE GREENWAY (CITY WIDE IMPACT)

Administrator Darrington stated that in regards to these next two items, on item "D" our issue at this point is one paragraph that is in this agreement that ties the agreement to the utility relocation costs and we have been trying to get a hold of the County to see if they are willing to strike that paragraph in order to adopt that agreement and we have not had confirmation on this yet so we would ask that this item be moved to our first meeting in April.

Administrator Darrington said that item "E" has to do with the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) and CDBG funds and it is a new procedure that MAG is doing to possibly help cities obtain these funds. We are not one hundred percent confident that signing this Interlocal Agreement is a good thing for Pleasant Grove until we have more time to get some more information on this. Administrator Darrington said that we put both of these items on the

agenda hoping that we would have the information by now but we don't, so we are not confident to sign either of these agreements at this point, and we ask that both of them be tabled and moved to our first meeting in April.

Mayor Call asked if there was any discussion on this.

Council Member Jensen said that on item "E" that he does not see any information about potential financial obligations, are there any in this item. Administrator Darrington said that our understanding is that as part of the application process for us to obtain CDBG funds there is a process to go through and this process is going to change, so there is no cost but now our question is, is it going to be easier for us to obtain the funds or is it going to be harder.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to move items "D," to consider approval of Resolution (2010-014) and "E," to consider approval of Resolution (2010-015) to be placed on the agenda for the City Council meeting on April 6, 2010. Council Member Wilson seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting "Aye."

E. TO CONSIDER A RESOLUTION (2010-015) AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT WITH UTAH COUNTY REGARDING PLEASANT GROVE CITY'S PARTICIPATION IN THE CREATION OF AN URBAN COUNTY ENTITY FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING AND ADMINISTERING FUNDS UNDER THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT'S PROGRAM FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2011, 2012 AND 2013 (CITY WIDE IMPACT)

This item was discussed earlier with item "D."

9. STAFF BUSINESS

- Director Young said that tomorrow is the deadline for receiving proposals on the Civic Center and that they will be preparing a rating sheet and would like for staff, the DAB, the Planning Commission and the City Council to all have the opportunity to review a copy of each submittal and rate them.
- Director Giles reported that they will be handing in the demolition permit tomorrow for the old Monson Water Tank, we no longer use it.
- City Recorder Kresser reminded anyone who was planning on attending the Utah League of Cities and Towns conference, that she has the registration forms available. The conference is scheduled for April 7- 9 in St. George.
- Administrator Darrington stated that by law we will need to adopt a tentative budget on May 4th, which is our first meeting in May. In a normal year we would have a budget work session where we would get the budget in front of the Council and talk about the issues and get some decision from you, before we adopt a tentative budget. Administrator

Darrington said that this year it is going to be a little bit different with Director Lundell not starting fulltime until April 12th and we will probably have a “bare bones” budget that needs to be adopted first and then we will hold our budget work session which will probably be a two to three hour meeting depending on the discussion.

Mayor Call asked if the Council preferred to have the budget work session on a Saturday morning or on a Tuesday and we can keep that as the only item on the agenda. The general consensus of the Council was that a Tuesday would work better. Administrator Darrington said that we will try to keep that agenda clean and that will be the May 11th work session meeting when we will really get into the details on the budget, but just so it is understood that there will be a budget to be adopted the week before.

Mayor Call asked if the Council will be given some time to look at things in general. Director Lundell said that it is interesting in the way it is worded in the State law on how we have to do this, it doesn't say adopt a tentative budget, it say tentatively adopt a budget that will change, so whatever we adopt that day is not ever going to be enforced because the final budget is not going to be adopted until the second Council meeting in June. Director Lundell added that we will be getting a document out to Council as soon as we possibly can.

Administrator Darrington stated that we have found out today that our match for the Blue Energy money is a little bit different than what we initially thought, part of this appropriation, the one million dollars from the Federal Government that we knew all along was coming with a match, and the question was how much. We had been told that fifty percent match was a good possibility so we have been bracing for that, and the way that it came back is their match is fifty percent of the total budget and if we have one million dollars of appropriation that means the total budget is two million and our match is one million dollars. Administrator Darrington said that he spoke with our consultants in Washington D.C. and we are working on ways to bring that number down, we are going to appeal to the Department of Energy to see if we can get that match reduced. We are working on in kind donation time, a lot of time has been spent on this that hasn't been compensated and we will use those hours as part of our match and we are really going to focus on some outreach and possibly getting Utah State involved and other partners that have some cash to bring to the project and use that as part of the match. We are still influx on defining the project and we are getting closer, we are meeting with Utah State next week to partner with them and also meeting with the Governor's Office of Economic Development to update them. Administrator Darrington stated that initially this did cause him some alarm but after talking with our CAPPAs consultants and finding out that there are ways that the City is not going to have to come up with one million dollars cash, we will have to come up with some cash but that we are going to figure out ways with partnering and using donated time as well.

Council Member Jensen asked if we have been able to find out whether or not the existing infrastructure that is in place that will take advantage of whatever we do with Blue Energy will count toward our portion. He also stated that in order for it eventually to come to us, it is going to require an outlay of actual cash, and that he thinks it would be appropriate for us to understand what the potential savings in electrical bills to the City

would amount to so that we have some feel for a return on investment on whatever money we are going to invest into Blue Energy.

Administrator Darrington stated that on the existing infrastructure and what could count as a match, we don't know the answer to that it is still being researched. Once we define the project and get the partners involved and get this to a point where we can get it usable, the whole initial idea is at the end of the day, Pleasant Grove is going to be generating some power up there and we are going to use that power either to power our own buildings or sell that power and recoup that cost back to the city.

Administrator Darrington next mentioned the he met with the Provo River Water Users Association (PRWUA) and they gave us a new cost on the utility relocation, the initial cost to us was one point two million dollars and the new cost for us in seven hundred and thirty six thousand dollars. We still have some negotiations to do with them, there are two easements that they don't have and they are both in our city's streets and right now we are verifying all of the locations as well as the licensing agreements, there are still some that we don't feel are our responsibility.

Council Member Danklef asked what constitutes the two easements. Administrator Darrington said that it was Battle Creek Drive and 500 North and Grove Creek, the canal goes underneath the street so in order for them to pipe it they need to have the easement through the street and right now they do not have an easement.

10. MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS

- Council Member Boyd stated that she has a concern from a citizen about how quickly can we decide on the cost for the Manila Culinary water since we are not going to have secondary water above the canal, spring is coming and that she would like to see this resolved within the next month before the real watering season starts.

Administrator Darrington stated that there is the large issue which is that whole situation up there which includes secondary water, sewer water and the Manila Water. Administrator Darrington said that he does not know how it was done in the past if there was a certain amount charged at a different rate because they were using culinary water or if they are paying the same rate regardless. Council Member Boyd said that it was the same rate and we get charged wherever we use it since we are not going to get secondary water, and we discussed and suggested that since we are not getting secondary that we pay the flat rate as the rest of the citizens, but the water would be coming from culinary from the Manila Water.

Mayor Call mentioned that as a little history on that, the rate got raised and it surprised a lot of citizens and we temporarily brought the rates back to where they were and we haven't moved forward off of that.

Administrator Darrington said that his understanding is that those rates are lower than the Pleasant Grove rates, so in order to bring this all together because this is probably going to be a pretty large discussion, it might not be resolved in time to give people specific

direction with water this year other than secondary water is not going to be available to them.

Council Member Boyd next mentioned that on the recycling that she has heard from a citizen who is involved a lot with the schools, that a lot of younger people who are involved with their schools did not sign up for our recycling program because they take their recycling to the school and the schools get money for their recycling. Council Member Boyd asked if the City is getting any kind of rebate or anything back.

Administrator Darrington stated that he does not know what the schools get back but that it is probably not a large amount of money, there is not a huge market on the recyclables with a potential to get money back.

- Mayor Call mentioned that he got a phone call from Jon Q. Hammons and that they had a good conversation and there was a lot of assurance on his part and that he has not forgotten us and still feels that Pleasant Grove is the perfect spot for his Embassy Suites Hotel project.

12. SIGNING OF PLATS

No plats were signed at this time.

12. REVIEW CALENDAR

Mayor Call mentioned that there will be no meeting next week on the 23rd and the joint meeting of the City Council and the Planning Commission will be held on March 30th, at 6:00 p.m.

13. APPROVE PURCHASE ORDERS

Mayor Call asked if the Council had reviewed the purchase orders and in that there was no discussion, the Mayor asked for a motion.

ACTION: Council Member Robinson moved to approve the purchase orders for March 16, 2010. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting “Aye.”

14. EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session to hold a strategy session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation (UCA 52-4-205(1)(c)).

ACTION: At 6:57 p.m. Council Member Wilson moved to go into executive session, a strategy session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation. (UCA 54-4-205(1)(c)). Council Member Danklef seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting, “Aye.”

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Bruce W. Call
City Council Members:
Cindy Boyd
Val Danklef
Lee G. Jensen
Kim Robinson
Jeffrey D. Wilson

Others:
Scott Darrington, City Administrator
Dean Lundell, Finance Director
Tina Petersen, City Attorney
Richard Bradford, Economic Dev. Director
Colleen A. Mulvey, Deputy City Recorder

ACTION: At 7:48 p.m. Council Member Wilson moved to close the executive session and return to regular session. Council Member Danklef seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting “Aye.”

14. ADJOURN

ACTION: At 7:48 p.m. Council Member Wilson moved to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting “Aye.”

This certifies that the City Council
Minutes of March 16, 2010 are a true,
full and correct copy as approved by
the City Council on April 6, 2010

Colleen A. Mulvey, Deputy City Recorder