

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

**Pleasant Grove City Council and
Redevelopment Agency Meeting Minutes
April 16, 2013
6:00 p.m.**

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Bruce W. Call

Council Members:

Cindy Boyd
Lee G. Jensen
Jay Meacham
Cyd LeMone

Excused:

Kim Robinson, Council Member
Ken Young, Community Development Director

Staff Present:

Scott Darrington, City Administrator
Dean Lundell, Finance Director
Degen Lewis, City Engineer
Tina Petersen, City Attorney
April Harrison, Arts and Culture Director
David Larson, Assistant to the City Admin
Deon Giles, Parks and Recreation Director
Kathy Kresser, City Recorder
Dave Thomas, Deputy Fire Chief
Mike Smith, Police Chief
Lynn Walker, Public Works Director
Marc Sanderson, Fire Chief

Others Present:

John Schiess, Horrock's Engineer
Randy Sant, Economic Development Consultant
Tenille Tingey, Zions Bank Finance
Libby Flegal, NAB Chairperson

The City Council and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah at 6:00 p.m.

1) **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Call called the meeting to order and noted that Council Members Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and LeMone were present.

2) **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Boy Scout Jackson Hill.

3) **OPENING REMARKS**

Opening Remarks were given by Council Member, Cyd LeMone.

4) **APPROVAL OF MEETING'S AGENDA**

City Attorney, Tina Petersen, stated that there will be a public hearing on item "K", however, there was not an ordinance ready to adopt so no action would be taken until the next meeting.

The Council questioned the ordinance numbers associated with the RDA topics. Economic Development Consultant, Randy Sant, stated that the RDA adopts project areas by resolution. The City must adopt the plan by ordinance, which is why the RDA items are also in the Council Members' packets under "City Council." City Recorder, Kathy Kresser, stated that she would update the packet to include the correct ordinance number.

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve the meeting agenda. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and LeMone voting "Aye."

5) **CONSENT ITEMS**

- a) **City Council and Work Session Minutes:
City Council Minutes from March 19, 2013
City Council Work Session Minutes from March 26, 2013**
- b) **To consider for approval paid vouchers for (April 10, 2013)**

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve the consent items. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and LeMone voting "Aye."

6) **OPEN SESSION**

There were no public comments. Mayor Call closed the open session.

1 **7) BUSINESS**

2
3 **A) PRESENTATION OF THE TEAM MEMBER OF THE YEAR. PRESENTER:**
4 **ADMINISTRATOR DARRINGTON.**
5

6 City Administrator, Scott Darrington, reported that the Council decided to create a Team Member
7 of the Year Award at the February Council Planning Meeting. The Council wanted to establish
8 opportunities for the City to recognize employees. Any member of the City Council could
9 nominate any City employee. Once the nominations were submitted with a description of why the
10 person was nominated, forms were distributed to all department heads and elected officials who
11 voted on the nominated employees.
12

13 Administrator Darrington stated that the person recognized as Employee of the Year won by a large
14 margin and works in the Public Works Department. The individual was described as always
15 positive and helpful and consistently trying to improve. He gets along with everyone, has great
16 work ethic, and consistently gets positive feedback from his interactions with the public.
17

18 Mayor Call stated that over the last several months the City has spent a lot of time creating the
19 City's Mission, Vision and Values. The City's vision states that Pleasant Grove strives to be the
20 best run City in Utah. He reported that the individual who won the award embodies that vision.
21 Mayor Call identified the team member of the year as Bruce Black. Mr. Black was presented with a
22 trophy and a plaque was to be displayed in City Hall. Administrator Darrington stated that
23 Mr. Black will also receive a \$1,000 cash bonus.
24

25 **B) TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A PROCLAMATION DECLARING**
26 **SATURDAY APRIL 27, 2013 AS ARBOR DAY. PRESENTER: DIRECTOR**
27 **GILES.**
28

29 Parks and Recreation Director, Deon Giles, stated that for Arbor Day the City discussed with the
30 Beautification Commission the possibility of having a Boy Scout working on his Eagle rank
31 advancement assist with the project. The Arbor Day project will be held at Manila Creek Park on
32 April 27 at 8:00 a.m. The project will involve the replacement of trees that were not park grade
33 trees.
34

35 Director Giles stated that the City received a letter from Tree City USA stating they would be
36 receiving an award for the 17th year in a row.
37

38 Commissioner Boyd inquired as to who had been working on the pavilion, and commented on how
39 good it looks. Director Giles stated that the pavilion updates have been paid for with grant money.
40

41 Mayor Call read the Arbor Day Proclamation to the Council.
42

43 **ACTION:** Council Member Meacham moved to approve the Proclamation declaring April 27,
44 2013, as Arbor Day. Council Member LeMone seconded the motion. The motion passed
45 unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and LeMone voting "Aye."
46

1 c) *Convene as the Pleasant Grove City Redevelopment Agency.*

2
3 **ACTION:** At 6:20 p.m. Council Member Jensen moved to convene as the Pleasant Grove City
4 Redevelopment Agency. Council Member Meacham seconded the motion. The motion passed
5 unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and LeMone voting “Aye.”
6

7 1) **CONVENE AS THE PLEASANT GROVE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY.**

8
9 2) Economic Development Consultant, Randy Sant, stated that the purpose of the public
10 hearing is to consider comments on the proposed plan for doTERRA. If the plan is adopted the
11 RDA will address a number of resolutions. If the RDA approves the resolutions, the City Council
12 would then look to approve the plan by ordinance. The next step in the process would include
13 publishing a summary of the ordinance in the City newspaper followed by a 30-day challenge
14 period. The project area plan is outlined under State law.
15

16 Chair Call requested that Consultant Sant give a short description of the project. Consultant Sant
17 stated that under State law there is one main incentive allowed for cities to offer businesses who
18 want to develop within the City. It is tax increment financing. The procedure under State law must
19 be adhered to which requires the development of a plan, as well as notice to property owners
20 affected by the plan. Notice must also be given to the taxing entities who levy the revenue for the
21 project area.
22

23 Consultant Sant stated that the current property values and taxes being paid on the property continue
24 to flow to the taxing entities. He stated in this particular project those entities are the school district,
25 the County, the City, and two water districts. He reported that when doTERRA constructs their
26 building they will increase the value. That increase will potentially come to the Redevelopment
27 Agency. Consultant Sant stated the plan and project are set up to allow doTERRA to meet with the
28 taxing entities to participate financially so that the campus can be completed.
29

30 Board Member Jensen asked if the budget could be identified. Consultant Sant stated that the
31 documents have been made available for the public to review for more than one week and have
32 been sent to the property owners and taxing entities. Once the campus is built they anticipate \$8.9
33 million in property tax revenue over a 20-year period. He stated that as an incentive for doTERRA
34 to build their facility in Pleasant Grove, the City will offer roughly \$4.5 million. The rest of the \$4
35 million will flow back to the taxing entities. 75% will go to Alpine School District, 25% to the
36 Redevelopment Agency, and 75% to the City and County.
37

38 Chair Call opened the public hearing. There were no public comments. The public hearing was
39 closed.
40

41 3) **2013-01 RDA – RESOLUTION**

42
43 Consultant Sant stated that the above resolution is the former resolution of the Redevelopment
44 Agency adopting the project area plan. He stated that Section 5 reports the findings as follows:
45

- 46 1. The plan will satisfy a public purpose.
47

- 1 2. The plan will provide a public benefit of increased jobs and increased revenue to the
2 community.
- 3
- 4 3. The resolution is economically sound and feasible.
- 5
- 6 4. The plan conforms to the City's general planning.
- 7
- 8 5. It promotes the public peace, health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Pleasant Grove
9 City.

10 Board Member Jensen asked if the RDA extends beyond the doTERRA property. Consultant Sant
11 stated that the RDA includes homes along 1300 West and the church property in addition to the
12 doTERRA sight.

13 Chair Call asked if there were any further discussion, being none he read the resolution and called
14 for a motion.

15
16
17 **ACTION:** Board Member Boyd moved to approve Resolution 2013-01 RDA. Board Member
18 LeMone seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with
19 Board Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting "Aye."

20
21 **4) 2013-02 RDA – RESOLUTION**

22
23 Consultant Sant stated that the project area is known as a Community Development Project Area.
24 Under the statute it is not required to formally adopt a budget, however, he felt that having a budget
25 on file is important for transparency. The proposed resolution will adopt the project area budget
26 and give the City the authorization to begin to negotiate with the taxing entities. He stated that once
27 the interlocal agreements have been prepared and the taxing entities have taken action, the RDA
28 will review and take action on them.

29
30 City Administrator, Scott Darrington, asked if the Board had received copies of the budget.
31 Consultant Sant stated that he would be sure that the budget on record is the one that actually is sent
32 out to property owners and taxing entities. Board Member Boyd appreciated that the City is going
33 the extra mile. She remarked that the documents are public record, so transparency is important.

34
35 Board Member Jensen clarified that the only revenues generated towards the budget plan are
36 property taxes, and not other revenue generating items, such as impact fees or sales tax. Consultant
37 Sant stated that the budget that the RDA would be adopting outlines the property tax increment.
38 Sales tax is mentioned because under the statute, if sales tax is needed for the project area, the City
39 can authorize sales tax go to the agency for participation in the inter-local agreement. He stated that
40 the major fund use for incentive is the property tax to be generated by doTERRA.

41
42 Board Member Jensen asked what the benefit to the City is if the majority of property tax generated
43 revenue goes toward helping the project move forward, and the sales tax goes to doTERRA.
44 Consultant Sant stated that the inter-local agreement will include a benefit analysis that will show
45 the total revenues and what does and does not remain with Pleasant Grove City. He reported that
46 the revenues coming to the City will be 25% of the property tax, the majority of the sales tax, as
47 well as energy fees from electricity, phone, power, and gas. When the interlocal agreement is

1 presented to the Board they will show the cost to the City, what the revenues are, and what the City
2 will receive. He stated that there is benefit for the City in terms of revenue and jobs.

3
4 Administrator Darrington stated that the City has been working with the school district, and had
5 hoped that the interlocal agreement would be finalized on April 9. It was, however, delayed. Minor
6 adjustments were made and the meeting with the school district was moved to April 23. The City
7 has been in negotiations with doTERRA with respect to the interlocal agreement. doTERRA will
8 be reimbursed for their development costs including impact fees, building fees, and the
9 improvements they are putting in. He stated that both doTERRA and the City are anxious to
10 complete the agreement. Administrator Darrington stated that the agreement with the County has
11 already been negotiated, and needs to be adopted. There are a few other entities to work out
12 agreements with as well.

13
14 Consultant Sant stated that the City is generally the last entity he goes back to for participation in
15 the project area. Administrator Darrington stated that throughout the process they have
16 communicated to the Board where they are financially as a City. What the City is giving up as an
17 incentive is something that has been discussed in depth and there will be additional revenue to the
18 City.

19
20 Chair Call asked if there were any further question or discussion. There wasn't any he then read the
21 resolution and called for a motion

22
23 **ACTION:** Board Member LeMone moved to approve Resolution 2013-02 RDA. Board Member
24 Jensen seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with
25 Board Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting "Aye."

26
27 **5) ADJOURN**

28
29 **ACTION:** At 6:42 p.m. Board Member LeMone moved to adjourn as the Redevelopment Agency
30 and reconvene as the City Council. Board Member Meacham seconded the motion. The motion
31 passed unanimously with Board Members Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and LeMone voting "Aye."

32
33 **d) *Reconvene as the Pleasant Grove City Council.***

34
35 **E) TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2013-9) ADOPTING**
36 **THE PROJECT AREA PLANS, AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF**
37 **DIRECTORS OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF PLEASANT**
38 **GROVE CITY, AS THE OFFICIAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**
39 **PROJECT AREA PLAN FOR THE 1300 WEST COMMUNITY**
40 **DEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, AND DIRECTING THAT NOTICE OF**
41 **THE ADOPTION BE GIVEN AS REQUIRED BY STATE STATUTE.**
42 **PRESENTER: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT RANDY SANT.**

43
44 Consultant Sant stated that the Redevelopment Agency held a public hearing and adopted by
45 resolution the plan for the 1300 West Community Development Area. The official adoption of the
46 proposed ordinance will be published and the 30-day challenge period will begin. Following the

1 30-day challenge period, the RDA is free to begin negotiations with any potential developer.
2 Consultant Sant explained that no action is required at the end of the 30-day challenge period.

3
4 Consultant Sant stated that during the 30-day challenge period they prepare the interlocal agreement
5 and meet with the taxing entities, however, they cannot officially approve agreements with
6 developers. In response to question raised by Council Member Jensen, Mayor Call stated that the
7 RDA does not cross the Boulevard.

8
9 At this point Mayor Call read the ordinance and called for a motion.

10
11 **ACTION:** Council Member Boyd moved to approve Ordinance 2013-9. Council Member Jensen
12 seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with Council
13 Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting “Aye.”

14
15 **F) PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION ON THE PRESSURIZED**
16 **IRRIGATION SYSTEM. PRESENTER JOHN SCHIESS, HORROCK'S**
17 **ENGINEER.**

18
19 John Schiess, from Horrocks Engineering, stated that the City is facing a second year of drought.
20 There was concern about there being enough water to meet the needs of the public. He stated that
21 the City’s primary water source for irrigation is Pleasant Grove Irrigation Company. The City and
22 Pleasant Grove Metropolitan District owns shares of the Pleasant Grove Irrigation Company.
23 Engineer Schiess stated that the City and Metropolitan District also own shares in several other
24 companies.

25
26 Engineer Schiess stated that on a full water year the City would have roughly 8,000 acre feet of
27 water available, with 3,800 acre feet from the Provo River and 3,900 acre feet from the Pleasant
28 Grove Irrigation Company. He reported that in 2012 the City used 5,900 acre feet of water with
29 3,900 acre feet from the Provo River. The City was not given their full allocation from the Provo
30 River due to cut backs, however, because the City had some holdover from 2011 they were able to
31 pull extra water. The City used all of its available water and holdover water.

32
33 Engineer Schiess stated that of the 3,900 acre feet of Pleasant Grove Irrigation water that was
34 theoretically available, the City only pulled 1,000 acre feet due to lack of water. The City was able
35 to pull roughly 1,000 acre feet from other sources including wells, and spillover from the culinary
36 system. He stated that 2012 was a hot, dry year and people used a lot of water.

37
38 Council Member Jensen asked how they get water to Pleasant Grove from the Provo River.
39 Engineer Schiess stated that there are three aqueducts that come through the City that carry the
40 majority of the water. The Provo Reservoir Canal also brings a portion to the City. He stated that
41 water is divided between cities that own shares in the companies that control the Provo River.
42 Council Member Jensen asked if there is value to the City to acquire more water shares due to the
43 drought, and if now is a good time to purchase. Engineer Schiess stated that share prices are
44 increasing but that it would be wise to purchase more. He stated that on a good water year the City
45 has enough shares to provide for the needs of the City, however, in a drought year, it would be
46 useful to have additional shares.

1 Council Member Boyd asked why the City would want more shares if there is no water available.
2 Engineer Schiess stated that the usable water is proportionate. He reported that the more shares the
3 City owns, the larger portion of water it will receive. He stated that the City should plan to have
4 enough shares to accommodate water in the dry years. Engineer Schiess stated that the City used
5 more water in 2012 than in the previous five years.
6

7 Engineer Schiess stated that there is a weather system at the top of Timpanogos Canyon that
8 measures snow precipitation. He reported that they are below last year in total precipitation and
9 snow fall. He stated that there is fairly significant drought throughout most of the United States and
10 river flow is significantly below average.
11

12 Engineer Schiess stated that when the City activates the irrigation system they try to use all of the
13 local water first, before drawing from the Provo River. When water levels are low as they currently
14 are, the City will not be able to subsist on its own for very long. Engineer Schiess stated that in
15 2012 the City used all of the roughly 3,900 acre feet of water available from the Provo River. In
16 2013, the City will only have roughly 2,700 acre feet of water from the Provo River. Engineer
17 Schiess was concerned that the City will not actually receive all of the 1,000 acre feet from the
18 Pleasant Grove Irrigation Company because it is drier this year than last. After using all of the
19 additional sources, such as well water, the City will be roughly 1,050 acre feet short.
20

21 Engineer Schiess stated that the anticipated water use is based on figures from the 2012 usage. In
22 2012, the City used 5,900 acre feet of water. If 2013 is wetter and cooler the City will not need as
23 much water, which will make the shortfall number of 1,050 acre feet smaller. However, if the
24 weather is hotter and drier and the City uses more water, the shortfall will be greater than the
25 estimated number.
26

27 Engineer Schiess anticipated roughly 2,700 acre feet of water to be available from the Provo River
28 in 2013, which would result in the City running out of water by mid-August. Staff developed
29 several options that could help provide water. The first would involve additional water restrictions.
30 Currently the City requires residents to limit watering to three days per week. He stated that the
31 City could reduce watering to two days per week. The second option was to make no changes and
32 make the water supply last as long as possible.
33

34 Engineer Schiess stated that his preferred option would be to rent additional water from Orem
35 City's Provo Bench Water. This water could be obtained through the aqueduct. He reported that
36 there is an agreement being drafted that would allow the City to rent 1,000 acre feet of water at \$50
37 per acre foot.
38

39 Council Member Jensen questioned why Orem would not have the same water shortage that
40 Pleasant Grove is expecting. Engineer Schiess stated that Orem City is not growing at the same rate
41 as Pleasant Grove, and they do not have a secondary system.
42

43 Administrator Darrington stated that it would cost \$50,000 to rent the water. Engineer Schiess
44 stated that using local sources can also cost more, such as when water has to be pumped from the
45 ground. He explained that they could also present a one-time surcharge to the residents to help
46 cover the cost of the rented water. He noted that it is important to educate the public about how
47 little water the City has. He stated that they will be talking to the School Board, and different

1 churches to discuss ways to reduce water usage. He also stated that the City may have to reduce the
2 amount of water used on parks and other City locations.

3
4 Council Member Jensen asked what percentage of water is used by non-residential uses. Engineer
5 Schiess responded that the City does not meter the water usage of businesses, churches, and non-
6 residential uses. Council Member Jensen suggested cutting the water season short by two weeks,
7 which could potentially save eight to ten percent.

8
9 Council Member Meacham asked if the interlocal agreement with Orem allows the City to only pay
10 for what they use, or if the City has to pay up front. Director Walker stated that the City would pay
11 for the water up front and would definitely use all of the rented water. City Attorney, Tina Petersen,
12 stated that Orem City is interested in renting their water because they have to show beneficial use.
13 Orem has to use all of their water rights, and one way to do that is to lease water to another city.

14
15 Engineer Schiess stated that the water Pleasant Grove will rent is mainly flow water. The irrigation
16 company has rights to 75 cubic feet per second in the Provo River. In order to show beneficial use
17 they have let other cities use the water. He noted that this is not water out of a reservoir. Engineer
18 Schiess stated that the City will not use all of the stored water first. They will supplement the City's
19 local streams with the additional flow water from the Provo River in order to save the stored water.

20
21 Council Member Jensen asked if the City's plan to use other water will impact culinary water.
22 Engineer Schiess responded that it would be possible to switch some of the City's under-utilized
23 wells from culinary to secondary. There is a cost to make the change, and renting the water is likely
24 less costly. Mayor Call did not like the idea of changing the wells.

25
26 Council Member Jensen asked if culinary water could be impacted so severely that the City will not
27 have enough to meet the needs of the residents. He also inquired as to whether the City can tell
28 residents that there may only be enough water for household needs, and not for outdoor use.
29 Engineer Schiess stated that there will be enough drinking water.

30
31 Engineer Schiess stated that the 2,700 acre feet the City will receive from the Provo River is based
32 off a cut back. He explained that in 2012, cities were only able to take 77% of their water and this
33 year they will only be able to take 60%. If the drought worsens there will be larger cut backs. He
34 stated that the 2,700 acre feet the City will receive is based on the 60% cut back. Engineer Schiess
35 stated that the City may need additional staff to ensure that residents are following the current water
36 restrictions.

37
38 Council Member Jensen was concerned that people will pay extra to use more culinary water. He
39 questioned whether the entire City did that if there would be enough. Engineer Schiess stated that
40 there would not be enough culinary water in that situation. Director Walker stated the City could
41 not pump water fast enough. He noted that it would not be a problem if some residents opted to use
42 culinary water.

43
44 Engineer Schiess suggested making a graph to display to show residents how much water the City is
45 lacking and keep it updated so that citizens can see when the City will run out of water. He
46 suggested also posting this information on the City website and including fliers in the water bills.

1 Council Member LeMone stressed the importance of limiting water use to churches, schools, and
2 public parks. If the water usage for residents is limited, the same could be done for businesses and
3 other non-residential uses. Engineer Schiess stated that enforcement would be a top priority.
4 Council Member Boyd asked if the City could skip watering on Sunday. City Engineer, Degen
5 Lewis, stated that the water is in a flow and it has to be used daily. If the City did not use water for
6 an entire day it would be wasted. Residents have been asked to not water on Sunday in an effort to
7 balance usage.

8
9 Council Member Meacham asked what the enforcement entails. Director Walker stated that the
10 first violation involves a warning, the second a \$50.00 fine, and the third a \$200 fine. After that the
11 City locks water access and the violator must come before the Council to request that their water be
12 turned back on. The Council stated that the fines should be higher, because schools are willing to
13 pay the fines in order to keep watering.

14
15 Council Member Boyd remarked that it is difficult to spend \$50,000 to rent water when the
16 residents make no effort to conserve. She stated that the citizens need some level of accountability.
17 Engineer Lewis stated that the City hopes that educating the public will encourage residents to make
18 a change.

19
20 Council Member LeMone stated that it is difficult to explain to residents that the City will be
21 charging more for water, and shutting off access sooner than usual. Administrator Darrington stated
22 that this is a complicated issue. The rates are increasing because of the cost of infrastructure, not
23 because of the actual water. People may not like that answer, but they are still not fully paying for
24 the pipes in the ground, which is why the rates continue to increase. He stated they have worked
25 hard on resident education, and most people comply with the rules and understand why the rates are
26 going up. Administrator Darrington stated that the most powerful education technique may be to let
27 the water run out. Council Member Boyd stated that education before the water runs out is the most
28 important thing the City can do. She felt it was important for the City to can show that they
29 informed the public of the water shortage.

30
31 Mayor Call asked if the City decides to rent water from Orem, if that would be an action item for
32 the City Council to expend the money. Attorney Petersen stated that once the agreement with Orem
33 is finalized the agreement will come before the City Council for approval. The budget amendment
34 will also be an approval item for the City Council. Mayor Call asked the Council if anyone had any
35 serious objections to moving forward with finalizing the agreement with Orem.

36
37 Council Member LeMone asked if the City has to rent 1,000 acre feet of water, or if they can
38 choose the amount to rent. She also asked how quickly the water would be available. Engineer
39 Schiess stated the City should not wait to obtain and use the water. He stated that the City's water
40 flow is so low that staff will not be able to get the irrigation system charged and operating for the
41 first part of the season without Provo River water. He noted that the Provo River Water
42 Commissioner is expecting an order in the next few days.

43
44 Council Member Jensen asked if the City could push the start of the storm water into mid-May
45 instead of May 1. He stated that water is more valuable in July and August than it is in May or
46 June. Engineer Lewis stated that the majority of water is flow rights, and the City does not have a
47 reservoir to store any excess water in. If the City does not use the flow water as it becomes

1 available, they will lose it. Director Walker stated that if the City uses the rented water, and then
2 the weather changes and it rains a lot, the City will then be able to build up storage water again. He
3 reported that the City can store its own water at the end of the season, but cannot store the rented
4 water.

5
6 Council Member LeMone emphasized that it would be helpful for the City to find a creative way to
7 keep Sunday watering out of the public eye. She stated that it is difficult to tell the residents they
8 are not allowed to water on Sunday when the City plans to do just that. Director Giles stated that
9 they will have to educate the public that the City uses water on Sunday. Director Walker stated that
10 to keep overall waste down, the City has a very delicate balance they have to maintain with water
11 usage. Council Member LeMone thought informing the public about what days residents can water
12 and what days establishments can water would be helpful.

13
14 Mayor Call wanted to be involved in the education process. He suggested developing an education
15 plan over the next week and then presenting the plan to the Council. He stated they will have this
16 plan in place by May 1 when the water goes on. Mayor Call said the education must be very simple
17 so residents will read and understand the situation. Mayor Call said to move forward with renting
18 the water from Orem, and stated he would like to move forward as quickly as possible. He stated he
19 would not like to charge a surcharge to residents, but that information should be included in the
20 education plan.

21
22 Council Member Boyd asked if in the future the Council could discuss options that would offer
23 benefits to developers who are willing to xeriscape. Engineer Lewis stated that the way the
24 ordinance is set up the water requirement for businesses is at the discretion of the City Engineer.
25 He stated that the City Engineer is allowed to reduce water costs to a business if they have high
26 efficiency sprinklers, or drought tolerant plants. He suspected the City would move toward
27 metering in order to control residential use. He stated that most of the City's cost is tied up in the
28 infrastructure to use the water, not the actual water itself. Administrator Darrington stated that other
29 cities have discussed similar options. He stated that Pleasant Grove could look into what other
30 cities have done.

31
32 Mayor Call hoped that the public in attendance could able to see all of the hard work that staff puts
33 into details that most don't ever think about. He remarked that the City has an incredible staff.

34
35 Resident Gary Hill addressed the Council and stated that he thought most people would not be
36 surprised to learn that the City is in a drought. The residents were informed about the secondary
37 system and he thought most will make cutbacks. The residents are still being charged for the
38 delivery of water that they cannot use, which most would not be happy about. He stated that the
39 Council needs to address the cost of the system and the fact that the City is in a drought. Mr. Hill
40 stated that there should be a distinction between residents and larger entities when issuing a water
41 fine or penalty.

42
43 Council Member LeMone asked Attorney Petersen how the City can change the amount of the fines
44 for large users. Attorney Petersen stated that it is an easy change to make, and would involve
45 drafting a resolution.

1 Resident John Dunn stated that when he signed up for secondary water his understanding was that
2 the rate would never increase. He understands drought situations, but stated that the public needs to
3 be informed. He thanked Mayor Call for not issuing a surcharge to the residents.
4

5 Tenille Tingey stated that she is not a resident of Pleasant Grove, but she works with engineers
6 frequently when studying secondary water in surrounding communities that have begun to
7 implement metering. She stated that samples have shown that homes with secondary water with no
8 meters use four times more water than homes that are metered. She noted that there is an
9 astronomical difference between homes that are metered and ones that are not.
10

11 Mr. Dunn questioned how to inform the City when they observe residents over watering.
12 Administrator Darrington recommended he call the Public Works Department and provide them
13 with the address of the resident. He stated the call can be anonymous.
14

15 Mayor Call suggested the Council take time to think through all of the issues to be addressed in
16 order to educate the public. He planned to create an FAQ document that will allow staff to do some
17 policing. He stated that the goal is for the City and residents to work together.
18

19 Council Member Jensen stated that parts of the City do not have secondary water. He requested
20 that information be included in the educational materials. Mr. Dunn stated that if it is possible, the
21 City should request that church leaders address their congregation and schools should teach about
22 the drought situation and how to conserve. He also stated that including the newsletter in the utility
23 bill would be an excellent way to educate the public.
24

25 Director Giles stated that one of the steps proposed by the Beautification Commission in regard to
26 education was to write a curriculum through the fourth grade to take to the schools.
27

28 **G) CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN**
29 **ORDINANCE (2013-5) AMENDING CHAPTER 10-14, THE GROVE**
30 **ZONING DISTRICT, OF THE PLEASANT GROVE CITY CODE,**
31 **AMENDING VARIOUS PROVISIONS REGARDING MULTI-FAMILY**
32 **HOUSING PROJECT DESIGN, HEIGHT, DENSITY, PARKING, OPEN**
33 **SPACE, LANDSCAPING, DESIGN FEATURES, ETC.; AND PROVIDING**
34 **FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. (SAM WHITE'S LANE NEIGHBORHOOD).**
35 **CONTINUED FROM THE APRIL 2, 2013 MEETING. PRESENTER:**
36 **ATTORNEY PETERSEN.**
37

38 Attorney Petersen stated that the proposed ordinance amendment affects the Grove Zone District. A
39 permitted use has been added to the ordinance with respect to restricting new auto sales to the I-15
40 frontage. As requested by the Council, a map was created showing where new automobile sales
41 would be permitted.
42

43 Council Member Jensen stated that the automobile business may sell used cars as long as the
44 company's primary purpose is new auto sales. He asked if this was reflected in the ordinance and
45 map. Attorney Petersen stated that the ordinance only specifies "new."
46

1 Council Member Boyd questioned if there is any description for senior housing in The Grove, and if
2 not she suggested the City provide that. She stated that senior housing throughout the County
3 generally has beautiful architecture. Engineer Lewis stated that the City met with a developer who
4 is under contract on the Westroc Property. The developer plans to build a “for sale” stand alone,
5 single-floor four-plex type unit. He noted that the developer has built other similar units throughout
6 the state. Engineer Lewis stated that everyone has an exterior unit and the developer has a tentative
7 layout. He reported that the ordinance may need some conditional uses added at some point.
8 Engineer Lewis stated that the Westroc Proposal is on an upcoming agenda.

9
10 Attorney Petersen stated that in the Grove Zone development is mainly geared toward commercial
11 retail types of uses with incidental uses. The only place that it would be possible currently to
12 develop senior housing would be in the mixed housing areas. She stated that if the senior housing
13 developers want to build something that does not fit the parameters of the mixed use housing, an
14 ordinance amendment would be necessary.

15
16 Engineer Lewis stated that the main problem for developers wanting to build senior housing in the
17 Grove Zone was that they were not able to meet the open space requirements with regard to the
18 types of units they want to develop.

19
20 Mayor Call stated that they should look at the ordinance as it is now, and then in the future they
21 look into defining senior housing and address the possibility of building more senior housing in the
22 Grove Zone.

23
24 Attorney Petersen stated that the Community Development Director definition was stricken from
25 the ordinance as requested by the Council.

26
27 Mayor Call opened the public hearing. There were no comments from the public. The public
28 hearing was closed. He then read the ordinance and called for a motion

29
30 **ACTION:** Council Member LeMone moved to approve Ordinance 2013-5. Council Member
31 Jensen seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken and the motion
32 passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting “Aye.”

33
34 H) **CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN**
35 **ORDINANCE (2013-7) AMENDING CHAPTER 10-11E, DOWNTOWN**
36 **VILLAGE ZONE (DV), OF THE PLEASANT GROVE CITY CODE,**
37 **AMENDING VARIOUS PROVISIONS REGARDING PROJECT DESIGN,**
38 **HEIGHT, DENSITY, PARKING, OPEN SPACE, LANDSCAPING, DESIGN**
39 **FEATURES, ETC.; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. (OLD**
40 **FORT, LITTLE DENMARK, AND STRINGTOWN NEIGHBORHOOD)**
41 **CONTINUED FROM THE APRIL 2, 2013 MEETING. PRESENTER:**
42 **ATTORNEY PETERSEN.**
43

44 Attorney Petersen stated that the ordinance amendment pertains to the Downtown Village Zone.
45 The Council had no questions regarding the Downtown Village Zone at the previous meeting. She
46 stated that no changes had been made since the last meeting. Attorney Petersen stated that the

1 proposed amendment mainly pertains to landscape changes. Attorney Petersen clarified that the
2 senior definition is not in the Downtown Village Zone, but in the Overlay.

3
4 Mayor Call opened the public hearing. There were no comments from the public. The public
5 hearing was closed. The Mayor asked if there were any further comments from the Council, being
6 none he read the ordinance and called for a motion.

7
8 **ACTION:** Council Member LeMone moved to approve Ordinance 2013-7. Council Member
9 Boyd seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. The motion passed unanimously by voice
10 vote with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting “Aye.”

11
12 **I) CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN**
13 **ORDINANCE (2013-8) AMENDING CHAPTER 10-11G, DOWNTOWN**
14 **MIXED USE OVERLAY ZONE (DMU), OF THE PLEASANT GROVE CITY**
15 **CODE, AMENDING VARIOUS PROVISIONS REGARDING PROJECT**
16 **DESIGN, HEIGHT, DENSITY, PARKING, OPEN SPACE, LANDSCAPING,**
17 **DESIGN FEATURES, ETC.; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE**
18 **DATE. (OLD FORT, LITTLE DENMARK, AND STRINGTOWN**
19 **NEIGHBORHOOD) CONTINUED FROM THE APRIL 2, 2013 MEETING.**
20 **PRESENTER: ATTORNEY PETERSEN.**

21
22 Attorney Petersen stated that parking requirements for senior housing were set at 1.25 spaces per
23 unit. The number originally came from the proposed developer who reported that he only needed
24 1.25 spaces per unit based on other completed projects. Attorney Petersen stated that Community
25 Development Director, Ken Young, performed research and found that in Ogden and Salt Lake City
26 only one parking space per unit is required for this type of housing. She reported that in West
27 Jordan and Provo the requirement is 1.25 spaces per unit. Director Young also compared other
28 developments similar to the proposed building and found that 1.25 spaces per unit would be
29 adequate parking.

30
31 Council Member Boyd asked if there needs to be any guest or employee parking spaces. Attorney
32 Petersen stated that West Jordan requires an additional guest space per eight units. Council
33 Member Jensen reported that Director Young thought that 1.25 acres would be adequate for guest
34 parking as well. Attorney Petersen stated that if the Council wants additional spaces, they could
35 add the requirement to the ordinance.

36
37 Council Member Jensen stated that according to the examples provided by Director Young, there is
38 ample guest parking provided in all of the senior housing complexes. He stated that for every four
39 units there is an additional parking space.

40
41 Attorney Petersen reported that the Council requested a better definition of an independent senior
42 housing unit. She reported that Director Young revised the original definition to include an age
43 requirement and broadened the facility definition. Mayor Call stated that the new definition does
44 not specify the type of facility, but defines the type of purpose.

45
46 Council Member Boyd stated that with the definition the only type of senior housing the City will
47 get in the Grove Zone is an apartment-style complex. Engineer Lewis clarified that the new

1 definition allows for mixed-use multi-family dwellings combined with non-residential use.
2 Attorney Petersen wanted the Council to be comfortable with the 24 units per acre, regardless of the
3 type of layout developed. She asked if there is any development combination that the Council
4 would not be comfortable with.

5
6 Council Member Boyd was concerned that there would be too many apartment style developments
7 in the historical part of the City. Engineer Lewis stated that the ordinance has some built in
8 protection including height stepping, so that a large building cannot be built next to a small home.
9 Administrator Darrington asked if the City could deny a request based only on preference. Attorney
10 Petersen stated that if the applicant meets all of the requirements the City usually has to approve the
11 Overlay. She stated that the Overlay could possibly be denied based on design aesthetics. She
12 stated that the Overlay is only to be applied by specific property owners.

13
14 Mayor Call stated that the Council is asked to consider approval for projects in the order that they
15 come to the City. He was concerned that if the Council approves the Overlay, final building plans
16 may change resulting in something other than what the Council originally understood the plans to
17 be, at which point it would be too late to make any changes because the Overlay had already been
18 approved.

19
20 Council Member Boyd stated that the City had already had a similar problem with the Overlay in
21 the Grove District. Engineer Lewis stated that the Overlay could be tied to a development
22 agreement, which could resolve Mayor Call's concern. He stated that there are a number of blocks
23 in the City where the Overlay already exists. The existing Overlay is a mixed-use Overlay which
24 brings the residential component into the downtown area. He reported that it was applied in the
25 immediate downtown because the City wanted residential over retail commercial.

26
27 Mayor Call asked if the City should remove this Overlay from the Downtown Mixed-Use Overlay
28 Zone and create a Downtown Senior Housing Overlay, which would allow the City to create a map
29 and allow for the overlay where they want it. It would remove the possibility of developers being
30 "grandfathered" into the existing Overlay. Mayor Call stated that this item will be continued and
31 will remove the 24 units per acre senior housing from the Downtown Overlay Zone and create a
32 new Senior Housing Overlay Zone.

33
34 **ACTION:** Council Member Jensen moved to continue the discussion at a later meeting. Council
35 Member Meacham seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with Council Members
36 Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and Robinson voting "Aye."

37
38 J) **PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE**
39 **(2013-10) AMENDING TITLE 3 CHAPTER 3B SUBSECTION 3 OF THE**
40 **PLEASANT GROVE MUNICIPAL CODE CHANGING THE MUNICIPAL**
41 **ENERGY SALES AND USE TAX FROM 5.051% OF THE DELIVERED**
42 **VALUE OF THE TAXABLE ENERGY TO THE CONSUMER TO 6% OF**
43 **THE DELIVERED VALUE OF THE TAXABLE ENERGY TO THE**
44 **CONSUMER. SAID RATE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2013.**
45 **PRESENTER: ATTORNEY PETERSEN.**
46

1 Attorney Petersen stated that the proposed ordinance would amend Title 3 Chapter 3B pertaining to
2 the Municipal Energy Sales and Use Tax. The State mandates the maximum. She reported that the
3 City decided not to charge the entire 6% and has been charging 5.051%. Attorney Petersen stated
4 that the energy providers for the City are Questar and Rocky Mountain Power. If the City increased
5 the tax to the maximum of 6% the City would receive an additional \$195,000 in revenue. This,
6 however, would not go into effect immediately. There is a noticing period, and the State requires a
7 90-day noticing period. She reported that the change would be effective July 1.

8
9 Administrator Darrington stated that the additional revenue would be earmarked for road treatment.
10 Council Member Meacham asked if any other communities use the full 6%. It was reported that
11 nearly every city uses the allowable 6%. Council Member Jensen asked how significant the
12 increase would be. Administrator Darrington stated that if the resident has a \$100 bill it would add
13 \$1.00 per month.

14
15 Mayor Call opened the public hearing. There were no public comments. The public hearing was
16 closed.

17
18 Administrator Darrington stated that the public needs to be aware that the proposed rate increase
19 will not result in major road reconstruction. The money is earmarked for treatments that will extend
20 the life of roads that are already in decent condition. When the Class C Road Money expires in
21 2018, the City will do the Overlays and major road reconstruction.

22
23 **ACTION:** Council Member LeMone moved to approve Ordinance 2013-10. Council Member
24 Meacham seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. The motion passed unanimously by a
25 voice vote with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting “Aye.”

26
27 **K) PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE INPUT ON, AND TO CONSIDER**
28 **APPROVAL AND ADOPTION OF, (1) THE PROPOSED PARKS &**
29 **RECREATION IMPACT FEE FACILITIES PLANS, (2) PARKS &**
30 **RECREATION (3) ORDINANCE (3013-11) CONTAINING PROPOSED**
31 **REVISIONS TO PLEASANT GROVE CITY CODE REGARDING IMPACT**
32 **FEEES, AND (4) UPDATES TO THE AFFECTED IMPACT FEES CHARGED**
33 **BY PLEASANT GROVE CITY. ALL INTERESTED PERSONS WILL BE**
34 **GIVEN REASONABLE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD. WRITTEN**
35 **COMMENTS ARE WELCOME AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE**
36 **DATE. PRESENTER: DIRECTOR LUNDELL.**
37

38 Finance Director, Dean Lundell, stated the Council will not be adopting an ordinance tonight. He
39 introduced Tenille Tingey, from Zions Bank Public Finance who stated that the Park Impact Fee
40 will be charged to new development. She reported that the Impact Fee Facilities Plan and Impact
41 Fee Analysis have been completed and explained that the Impact Fee Facilities Plan is a subset of a
42 capital facilities plan and covers a six to 10 year period and looks at existing assets and historical
43 costs of those assets. It also addresses the City’s capacity and level of service. The report covers
44 level of service in regard to acreage and investments in City parks. Ms. Tingey explained that park
45 impact fees are the only fees that are heavily dependent on population and growth.

1 Ms. Tingey reported that in 2012 the City's population was 35,057. It is estimated that in 2013 the
2 population will grow to 35,679 people. She stated that in 10 years the population should be roughly
3 40,944. Ms. Tingey stated that the City currently has 2.69 acres per 1,000 people and the current
4 land value is based on of a recent assessment. She reported that the land value has increased by
5 roughly 75,000. Ms. Tingey stated that level investment for acreage and improvement is
6 perpetuated for the future using population projections. She proposed a single-family residential
7 impact fee of \$1,803.28, which is a 77% increase. She reported that the proposed multi-family
8 impact fee would be \$1,477.16, which is a 68% increase.

9
10 Council Member Boyd did not understand why the larger percentage increase will involve single-
11 family residents rather than multi-family residents. She stated that the impact will be larger on
12 single-families. She noted that the numbers are based on persons per household.

13
14 Council Member Jensen asked if new the park impact fees would allow for new City parks to be
15 developed. Ms. Tingey stated that the Impact Fees Facilities Plan accounts for the next 10 years of
16 plans. Director Giles stated that the City is working on the Parks and Trails Master Plan. It does
17 not identify a specific lot or acreage, but identifies an area and includes how many acres would be
18 needed. Council Member Jensen asked if the City has identified any specific types of parks to be
19 built. He hoped to see larger parks with playgrounds and fields in addition to something larger with
20 walking trails and landscaping. Director Giles stated the City has identified specific types of parks
21 the City needs and several different types will be developed. Ms. Tingey stated that park impact
22 fees are useful because there is a lot of flexibility in how the fees are used.

23
24 Mayor Call opened the public hearing. There were no comments from the public. The public
25 hearing was closed. Mayor Call stated the Council will take action at the first meeting in May.

26
27 **L) TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A RESOLUTION (2013-010)**
28 **INDICATING THE INTENT OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF PLEASANT**
29 **GROVE, UTAH, TO ADJUST THE COMMON BOUNDARY WITH THE**
30 **CITY OF CEDAR HILLS, UTAH; AUTHORIZING A PUBLIC HEARING**
31 **THEREON AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE OF SAID HEARING.**
32 **APPLICANT: JUSTIN AND ALYCIA EARL, 9540 NORTH CANYON**
33 **ROAD, PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH; AND PROVIDING FOR AN**
34 **EFFECTIVE DATE. PRESENTER: ATTORNEY PETERSEN.**
35

36 Attorney Petersen stated the proposed resolution involves a boundary adjustment from Cedar Hills
37 to Pleasant Grove. She stated that the Council previously approved the boundary adjustment,
38 however, the applicants did not finalize the approval and it expired. The applicants are now
39 reapplying. The property is located on the east side of Canyon Road and the previous property
40 owner granted Cedar Hills an easement across their property. As consideration for granting the
41 easement, Cedar Hills allowed the property owner to hook on to the irrigation system. Because the
42 original property owner no longer owns the property they want the subsequent property owner to
43 boundary adjust in order to stay connected to the system.

44
45 Attorney Petersen stated that the public hearing was set for July 16, 2013, in order to provide for the
46 appropriate noticing period.

1 **ACTION:** Council Member LeMone moved to approve Resolution 2013-010. Council Member
2 Meacham seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with
3 Council Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting “Aye.”
4

5 M) **TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A RESOLUTION (2013-011)**
6 **APPOINTING E. MARK BEZZANT TO THE NORTH UNION**
7 **IRRIGATION CANAL BOARD AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE**
8 **DATE. PRESENTER: DIRECTOR WALKER.**
9

10 Director Walker stated that E. Mark Bezzant is currently serving as the President of Pleasant Grove
11 City Irrigation and also sits on the Metro Board. Director Walker stated that Mr. Bezzant will be a
12 highly effective Board Member. Director Walker stated that the North Union Irrigation is the canal
13 that comes through Lindon.
14

15 **ACTION:** Council Member LeMone moved to approve Resolution 2013-011. Council Member
16 Meacham seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with
17 Council Members Boyd, Jensen, LeMone and Meacham voting “Aye.”
18

19 **8) NEIGHBORHOOD AND STAFF BUSINESS**
20

21 Assistant to the City Administrator, David Larson, stated that the greenhouse groundbreaking took
22 place the previous Monday. The Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors and Council Members
23 were in attendance. He reported that it was an exciting event, and he would put photos and video of
24 the event on the City’s website as soon as possible. He stated that the Chamber of Commerce
25 recently finalized its annual magazine. The electronic version was available online.
26

27 Assistant Larson stated that a breakfast was scheduled for Thursday at 8:00 a.m. hosted by the
28 Chamber regarding Strawberry Days and how businesses can make the most of Strawberry Days.
29 He stated that Pleasant Grove High School Day will be held at the Promenade as part of their
30 homecoming week. He then reported that the City has opened a Flickr account and will be
31 conducting research on how to highlight the departments and other things happening in the City.
32

33 Administrator Darrington stated that the UVU internet study for people to sign up to volunteer is
34 being finalized. He noted that the final product is nearly complete.
35

36 Director Giles stated that games have been cancelled due to rain. He reported that the baseball
37 opener is scheduled for Saturday at 4:00 p.m.
38

39 Director Walker stated that the City clean up is under way. The weather has slowed things down,
40 but there has been good participation.
41

42 Fire Chief, Marc Sanderson, stated that the Fire Association will be hosting an all you can eat
43 pancake breakfast for the 53rd annual breakfast. The event was scheduled for May 11.
44

45 Police Chief, Mike Smith, stated that the Utah Shakeout Drill will be held on April 17. The County
46 is doing an EOC (Emergency Operations Center). The County has asked that the City conduct a

1 mock declaration of emergency to be signed by the Mayor. They would be using a calling tree to
2 see how effective it is, and report their findings to the EOC. He noted that this is a State wide drill.

3
4 Council Member Jensen asked Chief Smith about a case regarding a young girl from Provo. Chief
5 Smith did not have a lot of information on the case but stated that she rode her bicycle from Provo
6 to Pleasant Grove. The matter was still under investigation.

7
8 Chief Smith stated that the “Behind the Badge” event will be held on Friday at 6:30 p.m. Beginning
9 at 5:00 p.m. there will be a VIP meet and greet. The Council was invited to purchase their tickets
10 online. He stated that a large percentage of the money will go to the National Memorial Fund and
11 some will stay in the City to benefit local law enforcement. He reported that roughly 350 tickets
12 have been sold. Their goal was to sell 500 tickets. He stated that the Sergeant involved in the
13 Ogden shooting returned to the home three times to remove people from the house and was shot
14 five times. He stated that the National Memorial will recognize him with the Officer of the Month
15 Award.

16
17 Administrator Darrington stated that as the City continues to grow and develop, there are
18 consistently more people coming to the City requesting an ordinance change to allow them to build
19 their particular business or development. He stated that this was taking up a considerable amount of
20 the Council’s time and he asked if there was a better way to address these requests. Mayor Call
21 stated that the Council had no objection to continuing as they have been. Attorney Petersen stated
22 that the Council should be sensitive to how they discuss projects with applicants so that they do not
23 misinterpret what a staff or Council Member says regarding approval or rejection of their project.

24
25 Administrator Darrington stated that on April 30 there will be a budget discussion. He stated that
26 they now have health insurance information and need to determine final salaries for employees.

27
28 Council Member Meacham asked if the School District Meeting was to be held on April 23.
29 Administrator Darrington stated that the purpose for attending the meeting was to show support. He
30 stated that a letter from the Mayor would be adequate. He could ask if the Mayor could speak first
31 at 6:00 p.m. Mayor Call expressed interest in attending. Administrator Darrington reported that the
32 agreement is nearly complete.

33
34 City Recorder, Kathy Kresser, stated that State Law requires the City Recorder designate polling
35 places for the municipal elections. She reported that filings are June 3, through June 7, 2013. All
36 voting precincts will come to the Recreation Center to vote.

37
38 **9) MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS**

39
40 Council Member LeMone stated that the movie Sandlot celebrated its 20th anniversary recently.
41 She reported that she was three-years-old and was in the movie.

42
43 Council Member Meacham stated that the Council previously discussed having a citizen vote next
44 June. He stated that the State legislature made a change requiring any GO vote to occur in the
45 November 2014 Election rather than June Election.

46
47 Mayor Call reported that he will be out of town for Arbor Day and for the April 30 Work Session.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

10) SIGNING OF PLATS

No plats were signed at this time.

11) ADJOURN

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to adjourn. Council Member Meacham seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Jensen, Meacham, and Robinson voting “Aye.”

The City Council Meeting adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

This certifies that the City Council Minutes of April 16, 2013 are a true, full and correct copy as approved by the City Council on _____

Kathy T. Kresser, CMC Deputy City Recorder

(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder’s office)

