

**Pleasant Grove City
City Council Meeting Minutes
September 15, 2015
6:00 p.m.**

PRESENT:

Mayor: Michael W. Daniels

Council Members: Dianna Andersen
Cindy Boyd
Eric Jensen
Cyd LeMone
Ben Stanley

Staff Present: Scott Darrington, City Administrator
David Larson, Assistant to the City Administrator
Deon Giles, Parks and Recreation Director
Degen Lewis, City Engineer
Mike Smith, Police Chief
Dave Thomas, Fire Chief
Kathy Kresser, City Recorder
Ken Young, Community Development Director
Marty Beaumont, Public Works Director
Sheri Britsch, Library and Arts Director
Tina Petersen, City Attorney

The City Council and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah.

1) **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Daniels called the meeting to order and noted that all Council Members were present.

2) **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Ben Pixton.

3) **OPENING REMARKS**

The opening remarks were given by Public Works Director, Marty Beaumont.

4) **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved to approve the agenda as written. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

5) **OPEN SESSION**

Mayor Daniels opened the open session.

Pat Wimmer mentioned a new Blue Line Initiative that was started in support of law enforcement. She is embarking on a personal project to provide citizens community with a free blue line lapel pin as part of the initiative. There were 86 police officers who have died so far this year, and the most recent ambush took place yesterday. So far this year there have been no officers in Utah who have been killed in the line of duty. However, Police Chief, Mike Smith, mentioned that a Utah Highway Patrolman was ambushed a couple of weeks ago and shot three times. He stated that there has been a nationwide spike in ambushes against law enforcement.

Molly Andrew gave her address as 1125 Sage Drive and commented that Ms. Wimmer does a lot of great things for the Pleasant Grove Police and Fire Departments. Ms. Andrew asked Council Member Stanley how many ambassadors are a part of the Pleasant Grove Ambassadors to Business (PGA) group. Furthermore, she inquired as to how it is funded, whether or not it was opened for public application, what business experience the ambassadors are bringing to the group, and whether or not any local business groups were invited to participate.

Council Member Stanley responded that it is the City's effort to have citizen volunteers involved with economic development in Pleasant Grove. He mentioned that there are a number of volunteers throughout the City in police and fire work, and yet there have not been as many opportunities to tap similar potential for economic development. Therefore, he made an effort to recruit individuals who would be interested in participating in the PGA group, many of whom are business owners or have business connections. Some members of the group received a personal invitation from Council Member Stanley, and others approached him about participation. Furthermore, PGA has discussed as a group ways in which to expand, and the criteria to look for in participants moving forward.

Council Member Stanley mentioned that tomorrow several ambassadors will be meeting with the Chamber of Commerce to discuss ways that PGA can complement the Chamber's economic development efforts. For the most part, funding is not needed because the group is run entirely by citizen volunteers. However, there was a small seed amount that was paid by the City to provide shirts and printed materials. Council Member Stanley remarked that Pleasant Grove has some of the most engaged and generous citizen volunteers in the nation. He furthered described the purpose of the PGA group, which is to attract new businesses to Pleasant Grove while continuing to support existing local businesses.

Wendy Morgan gave her address as 1595 North 210 East and stated that she represents a group of citizens who, in response to the sewage flood that took place at the Police Station, believe that a 40-foot shipping container would be beneficial to the City. It would provide approximately 2,600

cubic feet of storage space; however, it could not be used to store evidence because it could not be properly secured. Ms. Morgan mentioned that after touring the Police Station she discovered how much could be stored in the container, thereby freeing up space for both the Police and Fire Departments.

This suggestion was not meant to derail the work being done by the Public Safety Committee but is meant to serve as a temporary solution to a current problem. This solution could also serve as a way of healing division within the City over the public safety issue. Ms. Morgan suggested that the funding of the storage container be provided by way of a GoFundMe account through individual citizen donations. She stated that Pleasant Grove has great personnel in the Police and Fire Departments as well as administrative staff who deserve full community support. By providing this temporary solution, the community could show their support for Pleasant Grove's public servants. Ms. Morgan stated that she emailed additional information to staff and the elected officials on the proposal and asked the Council to give it careful consideration.

Chief Smith appreciated the gesture from the citizens wanting to help address the City's public safety needs. However, bringing in a container will raise other questions relative to zoning. Furthermore, it would not necessarily solve the immediate problem, which is the lack of a proper storage room for evidence. Mayor Daniels asked if it would be possible to move less critical storage items to the container and free up space in the Police Station for evidence storage. Chief Smith explained that they are using every square inch of the station for personnel and the only option would be for them to give up the training room. This room also functions as the Emergency Operations Center for the City and it would not be wise to repurpose that space. There was continued discussion on whether a temporary storage container would benefit the City.

Council Member Andersen expressed appreciation for ideas and creative solutions and acknowledged that additional storage will be needed. After reviewing some of the City's specific storage needs, she asked Ms. Morgan if the citizens are considering purchasing a new or used storage unit. Ms. Morgan agreed to relay the information to Council Member Andersen. Council Member LeMone suggested looking at existing storage units downtown prior to bringing in a separate structure. Mr. Morgan explained that the citizens opted to bring in a separate storage container for the purpose of keeping storage in close proximity to the City office buildings. The cost of the storage container would be under \$4,000 and when it is no longer needed it the City will have the discretion to determine what to do with it (i.e., sell, move, donate, etc).

Community Development Director, Ken Young, added that the storage container would be considered an accessory structure, which is permitted in the Downtown Village Zone. However, there are also design requirements that need to be met. Having a temporary storage container would not follow those requirements. Therefore, modifications would need to be made. There was continued discussion on how to make it fit in with the zoning requirements. Mayor Daniels stated that the City would be happy to receive this gift from the citizens.

Shauna Sheridan stated that with regard to issues surrounding the airplane hangar behind her house, she wished that a meeting with the City could have taken place that wasn't biased. Every time a meeting has taken place, it has either been closed or held prior to an open meeting. She

requested that the City readdress the purpose of closed and open meetings and made reference to several meetings she felt were not properly opened to the public.

Ms. Sheridan stated that according to the Planning Commission's training manual, they act as the land use authority. She expressed frustration over the City not giving her a fair trial and hearing on her situation. Mayor Daniels explained that the matter went to the Planning Commission twice and once to the Board of Adjustment. After an item has gone to the Board of Adjustment, it does not come forward to the City Council because it then becomes a court matter. The Board of Adjustment has set criteria they review in order to make a ruling. He advised Ms. Sheridan that her next recourse is with the courts rather than with the City Council. The City Council has the authority to change ordinances to accommodate stricter rules. Ms. Sheridan acknowledged that this is her request of the Council.

Lisa Liddiard, who resides at 1095 East Canyon View Lane, recounted interactions that she had with the Sheridan's at one of the aforementioned Planning Commission Meetings.

Community Development Director, Ken Young, stated that he made sure his front office staff were fully aware that the pre-meeting in the kitchen was open to the public. He stressed that even though the meeting is held in a smaller room, it is always open for anyone to attend. Ms. Sheridan argued that she was informed otherwise and that according to the law all public meetings need to be held in the anchor room where it can be recorded. Mayor Daniels added that a Property Rights Ombudsmen can advise Ms. Sheridan on what further actions can be taken.

There were no further public comments. Mayor Daniels closed the open session.

6) **CONSENT ITEMS**

Note: Item 10A was discussed prior to approval of the consent items.

- a) **City Council Meeting Minutes:
City Council Minutes for the August 25, 2015 meeting.
City Council Minutes for the September 1, 2015 meeting.**
- b) **To consider for approval payment of paid vouchers for September 10, 2015.**

The consent items were reviewed and discussed.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to approve the consent items. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

7) **BOARD, COMMISSION, COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS**

There were no appointments.

8) **PRESENTATIONS**

There were no presentations.

9) **ACTION ITEMS WITH PUBLIC DISCUSSION**

A) PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2015-35) AMENDING SECTION 10-6-2 IN THE "DEFINITIONS" CHAPTER OF THE "PLANNING AND ZONING" TITLE OF PLEASANT GROVE CITY TO CHANGE THE DEFINITION FOR CORNER LOT FRONT LINES IN THE "LOT LINE, FRONT" AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. *Presenter: Director Young.*

Community Development Director, Ken Young, explained that over the years there have been several instances where someone wanted to develop a vacant corner lot but struggled in terms of the available options. Currently the definition for corner lots requires that the front yard area face the portion of the lot that has the most street frontage. In the case of a narrower lot, this requirement causes a minimal buildable area. The proposed ordinance change will read as follows:

LOT LINE, FRONT: A line separating an interior lot from a street. In the case of a corner lot, either frontage may be the front line provided a buildable lot is created in connection with required setbacks for the zone in which the lot is located.

Recently, the City Council approved an amendment to the Transportation Master Plan for a roundabout at the intersection of 900 South and Locust Avenue. The proposed change affected the ability to build on an adjacent property, which Director Young identified using an aerial map. The property in question has a sufficient amount of square footage to build a two-lot subdivision; however, with the current requirements in place the buildable area becomes crowded and limited. The applicants approached the Board of Adjustment for a variance request, and their request was denied. In the meantime, a second applicant, Ryan Clark, came forward and is the individual making the request to the Council tonight. Mr. Clark suggested the amended ordinance definition as previously stated. One of the other requirements in the Code is that there is a minimum of 35 feet of frontage for either side. The proposed amendment would allow the applicant to access the southern portion as their front. Other elements to the proposed site plan were also reviewed.

Council Member Andersen asked if there have been other similar situations come forward in the past. Director Young answered in the affirmative. While others have had to modify their plans based on the current Code requirements, none of the other projects have been as extreme in their limited ability to develop as Mr. Clark's property. Staff surveyed neighboring cities to see if they had similar requirements on front yard frontage and none of the areas they surveyed had these types of requirements. The Planning Commission unanimously recommended the item be moved forward.

Council Member Stanley asked if it was possible for a lot to have three sides. Director Young answered in the affirmative but stated that it is a rare occurrence. There was brief discussion on wording of the proposed amendment.

Mayor Daniels opened the public hearing. There were no public comments. Mayor Daniels closed the public hearing.

ACTION: Council Member Andersen moved that the Council adopt an Ordinance (2015-35) amending Section 10-6-2 in the "Definitions" Chapter of the "Planning and Zoning" Title of Pleasant Grove City to change the definition for corner lot front lines in the "Lot Line, Front" and adopting the exhibits, conditions, and findings set forth in the staff report, and as modified by changing "either frontage" to read "any frontage". Council Member Stanley seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken with Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Jensen, Andersen and Stanley voting "Aye". The motion carried.

10) ACTION ITEMS READY FOR VOTE

- A) TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A PROCLAMATION DECLARING SEPTEMBER 17 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 23, 2015 AS CONSTITUTION WEEK. PRESENTER: LORENA NORRIS, DAUGHTERS OF THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION.**

Note: Item 10A was discussed prior to approval of the consent items.

Lorena Norris gave her address as 805 South 1100 East and stated that she was asked by the local chapter of the National Society Daughters of the American Revolution (DAR) to present the aforementioned Proclamation to the City Council. The National Society seeks to promote historic preservation, education, and patriotism. Ms. Norris provided numbers pertaining to Utah's collective service hours in comparison to other western states, which highlighted the outstanding achievements taking place in Utah through the DAR. She explained that in order to qualify for the DAR, members must prove their ancestry. Mayor Daniels read the Proclamation in its entirety.

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved that the Council adopt a Proclamation declaring September 17 through September 23, 2015 Constitution Week. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

11) ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION - NO ACTION

- A) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE PETITIONS OF SCOTT AND GINA DAY, 4495 NORTH 900 WEST, PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH (1.33 ACRES); BRENT AND NEVA WILSON, 4537 NORTH 900 WEST, PLEASANT GROVE UTAH (0.39 ACRES); D. GORDON AND KAREN DAVIES, 4583 NORTH 900 WEST, PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH (0.429 ACRES); AND CHRISTOPHER AND SARAH EAGAR, 4638 NORTH 900 WEST, PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH (1.126 ACRES) TO BOUNDARY ADJUST THEIR PROPERTY FROM PLEASANT GROVE CITY TO THE CITY OF CEDAR HILLS. *Presenter: Administrator Darrington.***

City Attorney, Tina Petersen, described the legal process of mutual boundary adjustments. She stated that this process is found in State Code and allows two or more municipalities with common boundaries to adjust them based on a petition. If it is the Council's decision to consider the petition, they would adopt a resolution declaring their intent to adjust the common boundaries. At that point a public hearing date would be set and it would need to take place no less than 60 days after

adoption of the resolution. There are strict noticing requirements for the public hearing. After the public hearing, the City Council has the option to adopt an ordinance to adjust the common boundaries and direct staff to file amended Articles of Incorporation with the State of Utah. If the Council decides not to adopt the ordinance, the boundary adjustment will not go forward. In this case, the boundary adjustment involves another municipality and State Law provides that the ordinance is not effective until the other city adopts their ordinance as well (and vice versa).

City Administrator, Scott Darrington, noted that the City first received boundary adjustment requests from the Days and the Wilsons in June. He identified the four subject properties using an aerial map of the neighborhood. At the time the first two requests were made, the City was still debating how to handle the 4000 North sewer line, which is why it has taken time for the requests to be reviewed. Two more requests have come forward in the past two weeks and it was logical to review all four at the same time. Staff assessed the ramifications of whether to approve the requests of the four families. If the properties adjoin with Cedar Hills, the area will still be contiguous with the rest of Pleasant Grove City by virtue of two other lots. However, if many more properties are adjusted the City could end up with islands, which isn't legal.

Mayor Daniels asked about the procedure for annexing a larger area into another City and asked if this has to be done by vote. Attorney Petersen explained that the process normally only applies to unincorporated areas. Other alternative procedures were then reviewed. Attorney Petersen noted that this information is included in the City's Declaration Policy Plan.

Administrator Darrington added that sewer availability is a forthcoming issue that will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. The Wedgewood sewer line was installed and paid for by the City in 1998, so the circumstances for those residents are different. According to the meeting minutes, the sewer line was put in to protect the well. Council Member Boyd provided additional history and background on the Wedgewood sewer line and there was continued discussion on the matter. Administrator Darrington noted that the residents on Wedgewood pay a fee to Pleasant Grove for treatment of the sewer, which the City then pays to Cedar Hills.

Attorney Petersen commented that Mayor Daniels asked her to prepare a memo recounting the history of the Boundary Commission Meetings. She discovered that in addition to the agreement regarding Wedgewood, another agreement was made between both the cities of Pleasant Grove and Cedar Hills that would allow servicing to be put in each other's roads. It was noted that this particular agreement was made when the Wedgewood line was installed in 1998. There was continued discussion on how sewer would be provided on 900 West and who would be responsible for fronting the costs, as well as the contents of the aforementioned documents.

Administrator Darrington remarked that this issue is similar to what the City is currently dealing with on 4000 North. The Council needs to decide whether to entertain the same method of paying for sewer on 900 West as for 4000 North. He suggested that the City have discussions with residents on Wedgewood to get feedback on boundaries. Council Member Boyd presumed that the residents on Wedgewood won't be interested in boundary adjusting because they already have access to sewer. Attorney Petersen added that while the four requests can be legally approved, they are the last four lots that can be boundary adjusted along 900 West. Director Young suggested

that a public hearing take place to allow property owners in the entire area to give feedback on utilities, sewer, emergency service provisions, and the future development of properties.

Chris Eager, who resides at 4638 North 900 West, recalled that according to the agreement with Cedar Hills, if and when a home is sold, the boundary adjustment into Cedar Hills would need to be applied. However, Mr. Eager stated that this stipulation would probably kill the sale. He expressed concern with his septic tank and noted that it is the original tank from when the home was built in the 1960s. While his septic tank hasn't failed yet, if and when it does he does not want to in a similar situation as the Days, where they were left without proper plumbing for two to three months. The Eagers do not necessarily want to tie into the sewer right now; however, they want to have the option of connecting to a line if necessary.

Gordon Davies gave his address as 4583 North 900 West and commended the City for the actions taken regarding the 4000 North sewer. He wished similar steps could be taken on 900 West. Mr. Davies stated that his family has lived in Pleasant Grove for 32 years, and so boundary adjusting into Cedar Hills is a big change that they are making regretfully. His family, however, needs sewer. Mr. Davies noted that in the past 32 years they have had three different septic tank pumps, one case having occurred over the Christmas holiday. The Davies received an offer from Cedar Hills that they would provide sewer; however, they are torn because they want to still be able to enjoy Pleasant Grove's library, swimming pool, and other amenities.

Katrina Ross, who resides at 4583 North 900 West, stated that as she has attended meetings on this subject, there haven't been discussions regarding sewer on their road. There are only six people on the block who are part of Pleasant Grove. The residents don't feel like they have time to keep waiting, especially in light of what recently happened to the Days. Their decision to boundary adjust into Cedar Hills does not have anything to do with not liking Pleasant Grove; they simply need access to sewer, which is a basic need. Ms. Ross explained that Cedar Hills is willing to pay for the sewer and residents will be responsible to pay for the lateral. These same costs would be substantially higher if they were to remain in Pleasant Grove. If the Special Assessment Area is created there would be a lien attached to the homes, which was one of the Wilsons' concerns.

Mayor Daniels asked if in her opinion there has been some softening on the part of Cedar Hills regarding their stipulations for using the sewer. Ms. Ross reviewed a few of the conditions as outlined in the contract and noted that several of the conditions made the citizens nervous. Mayor Daniels noted that there are methods in place to allow non-residents access to Pleasant Grove services. There was then discussion regarding the City's emergency services.

Gina Day gave her address as 4495 North 900 West and identified her property on an aerial map. She noted that she has lived on her property since 2008. She fell in love with the property because at the time that it was purchased it had 57 mature trees. The septic tank has failed three times while she has lived there, and after this spring the system was deemed non-repairable. In speaking with both cities, she discovered that she does not have a stub to hook onto either line. She obtained bids to see what it would cost to go in either direction and it was going to be around \$30,000 to install a lateral to Pleasant Grove's sewer line. Therefore, she approached Cedar Hills to see what they could do to help her connect to their line. The indicated that a contract would need to be established. Ms. Day then elaborated on the pros and cons of boundary adjusting into Cedar Hills,

which she weighed prior to submitting her request. Last, she stated that this is the best decision for her family, and asked that the Council consider her request.

Mr. Davies mentioned that he has a friend who purchased property in Pleasant Grove several years ago that didn't have sewer. Cedar Hills doubled their sewer fee and indicated that they would continue to raise the rate if the property owner did not boundary adjust into their city. Mr. Davies could see a positive change in the attitude of Cedar Hills from the time the incident took place with his friend. Mayor Daniels acknowledged that this has been a difficult issue and stated that the Council has taken serious measures to resolve it. Ms. Day remarked that the other residents in her neighborhood are a ticking time bomb and that it is only a matter of time before they run into similar problems to the ones she was faced with. There was continued discussion between Ms. Day and the Council regarding the agreement she reached with the City of Cedar Hills.

Council Member Stanley suggested that staff begin preparing a resolution to approve the residents' request, noting that it makes financial sense for them. Council Member Boyd expressed concerns with Wedgewood being left in a vulnerable situation in the event that more boundary adjustment requests come forward. Mayor Daniels suggested proposing a permanent connection agreement with Cedar Hills. Staff agreed that this could be a promising option and discussed possible motions for the Council to consider.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved that the Council give staff direction to proceed to create a resolution to approve a mutually agreeable boundary adjustment between Pleasant Grove City and the City of Cedar Hills and to spend a 60-day period working with Cedar Hills staff in putting a permanent agreement in place for the Wedgewood connecting sewer line. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

B) DISCUSSION ON DRONES.

Mayor Daniels wanted to discuss drones because recently there was an incident involving a woman who reported a drone outside her child's window that stared her down while she was changing. Mayor Daniels explained that this is an invasion of privacy and they are one of several communities who are concerned with this type of problem.

Drew Armstrong, a Drone hobbyist, reported that in the United States there have been more than one million drones sold to hobbyists and operators. In Utah County, there is not a single operator who is commercially licensed to fly a drone. He gave an overview of the different types of drones and their respective uses and capabilities. The most commonly used are not good spy tools because they don't have zoom capabilities and have wide angle lenses. The more expensive drones that cost \$50,000 to \$60,000 are the only types that are actually able to see anything from a distance. He compared the more common drones to GoPro action cameras. Mr. Armstrong remarked that there are peeping Tom laws already in place that could be applied in situations similar to what Mayor Daniels described.

Mr. Armstrong explained that as per the law, one's backyard is not considered private. However, if a drone enters under a carport or an awning in one's backyard it is considered an invasion of privacy. Mr. Armstrong stated that he gained special permission in advance to use his drone to

capture footage of Strawberry Days events and the rodeo. He addressed the risks of drones crashing and compared them to those associated with filming from Bell helicopters, which are more heavily regulated. At night, an operator can work up to a mile and a half away from the drone. This means that others can see a drone without knowing where the operator is located. Mr. Armstrong stated that legislation is needed to handle these situations, and make examples of the operators who are working unsafely. He suggested a permitting process, such as gaining a permit to film at a major community event, or a separate permit for night flying, specifically. There was discussion regarding the possibility of drones being used for weaponry.

Attorney Petersen explained that currently there aren't very many municipalities with regulated drones. There are already privacy laws in place and if a drone violates those laws, the operator is prosecuted for voyeurism. There are issues with Search and Seizure Fourth Amendment rights in terms of government officials using them. Furthermore, there are First Amendment rights for drone operators that need to be taken into consideration.

Attorney Petersen referenced a recent article that dispelled several myths regarding FAA control. For example, some believe that the FAA doesn't control the air space below 400 feet; however, that is not true. Flights are classified in three different categories: commercial, governmental, and hobbyist. For hobbyists, the FAA has made the following safety recommendations: 1. The drone needs to weigh less than 55 pounds. 2. The drone can only be operated within the visual line of sight of the operator. 3. The drone can only operate during daylight hours and when there is three miles of visibility from the control station. Attorney Petersen noted that she will be attending a conference in October and one of the break-out sessions will address this topic.

Mayor Daniels asked if they have a method of communicating laws pertaining to drones to the public. Attorney Petersen responded that the Pleasant Grove Police Department has a Facebook page and the Sergeant could post an article. Additionally, the website and newsletter could be utilized. Mr. Armstrong encouraged staff and the elected officials not to make people overly paranoid on the issue.

C) DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE SEPTEMBER 22, 2015 CITY COUNCIL MEETING.

Mayor Daniels stated that the September 22 meeting will be a joint meeting with the Planning Commission. There will be a review/discussion on accessory apartments. Several assignments have been issued prior to this meeting. Director Young noted that those assignments will be addressed at the meeting.

D) NEIGHBORHOOD AND STAFF BUSINESS.

Staff shared updates relative to their respective departments. Mayor Daniels informed Neighborhood Chair, Libby Flegal, that there was great neighborhood representation at the Jubilee. Director Young announced that the following night his department will be holding the annual Community Development Appreciation Barbeque for the City Volunteers.

Assistant to the City Administrator, David Larson, provided an update on the vacant Finance Director position. The position closed last week and the initial review of applicants has occurred. Interviews were to take place the following Wednesday. Mayor Daniels commented on the Chamber's recent golf tournament and stated that it was well-attended and successful. Public Works Director, Marty Beaumont, provided an update on 1300 West storm drain line.

Fire Chief, Dave Thomas, announced that they will be partnering with the Pleasant Grove Police Department to produce a monthly series of articles on preparedness. Over the next one to two years and an organized step-by-step process, they will build a 96-hour kit. The overall theme will be that if one is prepared, they will be prepared to also serve others and their families. He encouraged everyone to follow the process and stated that they will kick off the program with a newsletter article in October.

Mayor Daniels commented on the level of participation at the most recent Public Safety Building Committee Meeting as being productive and worthwhile. The Public Safety personnel were very informative and there was less animosity between staff and members of the public. Mayor Daniels briefly mentioned that he has also had conversations with certain individuals outside of the committee meetings relative to negative online comments. Chief Thomas added that they will be hosting a party for the Public Safety Building Committee when the new building is finished.

Library and Arts Director, Sheri Britsch, reported that the Heritage Jubilee was very successful. She thanked the community volunteers and acknowledged special efforts made by Council Member LeMone, Lisa Coombs, the Neighborhood Chairs, and members of the Police, Fire and Parks Departments. Administrator Darrington gave updates on the City Prosecutor position and explained that they want to wait until the new law goes into effect to hire someone full time. Attorney Petersen added that some cities share a part-time Prosecutor, thereby providing a full-time position for one person. Pleasant Grove's current Prosecutor is doing a great job for the City. City Recorder, Kathy Kresser, reported that she will be gone the following week for the UMCA Conference in Logan. Barbara Johnson would be taking care of the minutes. She reported that the ULCT Meeting would take place this week.

E) **MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS**

Council Member Andersen reported that she went to Rexburg and toured their fire station. She discovered that they funded their project with urban renewal and university grants.

Council Member Boyd commented that this has been an emotional meeting tonight for her and many residents. The residents on 900 West who attended tonight's meeting do not want to boundary adjusted into Cedar Hills but they do not have any other choice. For nearly 20 years these residents, with the exception of the Days, have paid property taxes in Pleasant Grove and been an integral part of the community. However, Pleasant Grove has not delivered on one of the most basic needs and services promised to them.

Mayor Daniels announced that he would be gone the week of September 29.

F) **SIGNING OF PLATS**

There were no plats to sign.

G) **REVIEW CALENDAR**

There were no additional calendar items to review.

H) **ADJOURN**

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to adjourn. Council Member Stanley seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at 9:19 p.m.

Minutes of the September 15, 2015 City Council meeting were approved by the City Council on October 6, 2015.

Kathy T. Kresser, City Recorder, CMC

(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder's office.)