

**Pleasant Grove City Council Work Session Minutes**  
**October 26, 2010**  
**6:00 p.m.**

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Bruce W. Call

City Council Members:

Cindy Boyd

Val Danklef

Lee G. Jensen

Kim Robinson

Jeffrey D. Wilson

City Recorder:

Kathy T. Kresser

Colleen A. Mulvey, Deputy City Recorder

Others:

Scott Darrington, City Administrator

Dean Lundell, Finance Director

Tina Petersen, City Attorney

Ken Young, Comm. Dev. Director

Richard Bradford, Economic Dev. Director

Deon Giles, Leis. Services Director

Lynn Walker, Public Works Director

Marc Sanderson, Fire Chief

Tom Paul, Police Chief

Degen Lewis, City Engineer

Libby Flegal, NAB Chairperson

The Mayor, City Council Members and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah

---

**1. Call to Order**

Mayor Call called roll for the Council and noted that Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson were present.

**2. Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was lead by Council Member Robinson.

**3. Opening Remarks**

Opening Remarks were given by Mayor Call.

**4. American Fork Hospital update/presentation**

Bryant Larsen, Community Relations Director introduced himself and Ethan Shumway also from Community Relations and said that as your community hospital they felt it appropriate to give an accountability report of how things are going with their facility. Mr. Larsen started with an overview of American Fork Hospital which included the following facts: they are a not for profit community hospital; overseen by volunteer trustees; part of Intermountain Healthcare's system; approximately 725 employees; medical staff of approximately 225 members; 84 licensed beds; 3,100 births annually; 25,000 emergency room visits annually.

Mr. Larsen stated that American Fork Hospital has been rated by a national group, the Thomson Reuters 100 Top Hospitals as one of their top one hundred hospitals. This National Top 100 Designation award recognizes that the hospital has achieved excellence in: clinical outcomes; patient safety; patient satisfaction; financial performance; operational efficiency. Mr. Larsen pointed out that this is the fifth time American Fork Hospital has been recognized with this honor. He said that even though their name is American Fork they see themselves as a regional facility serving all of Utah County.

Mr. Shumway pointed out that growth in northern Utah County is occurring and has experienced a nine percent boost in population from 2000 to 2006. He stated that their facility wants to make sure that they continue to provide services that will ensure that they provide quality care for the people in our community. Mr. Shumway talked about some success stories and things that they are doing to ensure patient safety, one improvement is adding a bar code to the patient arm band that ensures by being scanned that the medication matches what is prescribed for each patient and aids in avoiding any potential mistakes. Another program that has been successful is the management of newborns with Respiratory Distress Syndrome, often when a baby is born prematurely their lungs are underdeveloped so a rapid transitions protocol for newborns was implemented where a CPAP is used to gently force air into the baby's lungs. Before this protocol was introduced seventy eight percent of these babies were having to be transported to a newborn ICU facility, after this protocol only four percent now need to be transferred, the babies are able to stay at American Fork Hospital which saves the patient money and allows family members to be closer to the baby.

Mr. Shumway then reviewed price comparisons done through the Utah Department of Health which for example shows in the case of being treated for heart failure, the price for being treated at American Fork Hospital is just over ten thousand dollars compared to a higher comparison of fourteen thousand six hundred dollars at Timpanogos Hospital. Mr. Shumway said that they always want to find out how they can improve the patient experience and it is reported that eighty percent of the patients who were asked would recommend American Fork Hospital to family and friends.

Mr. Shumway explained they offer a number of Charity Care dollars, which include write-offs or adjustments to patient's bills that is not part of bad debt. Charity Care dollars are used when it is determined a patient cannot pay because of their financial situation, and in our community in Pleasant Grove one point four million dollars was written off during 2009. Mr. Shumway said that he hopes that as leaders of this community if they hear of people having challenges that they would take the opportunity to refer them to look into our Charity Care program rather than them not get treated. Mr. Shumway then said that in their future planning that they are hoping to begin a campaign to bring Cath Lab capability for heart services and with the continued growth of the community that

they will continue to provide additional services and recruit additional specialists.

Council Member Boyd suggested putting a link on the city's website that would direct people to their information on the Charity Care. Administrator Darrington said that we could set that up. Mr. Shumway said that an easy way to spread the word is to have people call the main phone number of the hospital.

Mayor Call stated that their presentation was very informative and that we have always enjoyed a good relationship with American Fork Hospital. The Mayor then thanked them for their presentation.

## **5. UDOT update on the I-15 CORE Project**

Amalia Deslis, Public Involvement Coordinator for the I-15 CORE project said that she is here tonight to give a brief update on some upcoming activity in the area. She reported that on November 13 and 14, the new Proctor Lane Bridge will move into place, it won't be immediately opened to traffic, it will be open later this year. On November 13<sup>th</sup> they will also be demolishing the bridge at 200 South, so there will be two major activities going on that night and there is an alternate route for the I-15 closure while this is happening. Ms. Deslis said that the bridge at 200 South in Lindon will remain closed for six months and there are alternate routes established for the 200 South closure. She said that there are several ways to get more information or updates; there are weekly email updates, their website is [udot.utah.gov/i15core](http://udot.utah.gov/i15core), text messaging (text "i15" to 53535), hotline phone number 1-888-415-2673, [facebook.com/i15core](https://facebook.com/i15core) and [twitter.com/i15core](https://twitter.com/i15core).

Mayor Call asked if there was any time estimate on when the bridge on Sam White's lane would be done. Ms. Deslis said that that is going to happen next spring.

Engineer Lewis asked about the signs that would give alternate ideas for routes and when would those be functioning. Public Involvement Manager, Geoff Dupaix said that they have been testing them and trying to get them synced with the Automatic Traffic Management System, to coordinate them with the traffic cameras and will soon be in use. These signs are called Trailblazer signs, this is the first time something like this has been installed in Utah County and they are being placed in certain key locations to give motorists an opportunity to make a decision on which route they travel.

Ms. Deslis mentioned that they continue to appreciate everyone's patience, they have had significant backups and delays on I-15 and that they recognize that it is difficult and they are doing everything they can to help.

## **6. Evaluation of the MXD consulting proposal**

Administrator Darrington stated that we have talked with the consulting firm, MXD who are experts in the field of land use planning and economic development for some insight and help with the Grove area and more specifically, the Grove Interchange area. In meeting with them, we gave them a list of things that we would like to have studied and they came back with a proposal and Director Bradford has compiled an executive summary (exhibit A) which he will review and explain what we will get out of the study and what the costs are.

Director Bradford explained that this process began several months ago with the Economic Development Committee with the main concern being that we want the main entrance to our city to be a coordinated development that is beautiful, provides pedestrian amenities and the kinds of businesses that we feel are a good representation for our city. Director Bradford said that we have been dealing with a variety of developers who have proposed projects that we feel will not fit the design themes or the objectives of what we are trying to accomplish. He explained that the problem with proceeding in an uncoordinated method is that we don't maximize our tax receipt potential for our return on investment and we have all seen in other communities where they haven't done this right, where they function at the mercy of developers or the slumping economy and they have accepted projects that they probably should not have accepted under ordinary circumstances. Director Bradford said that the Economic Development Committee wants to put the city in the driver's seat, so that we can be very clear as we work with developers as to where we want to go in the future.

Director Bradford pointed out that MXD has done studies in many cities in Utah and they have vast national and international experience in every form of development from retail to residential, office and mixed use. The proposed study area in the Grove Interchange is approximately a six hundred and forty acre square mile and in that region there are four collector streets and in each of those areas this group will study and propose what is called a positioning statement, and suggest land use alternatives and how the mix of office, residential and retail should be put together. Director Bradford said that they look at regional data on rental costs, property values, absorption rates and anchor tenants and they would identify for us where the voids are and what types of developments that we would have the greatest potential to bring to the community. Director Bradford stated that he has worked with MXD before and that their study will be very specific to our community and the information that we get back from them would not be information that would go to any other community. They will also look at current economic conditions and what the potential is right now for retail, restaurants, office, mixed use and residential. The study will identify existing lodging/hotel facilities in the region and the amenities provided and report on occupancy rates and pricing and all of the data we need to know in evaluating hotel and meeting room facilities. MXD will recommend various positioning thoughts regarding future allocations by land use types and provide visuals which suggest transportation, both vehicular and pedestrian as well as recommend locations for open space, parks, pedestrian walkways, etc. Director Bradford said that all of the materials produced can be put on our website as marketing material and as part of a multi-media presentation that we can use as we host clients.

Director Bradford said that he believes that this is the opportunity for us to consider this information because we are in a slump in the economy and when things begin to pick up we want to make sure that we are ready, that we have got the ground work laid and we can grow with the curve in the directions that we want to grow. The total cost of the study is two hundred and five thousand dollars and it is estimated that the study will be completed within twelve weeks of signing a contract. Director Bradford said that he and Administrator Darrington have worked in paring down their original proposal and that it is his view that if we do try to cut back more, that certain elements of the study will be missing which will provide incomplete information and that he doesn't feel that we can expect to negotiate a much better rate and still achieve our objectives.

Mayor Call stated to clarify that this proposal is pared down from their original and asked what is being recommended. Council Member Boyd commented that their original proposal was three hundred thousand dollars. Director Bradford said that this is pared down from the original proposal and that he thinks we should keep this version because if we pare this down even further we will start losing elements which would be key in the overall decision making. Administrator Darrington added that when we initially talked with them we were going to have them look at the downtown Main Street area and also the full length of State Street in Pleasant Grove. He said that the feeling of the Economic Development Committee is that this is important, we don't necessarily have the expertise on staff to really figure out what the land use needs are out there and in predicting our future there will be some initial investment up front of bringing in a firm that can help us set the vision. Administrator Darrington said that the funding mechanism would be in our capital projects fund, we do have some reserve money in there that we could use, those are one time monies and this would be a onetime project.

Council Member Jensen asked for more information on the funding mechanism. Administrator Darrington said that we have a capital project fund that has a fund balance of a half million dollars that we could use for this project. Council Member Jensen asked if there are other projects in our future that could be considered for the use of that money besides this study. Administrator Darrington said that it could be used for the civic center, land acquisition or roads, it is onetime money so it is not something that goes to salaries or general operations. Council Member Boyd asked how we build up the capital fund balance. Administrator Darrington said that in the past it was a budget line item that when tax revenues came in there was money set aside that went into that fund and that's how it got built up. Council Member Boyd then said that if we invest this two hundred thousand dollars and bring in more sales tax dollars, then this is just a small investment in our future. Administrator Darrington said that this study gives us an outline which also studies 2000 West where big box type businesses will come into play so the sales tax revenue from one of those alone would cover the cost of the study. He said that if we invest this now and it gets this area planned and we can recruit the businesses we want then this will pay for itself many times over.

Council Member Robinson asked if there was another firm that does this particular type of study that could bid for this. Administrator Darrington said that legally we don't have to bid this out because it's a professional service, part of this is a timing issue with the idea that we need to have some decisions made before our temporary zoning ordinance expires and putting this out for a bid would require more time. Mayor Call added that part of this is also the confidence that we have in this particular firm. Administrator Darrington agreed, he said that they have come to us highly recommended, their study is going to be very specific to Pleasant Grove and who we are and what we have. Council Member Boyd commented that in conversations with MXD regarding what we are looking for, what really stands out is the positioning for land uses for the future because we have been trying to do this for a long time. Administrator Darrington stated that part of our issue is that we have developers coming in and telling us that we need more rooftops or we need a certain use or a restaurant in this area to get things jumpstarted and this firm is an independent third party that can say what is needed with the different types of uses.

Mayor Call remarked about the timing, and that sometimes we get the feel that the tough economic times are the times for spending zero money and that is not always the truth, it is the time to be

prudent about what money we spend and that he thinks that anything that we can do wisely that prepares us for the upswing is a smart move. The Mayor then asked the Council if they had any heartburn with the staff moving forward with this proposal.

Council Member Jensen commented that we have pushed for this for a long time and that he does support this study because it will be of value to us, but that he is uncomfortable with the timing because of the economy and the higher unemployment rate which indicate that there is nothing on the horizon to help precipitate an economic turnaround. He said that we may be two or three years away from an economic turnaround that is going to swing things in our favor and we have just gone through a very painful discussion on utility rate increases and that he can't think of a worse time for us to be spending this money and that although we need the study he doesn't see the urgency.

Council Member Danklef stated that he has concerns about the timing and the costs, and that he has abdicated for a long time that we need to be more professional and this can certainly be a way in which we can become more professional in a very large development. To have this kind of a study would be nice so that we could set our zoning in line with what experts are telling us what should and could come here, it would answer a lot of our questions.

Administrator Darrington commented that when you talk about the development in this area, the development is starting to happen, we do have a developer that wants to build a certain restaurant in our city and right now we are holding that person at bay until we figure out what we want to have happen out there. Administrator Darrington said that in delaying this study part of the issue here is getting this done before the rush comes instead of it hitting us and then we are trying to catch up. Attorney Petersen added that by doing the study and being prepared we are insuring that we get any projects that are available, if we are ready and have got our plan and our zoning in place it might put us a couple of steps ahead of other cities who's development process may not be ready to go. She said that this gives the Council another level of expertise and an objective criteria to fall back on in making some of the zoning decisions so instead of being developer driven you are driven by your own vision.

Council Member Boyd remarked that she thinks that by keeping our temporary zoning ordinance in place shows that we are incapable of making decisions and moving forward. She said that this study is exactly what we need and the timing is now in getting ourselves positioned and ready so when the market and the economy change we are ready to go and not waiting to watch something else pass us by.

Mayor Call stated that this study will show potential investors that we are committed to a real plan and show what would likely be neighbors for them. Director Young added that if you think of this as simply an expense then it does sound like a lot of money, but if you think of it as an investment into our future and the whole community, then this is the time to do it. Director Bradford stated that a study like this does give investors and developers a great deal of comfort and allows them to see the future of who is going to be coming in as their neighbors, where the trails and parks will be and it puts us in a much better position to be competitive.

Council Member Robinson said that she has concern with the timing and admits that she has not

been as involved with the economic development to understand that potentially the restaurant knocking at our door could be the jumpstart, but that it does look like a lot of money to explain to our citizens. She also said that she agrees that we do need to be prepared.

Council Member Wilson commented that he thinks of this in terms of having your two year supply or your seventy two hour kit, you don't know when it's going to hit and if you are not prepared for it you are left out. He said that we have been putting this off and that we should have already done this and two hundred thousand dollars is a lot of money but that he is looking at this as an investment for the future so that we are ready when this does happen.

Mayor Call remarked that this study is either the right thing to do now or it's the wrong thing to do now and that is the question that he would like the Council to consider. The question is not what will the public think of us if I vote yes or no. Mayor Call said that we should not be basing this decision on fear but rather basing it on what is the right thing to do right now. The Mayor then polled the Council members if they felt that this study is the right thing to do now, Council Members Boyd, Danklef and Wilson said "yes" and Council Members Jensen and Robinson said "no."

Mayor Call said that the proper thing to do now is to direct staff to move forward and get this to a point where we have a contract to review. Administrator Darrington indicated that they will work on this and get it prepared for review.

## **7. Discussion on metering users of the pressurized irrigation water**

Administrator Darrington said that one of the things that has come up with the secondary water is since we don't meter our water, is to try and determine the best way to charge people for secondary. He said that American Fork has a pretty good ordinance in place that we will probably pull some of the principals off of, but the intent is that we need to look closer and have different levels depending on the size of the lot. Administrator Darrington said that we will come up with something to present to the Council before April 1<sup>st</sup> and we just need to discuss this and make sure that we are going in the right direction by doing this with the secondary water.

Council Member Wilson said that we do have people that are on small lots who use their water a lot more than people on half or acre lots. Administrator Darrington agreed and said that he thinks that there are some solutions, if we feel that people are abusing and we set up criteria for what abusing means then we can put a meter on them and we could possibly charge them for their use. We just cannot slap meters on people randomly, they would have to be in violation of an ordinance.

Council Member Jensen indicated that he would like to look at large and small users, what we want to do about schools, churches, city property and not just abusers, but to have this covering everything having to do with secondary water. He added that the city ought to be an example of water conservation.

Administrator Darrington said that we have had discussions on the large water users and to say that we are going to meter someone because they have a large area might be illegal it may be we have to meter everybody or nobody.

Council Member Jensen asked if the abatement would be for water or what part of the utilities would it affect. Administrator Darrington said that it would apply to whatever we choose to do. Mayor Call said that we should research into extrapolating how many members of our community based on other communities that actually qualify for the abatement and for those that qualify just have a discount that is equal to the increase for everyone else so that over the next four years they don't have to worry about an increase.

Director Giles commented that we completed a water audit on every one of our facilities in the city this year and we were good, we did make some changes at a few locations but that we are looking good on our usage. Director Walker added that the city has also installed three separate moisture meters in the two new well areas.

Mayor Call asked if there was anything else to discuss, there was not. Administrator Darrington said that they will put something together for review but that it will probably not be ready until January.

## **8. Discussion on 100 East realignment**

Administrator Darrington said that in thinking about our past discussions on this alignment and trying to figure out who was driving this project, his question is if this something that the Council has been pushing in the past or is this something that Community Development or Administration was pushing for and that he didn't know what the genesis is of why we want to realign 100 East. He said that we need to have an overall discussion as to whether we need to do this in the next five years or can we wait for fifteen or twenty years.

Mayor Call said that on the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) level, this is something that their transportation group has recognized as being a need and that it has got to happen sometime. We have two north/south corridors that both dead end in Pleasant Grove and everyone recognizes that it's got to happen and what is really driving it is the property owners that could be affected, they're paralyzed, they don't know whether to expand or sell. He said that these property owners would like to know exactly what the plan is going to be regardless of whether it is going to happen next year or in twenty years, so the driving force is to be fair to those property owners that could be affected.

Administrator Darrington stated that logic tells us that this has to be done sometime but the question is does this have to be done now, or is it something that we can put off until later. The Mayor asked if he was talking about deciding where the alignment will be or about actually constructing the road. Administrator Darrington said that he means the actual construction. Mayor Call said that regardless of when that happens, that his point is that the property owners would like to know as soon as possible where it will take place not when. The Mayor added that it is not necessarily in our hands to decide that it will or will not ever happen, it is going to happen. Administrator Darrington asked if this involves the county roads and the state road why is the city feeling like this is our financial burden. Mayor Call said that the study itself is being done with MAG money and they recognize their responsibility in helping us do this.

Administrator Darrington said that in regards to the southern portion by the Purple Turtle, that it is his understanding that the past administration was really pushing UDOT to get this on their plan and that UDOT did agree that this needs to be done but that that construction it is not high on their priority list. We now have the money for the study and the issue is when the study is done and shows us how much it will cost, how we are going to pay for that. Mayor Call said that his way of thinking on this is not that the city is committing a single dollar into construction, what we are doing is discovering for our property owners what they would be able to do with the property they own. Administrator Darrington said that if that is what the main thing is then we might be okay here.

Council Member Jensen asked what the consequence to the city is once the study is done. Mayor Call said that it kind of locks us in. Council Member Jensen commented that if we pushed for this study then the city is going to have to come up with the money at some point to complete this. The Mayor said that the reality is that the city does not have the money.

Mayor Call said that on the MAG level the assumption is that this realignment is going to be right at State Street because nothing else has ever been discussed, we have to decide if that is what we want. Council Member Jensen agreed and said that the part we have to stress is what we want, it is our opportunity to give our input. Administrator Darrington said that we need to be aware that if the study comes back and tells us where we need to put this alignment, if we are going to get funding then that is where it needs to go.

Mayor Call asked to clarify that in talking to Horrocks Engineering about the two hundred thousand dollars of MAG funds that we've got, that we could get two alignments studied with this. Administrator Darrington said "yes," but part of the question that he has is in talking about including the swerve, the general idea is that we are okay to have that study but with the understanding that if the study comes back and says this is where the road is going then we possibly lose partners in UDOT to fund this. Engineer Lewis clarified that if you choose a location downtown, it is not on the state highway so there is a possibility that UDOT can say that they are not as involved in this and there is no money. Mayor Call said that once Geneva Road hits State Street it is no longer a state road, but 100 East is a state road all the way to State Street so any connection of 100 East as a state road to another state road even if it involves another part of a city road ought to be covered. Administrator Darrington said that when 100 East becomes a county road which may happen in the next year and we connect the county road into Main Street there is a possible funding source that might not be available as if we were doing the connection right into Geneva Road.

Administrator Darrington said that what he would like to do is get the County, UDOT and MAG and sit down to discuss the possibilities. Mayor Call said that we should, let's ask our questions and find out if we are limited on the funds that we can pursue if we choose a more northerly connection. Administrator Darrington said that he will arrange that meeting and report back.

## **9. Discussion of items for the November 3, 2010 City Council meeting:**

### **a. Presentation by Dennis Baker et al. regarding land uses in the Grove Interchange Sub district zone**

Mayor Call mentioned that he and Council Member Jensen would not be available to attend this meeting, it is scheduled to be held at 3:30 p.m. to accommodate Dennis Baker.

Administrator Darrington said that he believes that what Mr. Baker wants to do is talk about design standards and to show the quality of work that they do. Administrator Darrington stated that we don't doubt the quality of work that they do, our issue is with the use of what they are proposing to put out there in this zone.

Council Member Boyd asked if there was a reason to hold another Council meeting at 6:00 p.m. on this same day because at this point there is nothing else on the agenda.

Mayor Call asked that if another agenda item where to come up before this special 3:30 p.m. meeting, could that be noticed to be on this earlier agenda? Attorney Petersen said that it could.

It was decided that the City Council meeting on November 3<sup>rd</sup> will begin a 3:30 p.m. and that all business items will be discussed and acted on at this earlier meeting and that the meeting scheduled for 6:00 p.m. will be cancelled.

#### **10. Mayor, City Council and Staff Business**

- Attorney Petersen reported on the issue of the citizen that requested help at a previous Council meeting with regards to having problems with her neighbor parking in front of her house. She said that in following up with her what she was really trying to ask was that we change the ordinance. Our Zoning Officer has been out there and did speak to the neighbor and the actual problem is fixed, they got rid of a couple of vehicles and are not parking in front of her house or putting their garbage cans on her property. Attorney Petersen pointed out that there is a provision in our ordinance regarding garbage cans that could be interpreted to require people to keep their garbage cans on their own property.
- Administrator Darrington said that our Twitter account is now advertised on our website, it is in one of the prominent boxes on the main page where people can click on that and sign up to follow us on Twitter. We are also setting up a place on the main page where people can enter their email address and it will be added to the database that we are creating for the city that will be used to notify citizens by email for upcoming events, updates and other information.

Mayor Call asked all of the Council Members to go to our website and sign up to Twitter so that they will get the text updates as they are posted.

Administrator Darrington said that they are still working on the FAQ on the utility rate increase and that it will be ready by the time the November newsletter comes out. Also all of the information that we have available on the utility rates is on the front page of our website. Administrator Darrington then mentioned that we will be holding the public hearing on the utility rates at the Fire Station on November 16<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 p.m.

Administrator Darrington stated that for the next regional meeting for MAG on November 4<sup>th</sup>, they want an elected official and one staff member to attend. Mayor Call said that he would be out of town and asked Council Member Boyd if she would attend, Council Member Boyd indicated that she would and it was decided that Engineer Lewis would also attend.

Administrator Darrington explained that in the past when people leave the city in the winter and go to their summer home for a few months, we have suspended their utility rates with the exception of secondary water and storm drain. He said that generally as a practice most cities continue to charge the base rate for water and sewer even if you are not actually using them. Administrator Darrington stated that when he was asked by our Utility Billing Specialist, Scott Wells about this, he told him to charge the base rate and since then we have heard from a resident who is irritated because they have not been charged for this for the last five years. Administrator Darrington said that this is something that needs to be discussed with the Council to decide whether it is important to us that we charge people a base rate when they are not here in town and also what that base rate should be. The logic in charging the base rate is that we still have a system to maintain whether they are using water or not. Administrator Darrington then said that they will put together a proposal with what our ideas are about base rates and present it to the Council and this is something that we can discuss at our planning retreat in January. In the meantime what we will tell residents is that if this is the way it was done in the past then we will maintain that. Mayor Call stated that we probably should maintain that until we have a policy in place.

- Director Young said that they have researched Council Danklef's concern about bringing forward minor plat subdivision final plats for approval from City Council if everything is in order and they found out that there are many communities who do not do this for minor plats. What we are proposing is to have these types of final plats that would be restricted to subdivision plats of two lots or less be approved at the Planning Commission level and be exempt from City Council review. Director Young said that we are comfortable at the staff level that this is an appropriate measure and want to add this to our plat and plan requirements chapter which will be coming forward and presented to the Council in the near future.
- Chief Sanderson reported an update on the recent fire at the church on 1300 West and 50 South. The juveniles were caught because they discharged one of the fire extinguishers in the church and left perfect footprints in the powder. The fire and vandalism caused approximately ten thousand dollars worth of damage.
- Engineer Lewis stated that the Mayfield project continues to progress, they have 450 South paved and it will be open to traffic soon. The Sunbrook Subdivision on 1300 West is doing well; they have four of the twelve lots presold.

**The Mayor and Council signed the Willow Tree Recovery Subdivision Plat A and the Knudsen Road Dedication Plat.**

## **11. Executive Session**

Executive Session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation (UCA 52-4-205(1) (c)).

**ACTION:** At 8:06 p.m. Council Member Wilson moved to go into an executive session, to hold a strategy session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation (UCA 52-4-205(1)(c)). Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting “Aye.”

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Bruce W. Call

City Council Members:

Cindy Boyd

Val Danklef

Lee G. Jensen

Kim Robinson

Jeffrey D. Wilson

Others:

Scott Darrington, City Administrator

Dean Lundell, Finance Director

Tina Petersen, City Attorney

Colleen A. Mulvey, Deputy City Recorder

Richard Bradford, Economic Dev. Director

**ACTION:** At 8:46 p.m. Council Member Wilson moved to close the executive session and return to regular session. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting “Aye.”

**ACTION:** At 8:47 p.m. Council Member Wilson moved to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Danklef, Jensen, Robinson and Wilson voting “Aye.”

## **10. Adjourn**

Meeting adjourned at 8:47 p.m.

This certifies that the Work Session Minutes of October 26, 2010 are a true, full and correct copy as approved by the City Council on December 7, 2010

---

Colleen A Mulvey, Deputy City Recorder

*(Exhibits are in the Work Session Minutes binders in the Recorder's office)*