

Pleasant Grove City Council Work Session Minutes
April 10, 2007
7:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Mike Daniels

City Council Members:

Cindy Boyd

Lee G. Jensen

Mark Atwood

Bruce Call

Darold McDade

Deputy Recorder:

Mary Burgin

Others:

Tina Petersen, City Attorney

Lynn Walker, Public Works Director

Richard Bradford, Economic Development Director

Ken Young, Supervisor of Community Development

Tom Paul, Police Chief

Marc Sanderson, Fire Chief

Libby Flegal, NAB Chair

The City Council members met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South in Pleasant Grove, Utah 84062 at 7 p.m.

Mayor Daniels welcomed everyone to the meeting. He excused Administrator Mills and Director Clay, who were attending meetings in St. George.

1. Opening Remarks

The Opening Remarks were given by Council Member Jensen.

2. Public Hearing regarding Pleasant Grove City and Lindon city modifying their current Public Safety Services Contract wherein Pleasant Grove City provides police, fire and ambulance service to Lindon City. The Council will discuss a recent study commissioned by both cities regarding recommendations on Public Safety Services.

Mayor Daniels explained to those present that a year or so before, there had been an effort to create the Lone Peak fire district with the communities to the north of the city. He said Pleasant Grove found that in getting credit for the city's equipment, etc, they found that to join such a district would have not been a good financial move for the City.

However, there has been a question with Lindon City officials as to there being a possible

district being formed between Pleasant Grove and Lindon cities. He said Mr. Chris Hillman was then commissioned by the two cities to look at the proposal and evaluate the pros and cons. Mr. Hillman interviewed everyone involved, and then created a document with his evaluations. Mayor Daniels said the bottom line was that a district would be a great deal for Lindon and a bad deal for Pleasant Grove City. He said he then discussed the report with Lindon officials. Lindon officials said their main concern was having specific information about their services. He said he agreed that they should have some ownership in regard to the services they receive from Pleasant Grove. Also, that the services run Lindon around \$1 million a year and is a big item on their budget.

Mayor Daniels noted that other cities in the county had gone to another system of combining services. However, with time, these cities had broken into what Chief Paul called precincts. He also said that some cities feel it would be cheaper to contract their dispatch services to the county. Chief Paul said that when cities have approached him about contracting their dispatch with Pleasant Grove, they have found the price is about the same as the county. He noted that Lindon City officials are beginning to see that taking on all of their services might be more than they can currently handle.

He said there would be a couple of options for Lindon. Pleasant Grove can give them their portion of the cost based on call volume. Lindon could then go out and see if they could receive services from another entity for a better price. He said, however, that he has explained to Mayor Acerson that Pleasant Grove cannot give them the kind of reporting they are asking in regard to the breakdown of costs. Pleasant Grove does not have that kind of capability.

The Mayor next explained that he has told Lindon officials that if they are going to break away from Pleasant Grove, that they would need to do it at the beginning of the 2009 budget year (July 2008). He said it is critical to protect current personnel and their jobs by being able to plan ahead. In watching this same kind of scenario happen in the private sector companies, he told those present that such a move usually creates more jobs. He then apologized for a remark he had made about employees being less loyal in the 21st Century. He said he felt that discussion with fire and police personnel had taken that discussion, "down the wrong road."

The third option would be for Lindon to just move on immediately. He said Lindon officials have recently said this option is not financially possible for them. He asked the Council to give him input so that he could compose a letter to Lindon regarding Pleasant Grove's stance.

Chief Sanderson then asked the Mayor if it would be okay if he started Lindon off on their own and by 2008, they will have taken "baby steps" in creating their own office with a Fire Marshal that could do the business inspections. He said he wanted Lindon to start doing their own fire inspections for business licenses and development reviews as soon as possible by hiring a fire marshal so that by 2008, they will have less to take on. Mayor Daniels said this would be a matter that could be handled by the Chief at an administrative level. The Council agreed with the Mayor.

Mayor Daniels then opened the discussion to the many fire fighters; EMT's and police personnel that were in attendance.

Mrs. Sherri Atwood with Public Safety asked if there was an example of reporting that Lindon was asking for in regard to dispatch. Mayor Daniels said that with his cellular phone bill, everything is itemized. However, he said that would be impossible for the city to produce. He said there would need to be extra personnel needed just to produce such a billing. After further discussion, the Mayor explained that a lot is up in the air at this time, and he is really can't answer most of the questions about billing, etc.

Chief Paul agreed. He said that billing questions with the dispatch has not been discussed between Lindon and Pleasant Grove. He went on to say that Lindon has not really said what they are doing, as yet. Mayor Daniels also noted that in trying to measure each dispatch call, as well as ambulance time, etc, would become a nightmare for Pleasant Grove staff.

Fireman Merrill Haas said he would be very concerned if Lindon broke off on their own. He said the department, as it is, works very well together with the Lindon and Pleasant Grove personnel. He termed Lindon as "Service Partners" with Pleasant Grove. He also indicated that it is difficult to find people that will put in the time to become qualified to do the job.

Mayor Daniels stated that Lindon officials are mostly concerned that they are getting the services they pay for. He added that he feels that both communities are growing, and will eventually naturally split. He said that Mayor Acerson said that it would probably be best if Lindon currently stays with Pleasant Grove; and that Lindon not continue to ask for such a heavy level of reporting.

In a meeting about three weeks ago, he said that Lindon did mention that perhaps they would work toward going another direction in the next year with police protection. However, they said that fire and EMS services would probably have to continue with Pleasant Grove.

Mrs. Atwood asked what was next. Mayor Daniels said his next step is to write a letter to Lindon officials, outlining what the options would be. He said Lindon has a month to decide what to do. He said if they decide they don't want to make a decision that quickly, they would have another year to decide. He also said that Pleasant Grove will continue to employ the public safety employees despite whatever Lindon decides.

Firefighter Richard Willis asked if the Mayor trusted Lindon to hold to an agreement. Mayor Daniels said he has worked extensively with Mayor Acerson and trusts him completely. He said, however, that the Mayor will be leaving as a mission president in Italy in June, and it might become a whole new ballgame at that point with a new Mayor and Council Member in Lindon.

Firefighters Josh Edwards and Andrew Engemann both thanked the Mayor and Council for involving them in discussions. Mr. Engemann said he was a Lindon resident and was very concerned about the negotiations going on between the cities.

Mayor Daniels then closed the public input session. He asked the Council to please give him ideas as to what they would like to be contained in the letter to Lindon. The Council agreed that Lindon would need to not separate from Pleasant Grove until the next fiscal year. They also wanted to make sure that the existing personnel were able to retain their jobs. Council Member Boyd said she felt the suggestion of Chief Sanderson in switching the administrative part of the fire inspections to Lindon City Staff would be a good idea.

Attorney Petersen then indicated that if a third option for Lindon in going with the County was chosen, Pleasant Grove City might not be able to retain all of the personnel the City now has. She also explained that there is already a statute on the books that requires Lindon to notify Pleasant Grove prior to six months before they would plan to split away for their services.

Mayor Daniels said he really appreciated all of the input given.

3. To consider a Resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and amended Interlocal Agreement between Pleasant Grove City, Lehi City and American Fork City regarding the Tri-City Golf Course Operating and Management Agreement:

Attorney Petersen explained to the Mayor and Council that due to the factors that Mr. Burningham had just gotten the information she needed that same day and American Fork City losing their City Attorney, she was unable to have the interlocal agreement ready for the current meeting. She asked if the item could be continued to the upcoming City Council meeting on April 17, 2007. The Mayor and Council said that would be fine.

ACTION: Council Member Boyd moved to continue the consideration of a Resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign an amended Interlocal Agreement between Pleasant Grove City, Lehi City and American Fork City regarding the Tri-City Golf Course Operating and Management Agreement until April 17, 2007 City Council Meeting. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call and Jensen voting, "Aye."

4. To discuss the proposed Pleasant Grove/Cedar Hills Boundary Agreement.

Mayor Daniels explained that the two cities had been meeting for at least three years regarding the best way to come up with an agreement to set the boundaries between the cities. He said the method used in the past with one-offs had not worked well. At this point, he said he felt the two cities had finally come up with an agreement based on actual serviceable areas.

The Mayor went on to say that the two engineers came together and figured out which city would best be able to service what area according to sewer and water lines. He then said that letters were being mailed to the residents in those areas telling them how they

would be affected by the proposed change. He added that the public's health, safety and welfare by the ability to provide basic services were the basis for the determination of boundary lines that were drawn.

He then referred the Council to the map that had been handed out. The green hatched areas are the proposed boundaries. The red lines are the current city boundaries. He said that the initial meeting on April 24, 2007 at Deerfield Elementary will be a public information meeting to discuss the proposal in greater detail. Following that meeting, a public hearing (which has to be noticed in the newspaper) will be held at a later date. He said those that are actually "affected" will have rights.

In looking at the map, he said there are red and green boundaries illustrated on the map. Those properties that would change from one city to the other through the proposed boundary change are considered affected by the proposal.

Next, the Mayor said that now that a letter was going out and the upcoming meeting had been set, there needed to be personnel that could answer questions to the public. He suggested that Supervisor Young be the first-line for Pleasant Grove. Additionally, he said that Council Members Atwood and Boyd have been involved in the meetings and would be able to answer the public's inquiries. He also offered himself and Attorney Petersen as resources, if needed.

Following the input that will be taken at the public information meeting, The Mayor said that any changes in the initial proposal will be made and then the two cities will notice and hold their own public hearings. At the public hearings, action will be taken on the proposal.

5. To consider adoption of a Resolution amending planning and development fees charged by the Community Development Department.

Supervisor Young indicated that he and his staff have been studying the City's planning and development fees for some time. He said that after checking fees in comparable communities, they found that Pleasant Grove's fees were quite low and not currently supporting the effort and costs that are needed. He said the proposed fees would be in the middle range of what other cities were currently charging.

Community Development Fee Policy

The Community Development Department charges fees as established by this policy. Fees are required to be paid before any requests or application will be processed. A separate fee will be charged for each distinct application item. A policy establishing fees charged by the Community Development Department is hereby amended to read as follows:

Board of Adjustment

Variance	\$200
Appeal	\$200

Home Occupation Permit

Minor	\$50
Major	\$150

Site Plans

Commercial Site Plan	\$300 + \$20 per acre
The Grove Zone Site Plan	\$500 + \$100 per acre
Amended Site Plan (minor)	\$100
“ “ “ (major)	\$300 + \$20 per acre

Conditional Use Permit

Commercial Use	\$300 (includes commercial use in residential)
“ “ Combined with Site Plan	\$500 + \$20 per acre
Residential Use	\$200 (includes non-commercial kennels)
The Grove Zone Conditional Use	\$500 + \$100 per acre

Subdivisions

Residential Concept Plan	\$20 per lot
Non-Residential Concept Plan	\$100
The Grove Zone Concept Plan	\$200 + \$50 per acre
Preliminary Plat	\$200 plus \$50 per lot
“ “ Minor Subdiv.(1-3 lots)	\$100 plus \$50 per lot
Final Plat	\$200 plus \$50 per lot
Amended Plat	\$200 plus \$20 per lot

Zoning Ordinance

Code Text Amendment	\$300 per chapter subsection or fraction thereof.
Rezoning	\$300 plus \$10 per acre

General Plan

Map Amendment	\$300 plus \$10 per acre
Plan Text Amendment	\$300 per section or fraction thereof

Special Meeting

\$500 for any board, council, commission, etc. to convene a meeting at the request of a private person or entity where such meeting is not regularly scheduled. Such special meeting may be held only if that board, council, commission, etc. has consented to hold the meeting.

Standard GIS Maps

8.5" x 11"	\$2
11" x 17"	\$4
22" x 17"	\$15

24" x 26"	\$25
30" x 36"	\$35

Custom GIS Maps

Custom maps may be purchased for \$25 plus the cost of the Standard GIS Map print size requested (“Standard GIS Maps” for pricing) for custom maps requiring up to three (3) hours of preparation time. Custom maps requiring more than three (3) hours work will be charged an additional \$10 per hour for every hour or fraction of hour over three (3) hours (e.g. 3 hours 21 minutes of work would be charged \$25 plus \$10 for the 21 minutes over the base 3 hour charge).

Other

Annexation	\$300 plus \$10 per acre
Street Name Signs	\$200 per sign
Street Vacation	\$300
Temporary Use Sign	\$100

ACTION: Council Member Call moved to adopt Resolution No. 2007-019, amending planning and development fees charged by the Community Development Department as listed in the staff reports and the resolution. Council Member Boyd seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call and Jensen voting, “Aye.”

6. To consider adoption of a Resolution establishing a policy for building permit fees charged by the Community Development Department.

Supervisor Young explained that the reasoning on setting a policy for building permit fees charged by the Community Development Department was that the fees will be based upon the building valuation data through The International Building Council (IBC). He said most cities set their fees by using the IBC fee recommendations. The following is the building permit fee policy, as contained in the proposed Resolution:

Building Permit Fee Policy:

Building permit fees charged by Pleasant Grove City Community Development shall be based upon the tabulations of square foot construction costs and total valuations, as recommended and regularly updated by the International Building Code.

Plan review fees charged by Pleasant Grove City Community Development shall be charged at a rate of 65% of the building permit fee for commercial construction, and 50% of the building permit fee for residential construction.

Mayor Daniels asked if there were any questions from the Council. There were none. He then asked for a motion.

ACTION: Council Member Call moved to adopt Resolution No. 2007-020, establishing a policy for building permit fees charged by the Community Development Department. The policy shall be that building permit fees charged by Pleasant Grove City Community Development shall be based upon the tabulations of square foot construction costs and total valuations, as recommended and regularly updated by the International Building Code. Also, plan review fees charged by Pleasant Grove City Community Development shall be charged at a rate of 65% of the building permit fee for commercial construction, and 50% of the building permit fee for residential construction. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call and Jensen voting, “Aye.”

7. To consider a Resolution adopting an amended Business License Application Form, including previously adopted business license fees; and setting some new fees.

Attorney Petersen said that the City’s Business License Official (BLO), Carol Emery, had recommended some technical changes to the business license form itself. This would include establishing a \$15 fee for a temporary transient as well as a permit for special events that would include a street vendor fee. She also said the fees are being adopted by Resolution because that is the way they were originally set.

Because the City’s business license application was passed by Resolution in 1998, it is once again being presented for consideration as a Resolution. There are some changes that will need to be made. Minor changes would be to do with word placement or realignment of graphics. These include changing the new City logo, adding a new and transfer, changing areas where information is pertaining to addresses that will be given and adding a detailed description of commercial business. This last item is due to a need to know more information about what commercial businesses may be doing.

The main changes to the resolution will be because of the recent change in the City’s Solicitor Ordinance. The application needs know more information about what commercial businesses may be doing. The Main changes are because of the changes to the fee schedules, also. These include the “door-to-door” to “Residential Solicitation.” The fee is \$15 per person. Additionally, transient, itinerant merchants and vendors have annual added. Temporary street vendor (one to seven day) is \$15. This is so vendors have a fee and a way to license. This could include those who would want to sell flowers on the corner or such businesses as Strawberry Days vendors at the parade, etc.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to adopt Resolution No. 2007-018, adopting an amended Business License Application Form, including previously adopted business license fees; and setting some new fees. Council Member Boyd seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call and Jensen voting, “Aye.”

8. To consider adoption of a Resolution amending the Pleasant Grove Cemetery Statement of Policy.

Director Giles came forward and explained that in order to maintain a beautiful cemetery as well as addressing particular safety issues; he wanted to present to the Mayor and Council a Resolution that would amend the current Cemetery Statement of Policy.

Additionally, he said he would have signs in the Cemetery for patrons to see the new rules. The rules will also be in the newspaper and the city newsletter. New patrons, as they purchase lots in the Cemetery, will be required to read the new rules and sign off that they have read them and agree to them.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to adopt Resolution No. 2007-021, amending the Pleasant Grove Cemetery Statement of Policy. Council Member Call seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call and Jensen voting, “Aye.”

9. To consider awarding bid for the Manila Tank Park Landscaping Project.

Director Giles addressed the Mayor and Council and told them the J. Lyne Roberts and Sons, Inc, had submitted the low bid for the Manila Tank Park Landscaping project in the amount of \$123,945.00. He added that this bid was actually below the engineer’s estimate. He said he recommended that this bid be accepted so the project could move forward.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to accept the bid of J. Lyne Roberts and Sons, Inc, in the amount of \$123,945.00 for the Manila Tank Park Landscaping Project. Council Member Boyd seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call and Jensen voting, “Aye.”

(Please Note: Council Member McDade arrived at 8:17 p.m.)

10. To consider awarding bid for the Pressure Irrigation System - Schedule 6 Project.

Director Walker came forward and reported to the Mayor and Council that on Thursday, March 15, 2007 bids were opened for the Pressure Irrigation System—Schedule 6—Project. He said that HDX L.C. (A Dunn Company) came in with the low bid of \$7,321,562.55 which was 13.98% lower than the engineer’s estimate. Currently, Dunn Construction is working on Schedule 4B and 5B of the project.

He said that he recommends that the acceptance of their bid. Mayor Daniels asked for a motion.

ACTION: Council Member Jensen moved to accept the bid of HDX L.C. (A Dunn Company) with a bid in the amount of \$7,321,562.55 for the Pressure Irrigation

System—Schedule 6—Project. Council Member Call seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, McDade, Call and Jensen voting, “Aye.”

11. To consider awarding bid for the City Hall and Police Station Parking Lot Expansion Project.

Director Walker stated that bids that came in for this project were 31% above the engineer’s estimate. He said that the decision was made that the north part of the parking lot could go for now, and the south part (by the police station) would be done. He said they will work with the engineers in requesting bids for just the south side for now.

Mayor Daniels asked if there was any chance that asphalt prices might go down. Director Walker said no, that it probably won’t go down in the foreseeable future. In fact, as far as he can see, it will continue to rise in price. The Mayor wondered if maybe the City should accept the bid for the whole project and just go ahead.

Council Member Atwood also observed that if the north park of the parking lot was done at this time, it might be a mistake. He said it really hasn’t been decided as to what is going to be done with that area and he felt it would be premature to pave the area and then have to dig that paving up. He suggested that if the City wanted to put road base in as a temporary place to park, it might be a better choice for the time being. Mayor Daniels said Council Member Atwood had made a valid point. The rest of the Council recommended that just the south part of the project go forward at this time.

ACTION: Council Member Atwood moved to reject the bid for the City Hall and Police Station Parking Lot Expansion Project and recommended that the City Engineers go back and negotiate a price for just the south portion (Police Station) project at this time. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, McDade, Call and Jensen voting, “Aye.”

12. To consider awarding bid for the 1100 North - 100 East to Murdock Canal (Special Improvement District 2006-1) – Roadway Project.

Director Walker indicated that the bid for this project had come in at 7% over the engineer’s estimated cost. He said that Administrator Mills had recommended that that both projects (this item and item 13) be rejected for now. Mayor Daniels said that the decision to reject the bids was also tied to the homeowners in the SID, who would reject the cost, also. Director Walker said the project will again go out to bid.

Council Member Jensen wondered if the projects are again put out to bid, what with the ever-increasing cost of asphalt, if it would just be higher again. Council Member Atwood then explained that a lot of the project boarding 100 East has now been completed due to the Larsen property being developed by the Dalaco Company. He said with Dalaco paying for the curb and gutter along that area, it should bring the cost of the project down a bit.

Council Member Call then said in reading the bids, he had noticed that according to what he had read, the bids were actually coming in lower than the engineer's estimate. Mayor Daniels recommended that Council Member Call read the whole letter from the engineers so he could better understand what the numbers actually mean.

Council Member Jensen then expressed concern with 1100 North not being completed. He said he was very concerned that a school child could be hurt trying to walk up and down the road without curb, gutter or sidewalk. He then noted that the City had \$2 million in the City coffers from the Recreation Center that he wondered why couldn't be utilized for the project. Director Walker said as far as he knew, that money was already committed elsewhere. Council Member Atwood noted that the 2000 West project is also a very pressing issue at this time.

After further discussion, Mayor Daniels said there were obvious questions at this point that really needed to be answered by Finance Director Clay, who was out of town. The Council agreed.

ACTION: Council Member Atwood moved to continue this item and item 13, until April 17, 2007 City Council meeting so that Finance Director Clay can more fully explain funding for these two projects at this time. Council Member Jensen seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, McDade, Call and Jensen voting, "Aye."

13. To consider awarding bid for the 1100 North SID Utilities Project.

(Please see action with item 12—which included item 13)

14. Discussion of items for the upcoming April 17, 2007 City Council meeting

a. To consider approval of new Community Center Design Plans.

Recreation Manager Nielsen said that the drawings in the Council's packet are the very first step of the floor plan. He said they have the beginnings of cost estimates as well as elevations in packets next week.

Mayor Daniels asked if there had been any actual written agreements between the City and the School District as yet. Attorney Petersen said she was not aware of anything that had been formally prepared. Council Member McDade indicated that Alpine School District (ASD) had passed approved the proposed agreement. He said if the land could possibly be handled with a quit claim deed, it would take very little time. However, he said with Administrator Mills out of town, he wasn't sure what had happened.

b. To consider adoption of a Resolution informing the Water Quality Board that the Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Report for

2006 has been reviewed and that all appropriate necessary actions have been taken to maintain effluent requirements.

Attorney Petersen noted that Administrator Mills would be presenting this at the Council meeting. She said this is a yearly item that comes before the Council.

- c. **To consider adoption of a Resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign an extension of term of Sewer Treatment Services Contract.**

Attorney Petersen said this item allows the City to continue to participate with Timpanogos Special Service District for another 25 years.

- d. **To consider an Ordinance enacting Title 7, Chapter 1, Subsection 16, "Streets and Sidewalks – Hazardous Materials Clean-Up - Recovery of Costs." Authorizing the City to cleanup or abate hazardous material spills and to recover the costs of cleanup from responsible parties; and providing for an effective date.**

Chief Sanderson said there would a change in the billing by the City to the insurance companies for materials used to clean up hazardous wastes.

- e. **To consider approval of Change Order #1 for Mahogany Park Project.**

Director Giles explained that a line had been installed at Mahogany park by Questar, and had been elevated by that company due to a high water table in that area.

- f. **To consider approval of Payment #3 to Chad Broderick, Inc. for the Mahogany Park Project.**

Director Giles said this is the third payment for work on Mahogany Park. Council Member Atwood asked the last two items could be on the consent agenda for the Council meeting. Attorney Petersen said yes.

15. Mayor, City Council and Staff business

- NAB Chair Libby Flegal said she is working on replacing two of the chairs.
- Mrs. Deanne Taylor reminded the Mayor and Council that the Pleasant Grove Business Alliance would be held on Friday, April 13, 2007 at 7:45 a.m. She mentioned that the meetings would be held in the afternoons after this upcoming meeting.
- Director Giles said that he had received word that the City would be receiving Arbor Day grants that would pay for four of the five trees to be planted on Arbor Day in the Downtown Park.

- Director Walker said that the secondary water tank was cleaned and was starting to fill.
- Mayor Daniels said he saw instruction sheets for the secondary system floating around at his church on Sunday. Director Walker explained that a dual neighborhood meeting had been held in the Grove Creek LDS Stake Center the past Saturday. He said that about 200 + people had attended in order to learn more about the installation of the secondary system in yard from Water Superintendent Robert Kresser.
- Attorney Petersen said with Administrator Mills and Director Clay at meetings out of town, she is holding the fort down for the week.
- Council Member Call reminded the Council and staff that they have two months to get ready to run the Strawberry Days 5k with him.
- Council Member Jensen indicated that the bids for the new irrigation system at Fox Hollow Golf Course had been opened. He said they were quite a bit below the engineer's estimate. He also said that American Fork City had dropped the idea of running a line through the north part of the course.
- Mayor Daniels reminded those that were going to the ULCT meetings in St. George that there would be a dinner the following evening at Staley's Catering at 6:30 p.m.

In regard to upcoming budget hearings, he said that the next meeting would be held right after the Arbor Day Celebration in the Downtown Park on April 28, 2007. He said the celebration will be at 8 a.m. and the budget hearing will be immediately after at 9 a.m. He also said the meeting is open to the public. Council Member Call asked if he could receive the budget information by email.

The Mayor said that the Liahona School would be having a press conference on Saturday, April 14, 2007 at the Cambria clubhouse from 12 to 2 p.m.

He next announced that the Miss Pleasant Grove pageant would be held on Saturday, April 14 at 7 p.m. at the high school auditorium.

The work session meeting will be replaced, he said, by the Pleasant Grove/Cedar Hills meeting at Deerfield Elementary on April 24, 2007 at 7 p.m. Council Member Call said he would be out of town for that meeting.

The General Plan meeting will be on April 26, 2007 in the Council Chambers from 6 to 8 p.m.

- Council Member McDade announced the opening day of Little League baseball on Saturday, April 28, 2007 at 4 p.m. at Manila Park fields.

Mayor Daniels asked if there was any other business. There was none, so he asked for a motion to adjourn.

6. Adjourn.

ACTION: At 9:10 p.m. Council Member McDade moved to adjourn the work session. Council Member Atwood seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Call, Jensen and McDade voting, "Aye."

This certifies that the Work Session Minutes for April 10, 2007 are true, full and correct copy as approved by The City Council on April 17, 2007.

Signed _____
Mary Burgin, Deputy Recorder