

Pleasant Grove City Council Meeting Minutes
December 10, 2013
6:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Bruce W. Call

Council Members:

Cindy Boyd
Jay Meacham
Kim Robinson
Heather Pack
Cyd LeMone

Staff Present:

Scott Darrington, City Administrator
David Larson, Assistant to the City Administrator
Deon Giles, Parks and Recreation Director
Degen Lewis, City Engineer
Kathy Kresser, City Recorder
Ken Young, Community Development Director
Mike Smith, Police Chief
Marc Sanderson, Fire Chief

The City Council and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah.

1) **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Call called the meeting to order and noted that Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Robinson, Meacham and Pack were present.

2) **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council Member Meacham.

3) **OPENING REMARKS**

The opening remarks were given by Council Member Pack.

4) **APPROVAL OF MEETING'S AGENDA.**

ACTION: Council Member Meacham moved to approve the agenda. Council Member Boyd seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

5) **CONSENT ITEMS.**

- a. **City Council and Work Session Minutes:
City Council Work Session Minutes for November 12, 2013.**
- b. **To consider for approval of Pay Request No. 1, Final Payment for the 2013 Street Preservation – MicroSurface Project to Geneva Rock.**

ACTION: Council Member Robinson moved to approve the consent items. Council Member Meacham seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

6) **OPEN SESSION.**

Mayor Call opened the public session.

Fred Miller gave his address as 850 East 200 South, and wished to address the Sycamore trees at Battle Creek Park. He explained that the high density of trees overwhelms his home and yard when the leaves shed in the fall, and when they grow again in the spring. Mayor Call suggested that Director Giles meet with Mr. Miller to discuss potential solutions.

Council Member Robinson referenced Resolution 2013-048, which was discussed in the December 3, 2013 meeting. She retracted her original vote from “Aye” to “Nay.”

Sandy Carter gave her address as 2708 North 450 West, and thanked the Mayor and City Council for all they do to make the community a better place. She explained that as she has gotten to know the Mayor and City Council Members, her eyes have been opened to what is required to run a city. She stated that it has been a privilege to work with the Police and Fire Departments, and noted how critical it is for everyone to look for the good in each other and work together in order to provide solutions to problems. In conclusion, she expressed appreciation to the Mayor and City Council for everything they do each day.

Council Member Robinson expressed appreciation for the efforts of the Blue Ribbon Committee. She noted that following the election they put together a final report of post-election suggestions. She encouraged Mayor-Elect Daniels to review the report and have the Blue Ribbon Committee assist in bringing those suggestions forward.

Council Member Pack discussed a project that she participated in this year called “Jubilee.” One of the objectives was to help citizens feel a sense of identity with their community, particularly within their neighborhoods. A competition was held to see which neighborhood could create the best representation of Pleasant Grove City’s Celebration this year. Council Member Pack announced that the winner of the 2013 competition was Monkey Town. She displayed a plaque that was made in their honor. She thanked April Harrison for all of her hard work, and remarked that she has left an imprint on the community.

There were no further public comments. Mayor Call closed the public session.

7) **BUSINESS.**

A. PRESENTATION TO MAYOR CALL AND COUNCIL MEMBERS KIM ROBINSON AND HEATHER PACK.

Mayor Call stated that tonight's meeting is the last for himself, Council Member Robinson, and Council Member Pack. He explained that Council Member Pack has only been a part of the City Council for a few months, but has done a tremendous amount of work for the City over the years. He acknowledged her work with the Arts Commission, Planning Commission, and contributions to several Ad hoc committees. Mayor Call presented an award to Council Member Pack.

Council Member Robinson served on the Council for four years. Mayor Call identified Council Member Robinson as a "firecracker," "go-getter," and a "bulldog," explaining that she has an energy and fire inside of her. Mayor Call expressed his appreciation for the opportunity to work with her over the years, and presented her with an award.

Council Member Robinson thanked the citizens and expressed her love for Pleasant Grove for giving her the opportunity to serve on the City Council. She expressed her gratitude to City staff for their patience, noting that she has learned much from them. She provided specific words to describe certain individuals, and made the following tributes:

- Kathy Kresser - commitment
- April Harrison - kindness
- Bill Candland - hard work
- Chief Cory Cluff - professionalism
- Officer Carl Nielsen - integrity
- Engineer Degen Lewis - expertise
- Director Ken Young - patience
- Director Lynn Walker - wisdom
- Sherri Atwood - grace
- Scott Fugal - community
- Director Deon Giles - Pleasant Grove

She expressed her appreciation for the role she has had in representing the citizens of the community, as well as for all the willing volunteers who make the City work. She expressed gratitude for the opportunity she has had to learn, and made specific mention of the Get Up and Move Program, Strawberry Days, and Rodeo Committees. She expressed appreciation for her husband, Kurt, and her family. She wished the newcomers well in their new roles and encouraged everyone to stay involved in community work.

Council Member Boyd prepared a statement to honor Mayor Call, and within that statement expressed gratitude for what he has accomplished in his eight years of service. She also noted that he has been a great leader, mentor, and example within the community, and acknowledged the sacrifices that he has made on behalf of Pleasant Grove.

Mayor Call echoed previous comments made by Council Member Robinson, noting that the City would not be able to function without the efforts of volunteers. He acknowledged all of the efforts made by these volunteers, and stated that Pleasant Grove is the best community in Utah. He also recognized the City employees as being "the best in the world." He thanked them for their support and patience.

B. RECOGNITION OF THE HONORARY COLONELS FOR THEIR DONATIONS.
PRESENTER: CHIEF SMITH.

Chief Smith read the mission statement of the Honorary Colonels, which was founded in 2010. He then read the mission statement. (See exhibit "A")

Chief Smith stated that they are an amazing group of people with whom he feels privileged to associate. He then read the names of the following individuals: Mike Carter, President, Jim Richards, Vice President, Ron Laudie, Treasurer, Steve Allred, Sandy Carter, Steve Coble, Elaine Dameron, Guy Fugal, Mike Fuscandrocas, Rich Guernsey, Dan Norman, Paul Olpin, Dave Told, Rich Weber, Toni Jorgensen, Mike Petersen, John Stevens, Jared Sidwell, and Dale Southam.

Chief Smith explained that one of their greatest needs as an association at this time is for portable radios. The current radios were purchased in 2002 for the Olympics, and even after several repairs they are becoming unusable because Motorola no longer manufactures the parts for them. The Colonels have made a commitment to assist in purchasing new portable radios. Throughout the year, there have been different installments to this project; so far, they've been able to contribute \$12,221.05, which has purchased seven radios. These individuals have donated hundreds of dollars to officers, and thousands of dollars have been poured into the K-9 Program. They've cooked breakfast for staff meetings, and have initiated the Officer of the Quarter Program, as well as Officer of the Year Awards. They also conducted a Heroes Behind the Badge event this past spring, which was the most successful event in the nation. At the end of February 2014, the National Law Enforcement Memorial will return to Pleasant Grove to host another event. Chief Smith thanked everyone for their time, and expressed his appreciation for the Pleasant Grove City Honorary Colonels Association.

C. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2013-36) AT THE REQUEST OF JACKSON JONES TO APPLY THE RESIDENTIAL AGRICULTURE OVERLAY ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 360 SOUTH 1100 EAST. (BATTLE CREEK NEIGHBORHOOD)
PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG (CONTINUED FROM THE NOVEMBER 19, 2013 MEETING).

Council Member LeMone recused herself from this agenda item due to a conflict of interest. Mayor Call explained that the purpose of recusing oneself from a meeting to those who were present.

Director Young presented the application and explained that the applicant is requesting to apply the Residential Agriculture Overlay on 2.4 acres of property located at 450 South 1100 East. It was noted that the property is under contract by the applicant, Mr. Jackson Jones. The property is currently zoned R-1-9, Medium Density Residential and is currently undeveloped. The applicant would like to build one home on the property and be able to use the remainder for horses. The R-1-

9 zoning does not permit large animals; however, with the application of the Residential Agriculture Overlay, it would permit up to four horses.

Director Young stated that the property came to the Planning Commission in August 2013 for a three-lot subdivision, which was approved. There arose a question about the rights of the property as to whether or not it had grandfathered animal rights on it. In October 2013 the issue was taken up by the Board of Adjustments, and the Board determined that there was not enough evidence to prove the continual use of animals since the zone change that occurred sometime in the 1970s.

Director Young explained that there have been questions by people in the area about whether this would be a good idea; however, most area residents that have been noticed and then attended the meetings up to this point have supported the application. He also noted that there are three properties to the north of the subject property, owned by the Johnson and Oscarson family, who have indicated that full notification was not sent to them. Director Young explained that although the proper procedure was followed in sending notices, they determined that there was a glitch in their system and perhaps the noticing was not received by all properties. However, it was also determined that notices were sent to two of those properties of the same owner, just under different names than what is shown on county records. The third property is also within the same family, and those individuals have already come to public hearing events. Therefore, although full notification was not provided, those families are completely aware of what is being requested.

Mayor Call pointed out that the item was continued so that all involved parties could meet and reach an agreement. He inquired as to the status of such an agreement. Director Young responded that very little resulted from those discussions and an agreement was not reached.

The applicant, Mr. Jackson Jones, gave his address as 136 South 400 East. He informed the Mayor and City Council that he met with Andre Christensen, the contractor, and they looked at the proposed lot maps and were unable to come to an agreement. Mr. Jones stated that he had contacted the Oscarson family to inform them that an agreement hadn't been reached.

Mayor Call opened the public hearing.

John Oscarson gave his address as 1040 East 200 South, and stated that at their last meeting he hoped they would be able to work out a compromise; however, Mr. Jones did not show up. Mr. Oscarson stated that after waiting an hour beyond the agreed meeting time he called Mr. Jones, at which point he was informed that Mr. Jones and Mr. Christensen had conversed about the decision. He explained that he phoned Mr. Jones on a few occasions but he did not return his call. His position remained the same. His preference was for 1100 East to go through the property as an access into his property.

Mayor Call explained that an alternate way into Mr. Oscarson's property would be from 200 East, which would involve removing a home. Mr. Oscarson pointed out that it would involve several things, namely removing the old home, in addition to the construction of a road next to their property. It would also involve giving up part of their garden and getting cooperation from the Pace Family. Mr. Jones commented on the timing and miscommunication of their arranged meeting time.

Nelson Moak explained that State Law requires notification on these matters, and he felt that this requirement was not properly met. For that reason, he voiced his objection to the proposal.

There were no further public comments. Mayor Call closed the public hearing.

Council Member Boyd asked if a Vicinity Plan of the area was available. Director Young informed her that one was not available for this meeting, as one is typically reviewed for the Development Proposal. He noted that Mr. Jones has agreed to follow the Vicinity Plan when he decides to build a home on the property. Director Young also suggested that a condition of approval for the application of the Agriculture Overlay be that he follow the Vicinity Plan when he develops a home and that he not build his home on the road. Further discussion followed.

Council Member Meacham inquired about previous work done on the plat that was recorded. Director Young confirmed that the plat to which Council Member was referring will not be recorded if the Agriculture Overlay is approved, because there isn't enough acreage. He explained that when Mr. Jones decides to develop this property, the subdivision of property would take away the Agriculture Overlay.

Mayor Call read the Ordinance in its entirety.

ACTION: Council Member Boyd moved to approve Ordinance (2013-36) at the request of Jackson Jones to apply the Residential Agriculture Overlay on property located at approximately 360 South 1100 East, with the condition that when the applicant approaches the City Council to obtain a building permit, a vicinity site plan is presented. Council Member Robinson seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, Meacham, Robinson and Pack voting "Aye."

Council Member LeMone rejoined the meeting.

D. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2013-39) AT THE REQUEST OF MLC DEVELOPMENT TO AMEND THE CITY CODE BY ADDING ARTICLE C OF CHAPTER 10-13, "RURAL RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY." PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG (CONTINUED FROM THE DECEMBER 3, 2013 MEETING).

E. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2013-41) AT THE REQUEST OF THE WARNICK FAMILY TO REZONE 38 ACRES OF PROPERTY FROM RR (RURAL RESIDENTIAL) TO R1-20 (20,000 SQUARE FEET SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) ZONE. (MANILA NEIGHBORHOOD) PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG.

The following minutes apply to both agenda items "D" and "E."

Director Young suggested the Council discuss both items at the same time. The applicant desires one or the other be approved, as they cannot both work. The Council should decide which one they would like to support.

The first request was to amend the City Code to allow for the creation of a Rural Residential Development Overlay, which is simply expanding their zoning opportunities. Director Young explained the Overlay requirements as compared to the Muirfield Application as such:

- The Overlay verbiage indicates "Applicable to RR Zone;" the Muirfield Application indicates verbiage "In the RR Zone."
- The Overlay requires a minimum of 10 acres; the Muirfield Application is proposing development for 38.02 acres.
- The Overlay requires a minimum of 10% useable, contiguous open space; the Muirfield Application proposes 13.9% open space.
- The Overlay requires that Open Space be maintained by HOA, or a developed park that is dedicated to the City; the Muirfield application is proposing a soccer park that will be dedicated to the City.
- The Overlay requires a maximum density of two units per acre; the Muirfield Application is proposing 1.94 units per acre.
- The Overlay requires a minimum lot size of 12,000 square feet for no more than 10% of the total lots; the Muirfield Application is proposing 9.5% at 12,000+ square feet.
- The Overlay requires 80% of the lots to be at least 14,000 square feet; the Muirfield Application is proposing 83% at 14,000+ square feet.

The applicant made the request because they have 38.02 acres of property, the Muirfield Estates, owned by the Warnick Family, which they would like to develop. They approached the City Council in July and requested a zone change from RR to R-1-20, which is the same request as agenda item "E." At that time, no official motion was made by the City Council. Since that time, further brainstorming occurred on how to better develop this property. The applicant has worked with Parks and Recreation Director, Deon Giles, and a proposal was brought forward for the proposed Overlay, which would allow some of the lots to be smaller in order to create a large, open space. The open space, consisting of 5.3 acres, is proposed to be developed as a park that will be dedicated to the City, including four soccer fields, a pavilion, a playground, restrooms, and parking. It has been proposed that the developers would do all of this work, in exchange for approval of regular zoning in the other subject area.

Director Young noted that prior to coming to the City Council the item was reviewed by the Planning Commission who recommended approval. An optional development plan has also been drafted, which would not include a City park, but rather would include a park privately maintained by their HOA.

Director Young reiterated that because there is an opposing item on the agenda, the applicant wants to know which direction the City Council would like to go. If the City Council decides they would like to create this proposed Overlay as part of the City Code text, in a future meeting a request will come forward to apply the Overlay on the property.

Director Young explained that if the City Council decides to deny the proposed Rural Residential Development Overlay, the applicant would like to know if the Council would be willing to re-entertain their request to have the property rezoned from RR to R1-20. He noted that there was some concern when the Rural Residential Overlay application came forward to the Planning Commission, who unanimously recommended denial. They did not feel that this type of development was appropriate to this zone type, and that it did not fit in with the vision or intention of a Rural Residential area.

In summary, it was determined that creating a development with smaller lots in order to develop a City or privately maintained park did not fit in with the vision or intention of that area, as was expressed by the Planning Commission as well as residents in that area. Director Young clarified that when the Planning Commission reviewed the R-1-20 request, they recommended approval. When they reviewed the request for the creation of a Rural Residential Development Overlay, they unanimously recommended denial.

Council Member Boyd asked what concerns were expressed by the neighbors. Director Young informed her that the primary concerns had to do with lot sizes. The creation of the Overlay itself limits it to the same density that is otherwise allowed, which is two units per acre. Administrator Darrington asked if the number of lots was the same for both proposals. Director Young explained that it is not the same because the lot size affects the street configurations, thereby affecting the number of actual lots. Mayor Call pointed out that the issue with the Overlay is that 20% of the lots on the property can be 12,000 square feet.

Council Member Pack asked about the vision for the rural development, and specific reasons why the proposed Overlay does not fit that vision. Director Young explained that while there is no specific definition, it typically refers to large lots and a lot of open space.

Administrator Darrington asked if when the proposal was initially put together, if there were any public comments made on the idea of additional open space. Director Young responded that many people liked the idea of a park, but they weren't convinced that they need one, and that they preferred to have larger lots in the area.

Council Member LeMone clarified that the primary reason why the developer wants to rezone the property to R-1-20 is for the additional lots. Director Young replied that they would get a few more lots; however, the primary reason for wanting the rezone was because they did not want animal rights in the area. He noted that the HOA can make this restriction; however it would be challenging for them, and he preferred that the City enforce it. Director Young then reviewed a map of the area to show what is allowed in surrounding areas. He pointed out that there are some R-1-20 zones near 2600 North.

Council Member Meacham asked if there were any other properties in the area for which the Overlay could also apply. Director Young answered affirmatively, and identified areas that exceed what was viewable on the maps.

Bruce Baird identified himself as legal counsel to the applicant. He explained that their preference would be for the approval of the Rural Residential Development Overlay. He provided reasons for this preference, stating that they were trying to address the matter by using input from the City staff

and concerns that the City raised on the R-1-20 zone. They were under the impression that the City wants the open space and soccer fields, and they were trying to give the City what they want. They still consider it to be a good tool that will give them more flexibility. They feel that trading density for public amenities is a good option. He clarified that the trade would entail 67 lots in an R-1-20 configuration to 74 lots in either the park or soccer field overlay zones. He stated that these lots are not much different than the surrounding lots. With either version of the overlay, they would stay under two units per acre. More specifically, they would be at 1.95 units per acre, whereas surrounding properties are around 1.78 units per acre. The minimum lot size with the park option would be 15,000 square feet. With the soccer field option they would range from 14,000 to 15,000 square feet. He noted that the difference of 15,000 to 20,000 is minimal. He stated that the issue of lot sizes on the Wasatch Front is overly concerned, and what matters most is the quality of the project and development. He reiterated his belief that this is a good project that will benefit the City.

If the City decides not to move forward with the proposed overlay, Mr. Baird explained that the R-1-20 rezone will add five units from the current RR zone. He noted that the only two differences would be that the RR zone contains one-half acre lots, as opposed to 20,000 square feet, which is a minimal 1,800 square foot difference. This difference would change the configurations to 1.76 units per acre, which is lower than some of the surrounding areas. He pointed out that the General Plan specifically considers this property very low density, and the R-1-20 zone is specifically listed as an acceptable zone in that General Plan. The applicant believes it would be a good idea to rezone to R-1-20 not only for the additional five lots, but to simplify issues related to animal rights. In conclusion, Mr. Baird reminded the City Council that the R-1-20 rezone was unanimously recommended by the Planning Commission for their consideration. He summarized the two options as they were presented and thanked Director Young for his thorough review of their proposals.

There was further discussion regarding the proposed plans. Mr. Baird showed the layout of the preliminary sketches with the R-1-20 layout. Council Member Robinson inquired about the surrounding neighbor's reasons for not wanting the proposed amenities and Mr. Beard noted that the plan was recommended for approval by the Planning Commission. Council Member LeMone brought up traffic issues that would be created by the addition of the soccer fields. Mr. Baird acknowledged that some residents won't like the traffic caused by the soccer fields, but others who like soccer won't mind it. He also pointed out that it was for those reasons that they presented an alternative park proposal. Council Member Robinson clarified that the HOA would be fully functional and could have full responsibility of the park if that option is pursued.

Mayor Call opened the public hearing.

David Josie identified himself as the League President for Utah County Soccer. He conducted research and discovered that of all the nine cities between Alpine and Eagle Mountain, Pleasant Grove has the second largest number of children who play soccer, with just over 800 who participate in their program. He noted that Pleasant Grove is also the only city in Utah County that doesn't have any soccer fields available for use. He informed the City Council that they are a non-profit organization, and willing to contribute to maintenance needs.

Administrator Darrington asked if any school district property is being used for Utah County Soccer programs. Mr. Josie explained that Grove Crest doesn't have enough field space. He stated that

they can't use Pleasant Grove Jr. High any longer because of safety issues related to steel mounts used for the baseball diamonds, which are overlaid with their soccer fields. He noted that the City uses the field at Discovery Park and the fields at the elementary schools aren't in good shape and/or are used by other organizations.

Fabrizio Soares gave his address as 1419 West 190 North, Pleasant Grove. He stated that he has been a resident for seven years and has five children who participate in programs offered by Utah County Soccer. He explained that they have to commute to different areas in order to participate. Mr. Soares commented that he played professional soccer for Brazil, and stated that playing this team sport helps build discipline and character in children. He encouraged the City Council to consider the proposal.

Mark Warnick gave his address as 1450 West 3300 North, and stated that the number of people who are attracted to the area for parks will add to the high traffic congestion that already exists and is caused by the number of schools in the area. He explained that the City needs to address the traffic issues, particularly on 1450 West. Mr. Warnick brought up the issue of sewer problems and identified issues they have related to gray water pressure. He stated that the City Council needs to step up and take care of infrastructure problems if they plan to build more homes and develop further in the area.

Burt Largerstead gave his address as 1234 East 1250 North, and noted that he has had children who have attended Lincoln Academy across the street to the north of the subject property. He reiterated previous comments made relative to traffic issues that have been difficult to solve in that area. The overlay proposal appeared to have only a single egress point to 3300 North. Times of the day when children are dropped off and parents are leaving for work could be a scenario to be addressed prior to final site plan approval. Mr. Largerstead also pointed out that there are very few sidewalks in the area, and lots of children who walk to school.

Jill Hazelton gave her address as 2902 North 1130 West, and noted that they don't have any entrances to the east of their property. Ms. Hazelton explained that residents with older children don't necessarily want a soccer park; however, younger families do. She stated that the main concern was with the 12,000 to 14,000 square-foot lots and the affects the higher density housing will have on traffic in the area. Ms. Hazelton felt that the R-1-20 rezone would be acceptable. She was not opposed to a soccer park, but preferred larger lots. Ms. Hazelton echoed previous comments made regarding needed changes to improve traffic.

In reference to the public comments made, Mr. Baird addressed the City Council by stating that it will come down to policy choices they will need to make. In talking specifically to concerns raised regarding traffic, Mr. Baird pointed out that the current plan proposes the widening of 1450 West by approximately five feet. It also shows the construction of curb, gutter, and sidewalks, which would dramatically improve the street. He explained that infrastructure improvements are often made as a consequence to development. He stated that the City is far more likely to resolve the existing traffic issues if they approve the R-1-20 rezone proposal than if they don't approve the proposal. As it relates to the sewer and water issues, Mr. Beard expressed trust in the City Engineer in ensuring adequate water and sewer at the time of final site plan approval.

Mr. Warnick stated that it will be nice to put a sidewalk, curb, and gutter on the west side of 1450 West, but it won't completely solve the problem. There are also telephone poles and irrigation in that area that aren't being addressed. He noted that the east side of the road isn't being addressed, and stated that it is the City Council's responsibility to fix it.

There were no further public comments. Mayor Call closed the public hearing.

Mayor Call called for discussion to be made on agenda item "D," for the Rural Residential Development Overlay.

Council Member Boyd alluded to comments that there isn't a noticeable difference between 15,000 to 20,000 square foot lots. Based on the Planning Commission meeting, Council Member Boyd pointed out that the difference is noticeable.

Council Member LeMone asked Director Giles what age groups will be playing on the four proposed soccer fields. Director Giles responded that the majority of children will be 12 and under. There will be one larger field for older children. None of the fields will be regulation fields. It was noted that previous concerns relative to maintenance and restrooms were resolved.

Council Member LeMone asked if Director Giles felt that the location of the soccer fields would be appropriate, as they will be close to residential areas. Director Giles replied that there are further traffic issues that will need to be assessed. Council Member LeMone inquired as to whether or not there would be room for concessions or a playground. Director Giles informed her that the plan includes a small toddler playground, but no concessions; however, that is something they can pursue. Administrator Darrington added that there will need to be further discussion as to whether or not the park would be maintained by the City or the HOA.

Council Member Boyd wanted more information on the future plans of the school district. While there aren't any master plans available at this point, she was under the impression that large soccer lots are anticipated. Director Giles believed that their conceptual plan includes six soccer fields. Council Member LeMone asked what the timeline would be for the project. Director Giles wasn't able to provide a definite time line.

Council Member LeMone asked Engineer Lewis to address some of Mr. Warnick's concerns relative to traffic. Engineer Lewis replied that once they finish their entire frontage, having 3300 North at its full width will improve the traffic on that road. He also stated that getting in and out of the school and adding crosswalks will open up opportunities. It will also provide a continuous sidewalk all the way down to the subdivision to the west. Engineer Lewis agreed that the intersection at 1450 West and 2600 North has issues, and that there need to be discussions regarding the funding of some improvements there. Administrator Darrington interjected that regardless of what the City Council approves, the entire frontage needs to be improved.

Council Member LeMone asked Director Giles if he was in favor of the soccer fields. Director Giles replied that he was in favor of the fields, but is unsure of whether or not this is the right location. Parking stalls were briefly discussed.

Council Member Robinson voiced her opinion that she prefers the original, larger lots. She pointed out that the conversation began about animal rights, and shifted to there being thousands of 12-year-

olds in the community. She recognized that due diligence had been made in developing a proposal, but it wasn't properly thought out in terms of traffic and parking.

Council Member Pack referred back to Council Member Boyd's comments regarding the lot size difference. She explained that she probably wouldn't notice a difference if she walked along the sidewalk and looked at the homes, but she would notice if the open space was shared or private. The conversation was not about how much open space is available but rather about who gets the open space. She explained that the general impression she got from the Planning Commission and public comment was that people want their own private space.

Council Member Meacham felt that the comparison to Discovery Park was not appropriate, as he believed the road improvements would help offset the traffic significantly. However, based on the integrity of the City, he wasn't sure that the proposed overlay would give the appropriate direction to what has been historically established for this area.

Mayor Call read the Ordinance.

ACTION: Council Member Boyd moved to deny consideration for adoption of an Ordinance (2013-39) at the request of MLC Development to amend the City Code by adding Article C of Chapter 10-13, "Rural Residential Development Overlay." Council Member Robinson seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Meacham, Robinson and Pack voting "Aye."

For the sake of remaining official, Mayor Call opened a separate public hearing for agenda item "E." He invited Mr. Baird to make any further comments. There were no further public comments. Mayor Call closed the public hearing.

Council Member Meacham clarified that the R-1-20 zone is acceptable based on the General Plan. Mayor Call answered affirmatively.

Council Member Boyd noted that historically the zoning to the north of 2600 North has been RR. She felt it needed to remain that way in the area. Animal rights issues and CC&Rs were discussed. It was noted that CC&Rs are difficult to enforce.

Administrator Darrington interjected that if the item is denied, the City Council will need to be prepared to present findings to support their decision. There was brief discussion regarding previous applicants to further clarify this point.

Council Member Meacham voiced his opinion of minimal differences existing between the RR and R-1-20 zones, noting the only main difference relating to animal rights. Mayor Call mentioned the addition of the five extra lots as well. There was clarification that once the zoning is changed to R-1-20, a person will not be able to come before City Council to petition for animal rights on their property. Mayor Call concluded by reading the Ordinance.

ACTION: Council Member Robinson moved to approve an Ordinance (2013-41) at the request of the Warnick Family to rezone 38 acres of property from RR (Rural Residential) to R-1-20 (20,000 square feet Single Family Residential) zone. Council Member Pack seconded the motion. A public

hearing was held. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed 4-to-1 with Council Members LeMone, Meacham, Robinson and Pack voting "Aye," and Council Member Boyd voting "Nay."

F. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2013-42) AMENDING THE GENERAL PLAN BY ADDING THE PLEASANT GROVE BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN. PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG.

Director Young presented the Pleasant Grove Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan and stated that the project represents efforts that began the end of 2012. The Plan was funded through a grant from the Mountain Lands Association of Government. Director Young stated that it has been a very well-planned and thought out process. The project consultants were present and gave a presentation on their research and work over the past year.

It was noted that Utah County has been working on the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan for the past year. So far Orem, Provo, and Lehi have completed their individual master plans. The Vision Statement of the project was read as follows:

"The purpose of the Pleasant Grove Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan is to create a barrier-free network of safe, attractive bicycle and pedestrian facilities within Pleasant Grove that provides connections to key areas within the city (e.g., parks, schools, and economic activity centers) as well as adjacent communities."

The Project Overview was reviewed as follows:

- Establishment of goals, objectives and purposes
- Inventory and evaluation of existing conditions
- Development of a Bicycle and Pedestrian System
- Project Prioritization and Implementation Plan

Events that have occurred throughout the year were also discussed. Major tasks that were undertaken as part of this project included:

- Evaluation of existing conditions
- Utilization of UCATS data
- Public outreach program
- Field review of model system in Boulder, CO
- Development of proposed bicycle and pedestrian system and support facilities
- Development of conceptual bicycle park design
- Development of screening criteria and project prioritization
- Development of implementation recommendations

The project steering committee was developed to guide the project. Members included representatives from the Pleasant Grove City Council, Community/Economic Development Department, City Engineering Division, Parks and Recreation, Pleasant Grove Police Department, Mountainland Association of Governments, UDOT, and local residents and advocates.

Public outreach and involvement activities included:

- Project website
- Information at the Jan. 2013 Health Fair
- Needs and Attitudes Survey
 - 202 respondents
- Two public open house meetings
 - 138 attendees
- Project emails, flyers, information boards, newsletters, etc.

Four criteria were selected to determine the final bicycle prioritization list:

1. Public Support
2. Serves Key Destinations
3. Closure of Critical Gaps
4. Connection to Adjacent Facilities

The proposed bicycle system was discussed, and the following locations were identified:

Bicycle Lanes

- 900 West
- 2600 North
- 1800 North
- 1300 West
- 1100 North
- 500 North
- 1500 East

Cycle Track

- 300 East
- 200 South

Buffered Bicycle Lanes

- 100 East/Canyon Road
- Center Street

Multi-Use Trail

- Murdock, Bonneville Trail connection
- Mahogany trail spur
- North County Blvd.
- Pleasant Grove Blvd.
- 1000 South

Images of a proposed Cycle Track located on 200 South, as well as proposed Bicycle Lane on 500 North were presented. The need for pedestrian facilities was also discussed. As part of the research, a crosswalk analysis was undertaken at five intersections within the city, and the following recommendations included:

High-Visibility Crosswalk

- 1800 North / 100 East
- 100 East / 100 South

Standard Crosswalk

- 1100 North / 500 East
- 500 North / 500 East

In reviewing various types of crosswalks, images of Triple-Four, Textured Pavement, Ladder, and Broken Ladder crosswalks were presented. Other amenities that were proposed included benches, trash receptacles, lighting, bike racks, signage, and pocket parks with canopies. The Wade Springs area was recommended for a bicycle park and an image of the proposed bicycle park was presented. A complete analysis was included in the Master Plan.

Considerations for implementation included costs for construction of proposed improvements as well as future maintenance, funding sources (local, state, federal, or private sources,) facility maintenance, support programs and education techniques.

Mayor Call thanked the consultants and staff for their excellent work and professionalism.

Council Member Robinson wanted to see the bike park come to reality before Director Giles sees his last day. She also mentioned that when they were in Boulder, Colorado, for one of their field trips, they saw that community come together. The team organizing the plan put together a vision of what could happen in Pleasant Grove. She commented that the field trip was a wonderful experience because the trained professionals provided a new perspective on community connectivity and how citizens can live more active lives riding bikes, walking, and being in an overall healthy, vibrant community. Council Member Robinson then listed individuals by name who had contributed to this project.

Mayor Call opened the public hearing. There were no further public comments. Mayor Call closed the public hearing.

Council Member Meacham added that the residents really got involved as well. He was pleased with their input and participation. Council Member Boyd mentioned that the high level of interest in the community and safety that the trails provide are contributing factors for success as well. Council Member Robinson acknowledged the Pleasant Grove High School Bike Club for their enthusiasm. She recognized it as a growing program for high school students, and noted that one of their coaches built a pump park in his back yard. Mayor Call concluded by reading the Ordinance.

ACTION: Council Member Robinson moved to approve an Ordinance (2013-42) amending the General Plan by adding the Pleasant Grove Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan. Council Member Meacham seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Meacham, Robinson and Pack voting "Aye."

**G. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2013-43)
AMENDING THE GENERAL PLAN BY ADDING THE PLEASANT GROVE PARKS
AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN. PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG.**

Director Young explained that The Pleasant Grove Parks & Recreation Master Plan is a companion to the Pleasant Grove Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, prepared separately, and has been in the works for almost two years. The previous intern, Camden Bird, helped put much of it together. The first draft was completed in January 2012, and some of it had changed since that time. The submission for approval was put on hold so that it could be submitted with the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, and they were grouped together in the same binder.

This plan focuses on the parks in the community. A series of public participation activities were conducted, though they were not as well attended as the Bicycle and Pedestrian activities, and valuable feedback was received from those who attended.

Director Young explained that Pleasant Grove has 12 existing parks, and there is a page in the Master Plan for each individual park. Each page includes information regarding current amenities, recommendations for future amenities that could be installed, recommended timing for each project, and background information.

Future parks are also listed in the Pleasant Grove Parks and Recreation Master Plan. The parks include: The Grove Wetlands, Foothill Park, Wade Springs Park, North Field Park, Mahogany Wetlands, and Pipe Park. Director Young identified Wade Springs Park as the bike park. He also mentioned that the North Field Park includes a gap of coverage of about one-half mile as far as distance is concerned. South of the Mahogany Elementary School lies the Mahogany Wetlands, where there is some Pipe Plant property that will be coming forward for an additional park. In addition to these parks, Pleasant Grove also has their recreation facility. Possible Phase II amenities may include an indoor leisure pool, indoor competition pool, and Racquetball courts.

The Parks and Recreation Plan Master Plan includes an overview of goals, as well as general timelines for each goal. Goals include the provision of parks, cemeteries, recreational activities, special events, and programs. It also includes the development of trails throughout the City, as well as cultural facilities

In conclusion, Director Young stated that they've made good effort and received support for the project. He recommended approval be added to the General Plan.

Mayor Call thanked Director Young for the superior work he does and his ability to present them in a comprehensible way.

Council Member Meacham inquired about the area designated for the North Field Park. He wanted to know how they will move forward and ensure that it becomes a designated location. Director Young mentioned that one of the goals in the plan is to allocate time in deciding how to move forward with the designation. He identified vacant, deep lots on 1800 North and 1300 West that could be purchased and combined into a park. Council Member Meacham asked if this was

something the City actively pursues, or if they wait for potential opportunities. Director Young explained that the best way to approach the matter is with developers through an agreement.

Council Member Boyd mentioned conversations they've had in their economics meetings regarding potential park areas in the wetlands around 2000 West. She asked Director Young if he could pin point specific areas for larger regional parks. He responded that the area to which she was referring is part of the Grove Wetlands and something on which they need to take action.

Council Member Robinson inquired about the expansion of the cemetery. Administrator Darrington informed her that he was working with engineers to master plan the 40 acres we recently purchased from the pipe plant and maximize the space as best as possible.

Mayor Call opened the public hearing. There were no further public comments. Mayor Call closed the public hearing.

Council Member Robinson commended Director Young and his team for their hard work. Mayor Call then read the Ordinance in its entirety.

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve an Ordinance (2013-43) amending the General Plan by adding the Pleasant Grove Parks and Recreation Master Plan. Council Member Robinson seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Meacham, Robinson and Pack voting "Aye."

A five minute break began at 8:27 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 8:32 p.m.

H. TO CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL A FINAL PLAT FOR THORNEBERRY COMMUNITY TOWNHOMES LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 203 WEST CENTER STREET IN THE DV (DOWNTOWN VILLAGE) ZONE. (OLD FORT NEIGHBORHOOD) PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG.

Director Young presented the staff report and stated that the applicant was requesting approval of a two-lot plat to build a 24-unit townhome project. The plat was to be known as Thorneberry Subdivision located at approximately 201 West Center in the Downtown Village–Mixed Use Zone, with a General Plan designation of Commercial Retail. The proposed plat was intended to divide the property into two lots. Lot One contains the existing Thorneberry project and the proposed 24-unit townhome project, which will be of high quality. Lot Two has an existing home on it that is proposed to remain on site. Director Young explained that the purpose of tonight's meeting is to approve the final plat. On December 5, 2013, the Planning Commission approved the preliminary plat and recommended approval to the City Council.

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved that to approve a final plat for Thorneberry Community Townhomes located at approximately 203 West Center Street in the DV (Downtown Village) zone. Council Member Robinson seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

I. TO CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL AN AMENDMENT TO THE ALL AMERICAN SQUARE CONDOMINIUMS PLAT B LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 1404 WEST STATE STREET IN THE C-S (COMMERCIAL SALES) ZONE. (MUD HOLE NEIGHBORHOOD) PRESENTER: ENGINEER LEWIS.

Engineer Lewis presented the request and stated that it is a pretty straight forward amendment. He noted that there are some challenges associated with the platting. The applicant was requesting approval of a re-plat for Units 104-A and 202-A in building A. The plat was to be known as All American Square Condominiums Plat B located at approximately 1404 West State St. in the C-G (General Commercial) Zone, with a General Plan designation of Commercial Retail. The proposed plat was to divide out two units of Building A to have them as condominiums for possible resale. Staff recommended approval of the proposed amendment; however, because they were still trying to determine what needs to happen from a legal standpoint, they recommended approval based on the condition of final approval from the City Engineer and City Attorney.

Council Member LeMone asked if Engineer Lewis had concerns with what was proposed. He responded that his only concern was with making sure that it complies with Utah State Code.

Council Member Robinson asked if the amendment was proposed for business purposes. John Heiner replied that it will be used for their own purposes in documenting what occurs onsite.

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve an amendment to the All American Square Condominiums Plat B located at approximately 1404 West State Street in the C-S (Commercial Sales) zone, with the conditions that the City Engineer and City Attorney will provide final approval. Council Member Pack seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

J. TO CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL A SITE PLAN FOR MY OIL BUSINESS LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 236 SOUTH 1300 WEST IN THE GROVE ZONE – COMMERCIAL SALES SUBDISTRICT. (SAM WHITE’S LANE NEIGHBORHOOD) PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG.

Director Young noted that the Planning Commission approves site plans for all zones, with the exception of the Grove Zone, which must be done by the City Council. The applicant was requesting approval of a site plan for a 9,000 square-foot office/business building located approximately at 236 South 1300 West, next to the Fertility Center. The business was called My Oil Business, and they work with doTerra distribution and products. The building will include retail space and an office training room.

Access was shown on the plan to be shared with the Fertility Center for the convenience of all parties needing access from Proctor Lane (1300 West). The parking was planned to meet the needs of the office/business building, with a portion being located further north and west of the Utah Fertility Center Building. This parking area was within 300 feet of the building it serves. It was also noted that a joint parking agreement will be established with the Utah Fertility Center.

Landscaping will be on both sides of the parking. Those recommendations were reviewed by the Design Review Board and Planning Commission. Council Member Robinson also addressed the need for a traffic light.

Council Member LeMone asked if there would be two store fronts. Director Young answered affirmatively, stating that it will be a separate business. They will sell doTerra products, as well as other products. They are not competitors of doTerra but will provide complementary products. There was brief discussion regarding the design of the building. It was determined that the design will be very similar to that of the Utah Fertility Center Building. It was also noted that this is an already existing business that the applicants are seeking to expand and improve rather than a new business.

ACTION: Council Member Meacham moved to approve a site plan for My Oil Business located at approximately 236 South 1300 West in the Grove Zone – Commercial Sales Subdistrict, including the recommendations of the Planning Commission and Design Review Board. Council Member LeMone seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

K. TO CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL A FIVE LOT FINAL PLAT CALLED TUSCAN GARDENS PLAT B LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 600 WEST GARDEN DRIVE IN THE C-N (COMMERCIAL NEIGHBORHOOD) ZONE. (MUD HOLE NEIGHBORHOOD) PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG.

Director Young presented Plat B, and noted that the project has been in the works for over six years. The applicant was requesting approval of a final five-lot plat known as Tuscan Gardens Plat B. There was an existing building on Lot one that was to be removed. There was also a Questar Gas easement and a storm drain retention pond that will be vacated, a storm drain easement, and a sanitary easement that will be vacated and realigned. It was noted that the easements stretch across the entire property.

ACTION: Council Member Robinson moved to approve a five-lot final plat called Tuscan Gardens Plat B located at approximately 600 West Garden Drive in the C-N (Commercial Neighborhood) zone. Council Member Pack seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

L. TO CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL A SITE PLAN FOR TUSCAN GARDENS LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 600 WEST GARDEN DRIVE IN THE C-N (COMMERCIAL NEIGHBORHOOD) ZONE. (MUD HOLE NEIGHBORHOOD) PRESENTER: DIRECTOR YOUNG.

Director Young presented the staff report and stated that the applicant is seeking approval of a site plan that consists of a 60-unit senior housing project along with commercial/retail uses. The property is located approximately at 600 West Garden Drive in the C-N (Commercial Neighborhood) Zone, with a General Plan designation of Commercial Retail. On November 19, 2013, the City Council approved the application of the Senior Housing Overlay over a 2.5 acre are covering the proposed Senior Housing development site.

The site plan consists of a 60-unit senior housing complex on Lot 1 and three multi-tenant retail buildings on Lot 2. Lots 3, 4, and 5 are all future commercial sites. The parking was calculated to fit with the residential and retail uses. An Italian landscaping theme was recommended, and the landscaping plan was drawn to meet City Code. Signage will be included on the side of the building that faces State Street, and will be considered the front of the building. The Design Review Board looked at the site plan and made recommendations that were included in the overall plan.

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve a site plan for Tuscan Gardens located at approximately 600 West Garden Drive in the C-N (Commercial Neighborhood) zone. Council Member Boyd seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

M. TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A RESOLUTION (2013-049) AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH NORTH POINTE SOLID WASTE SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT PERTAINING TO THE DELIVERY OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE TO THE DISTRICT, AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. PRESENTER: ADMINISTRATOR DARRINGTON.

Council Member Meacham reported that he sits on the Board of Directors at North Pointe Solid Waste. He spoke with the City Attorney and both agreed that it would be acceptable for him to sit in on this discussion and take part in the vote.

Administrator Darrington presented the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with North Pointe Solid Waste Special Service District. He noted that there have been a couple of cities that left the district, which in turn caused prices to increase. As a result, they created the proposed agreement, which will lock Pleasant Grove City into a six-year contract. After the six years have passed, there will be a 13-month notice for leaving the Special Service District. There would not be a penalty for leaving at that time; however, there would be if they decided to rejoin the district after the contract expires. North Pointe has an agreement with Republic Services, which makes it in the best interest of Pleasant Grove City to be a part of the Special Service District. If at some point Republic Services and North Pointe Solid Waste part ways and Republic Services decides to build their own transfer station within Pleasant Grove City Limits, it would be in the City's best financial interest to utilize their services directly. Currently, North Pointe Solid Waste and Republic Services have a five-year agreement in place. Mayor Call read the Resolution in its entirety.

ACTION: Council Member Robinson moved to adopt a Resolution (2013-049) authorizing the Mayor to sign an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with North Pointe Solid Waste Special Service District pertaining to the delivery of municipal solid waste to the district, and providing for an effective date. Council Member LeMone seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Meacham, Robinson and Pack voting "Aye."

Council Member Meacham gave an update of the board meeting that took place the day prior. He mentioned that there are some cities that will not be signing the agreement. He noted that Eagle Mountain and Alpine have declined. Council Member Meacham mentioned that because some of

the cities are declining to sign the agreement, it will likely not be approved and will need to go to the county for redefinition of the district. Once the boundaries are redefined, the issue will come back to city councils in Utah County.

N. TO CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL AWARDING B. JACKSON CONSTRUCTION THE BID FOR THE 1300 WEST AND PLEASANT GROVE BOULEVARD INTERSECTION TRAFFIC SIGNAL AND ATMS FIBER OPTIC SYSTEM PROJECT. PRESENTER: ENGINEER LEWIS.

Engineer Lewis explained that a bid was received for a traffic signal at the intersection of 1300 West and Pleasant Grove Boulevard. Due to an agreement with doTerra, they will be administering the project. The highest bid was \$162,670, and the lowest was \$107,868.50 from B. Jackson Construction. The other bids were also reviewed. Council Member Pack asked why B. Jackson Construction's bid came in so much lower than the others. Engineer Lewis explained that he called Mobilization and they explained that they did not put a lot of cost into it. Council Member Pack remarked that sometimes the cheapest option ends up being the most expensive. Engineer Lewis explained that he made phone calls to UDOT who approved B. Jackson Construction as an acceptable contractor. Council Member Meacham noted that they are based out of West Jordan City.

ACTION: Council Member Meacham moved to award B. Jackson Construction the bid for the 1300 West and Pleasant Grove Boulevard Intersection Traffic Signal and ATMS Fiber Optic System Project in the amount of \$107,868.50. Council Member LeMone seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

8) NEIGHBORHOOD AND STAFF BUSINESS.

David Larsen, Assistant to the City Administrator, announced that they recently released nomination forms for the 2013 Chamber Awards. The awards include Business of the Year, New Business of the Year, Business Person of the Year, as well as a Teacher of the Year awards.

Council Member LeMone asked who reviews the nomination forms and makes final decisions. Mr. Larsen replied that there's a panel of judges made up of Chamber Members who are in charge of the process. He noted that any resident can submit a nomination form. They can submit nominations through Pleasant Grove's Chamber website. An awards banquet was to be held on January 9, 2014.

Chief Sanderson thanked Mayor Call for his service. He also announced that he recently completed his finals to receive his RN degree, and thanked everyone for allowing him a flexible schedule so that he could get his work hours in and complete his degree.

Chief Smith thanked Mayor Call and Council Members Robinson and Pack for their service to the community.

Director Young commented that it's been a "banner year" for development. He reported that the City is close to where they were in 2006 and 2007 in terms of building permit activity.

Council Member Pack expressed how enriching her experience with City Council has been, and thanked everyone for the opportunity.

9) **MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS.**

There was no further Mayor and City Council Business.

10) **SIGNING OF PLATS.**

There were no plats to sign.

11) **REVIEW CALENDAR.**

The next public meeting was to be held on January 7, 2014. An open house was scheduled for December 11, 2013, from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. at the Community Center.

12) **EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY (UCA 52-4-205 (1) (d)); PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION. (UCA 52-4-205 1 (c)) AND EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL (UCA 52-4-205 (1) (a))**

At 9:10 p.m. Council Member LeMone moved that the Mayor and City Council go into Executive Session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property; pending or reasonably imminent litigation and to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual;. Council Member Pack seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Bruce W. Call

Council Members:

Cindy Boyd

Jay Meacham

Kim Robinson

Heather Pack

Cyd LeMone

Staff Present:

Scott Darrington, City Administrator

Deon Giles, Parks and Recreation Director

Mike Smith, Chief of Police

Kathy Kresser, City Recorder

At 10:00 p.m. Mayor Call excused Recorder Kresser to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual.

Mayor Call asked if there were any further discussion, being none he then called for a motion to come out of executive session and adjourn.

ACTION: At 10:05 p.m. Council Member Robinson moved to come out of executive session. Council Member Pack seconded and the motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

13) **ADJOURN.**

ACTION: At 10:06 p.m. Council Member LeMone moved to adjourn. Council Member Meacham seconded and the motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council. Meeting adjourned.

This certifies that the City Council
Minutes of December 10, 2013 are a true,
full and correct copy as approved by
The City Council on January 7, 2014

Kathy T. Kresser, City Recorder

(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder's office.)