

**Pleasant Grove City
City Council Regular Meeting Minutes
January 27, 2015
6:00 p.m.**

PRESENT:

Mayor: Michael W. Daniels

Council Members: Cyd LeMone
Ben Stanley
Jay Meacham
Cindy Boyd

EXCUSED: Council Member Dianna Andersen

Staff Present: Scott Darrington, City Administrator
David Larson, Assistant to the City Administrator
Dean Lundell, Finance Director
Deon Giles, Parks and Recreation Director
Clint Warnick, Recreation Manager
Tina Petersen, City Attorney
Mike Smith, Police Chief
Dave Thomas, Fire Chief
Ken Young, Community Development Director
Lynn Walker, Public Works Director
Kathy Kresser, City Recorder
Degen Lewis, City Engineer

The City Council and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah.

1) **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Daniels called the meeting to order and noted that Council Members LeMone, Stanley, Meacham, and Boyd were present. Council Member Andersen was excused.

2) **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by City Attorney, Tina Petersen.

3) **OPENING REMARKS**

The opening remarks were given by Recreation Manager, Clint Warnick.

4) APPROVAL OF AGENDA

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve the agenda with the change that Item 9a will be heard when Mrs. Reynolds arrives. Council Member Stanley seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

5) OPEN SESSION

Mayor Daniels opened the open session.

Jennifer Baptista gave her address as 32 North 1300 East. She invited those in attendance to attend the Town Hall Meeting at the Pleasant Grove Library Theater. She noted that the City's appointed Senator will be speaking. The meeting will take place on January 29 between 7:30 p.m. and 8:30 pm.

Molly Andrew gave her address as 1107 Nathaniel Drive and thanked the Council for inviting the public to attend the Planning Retreat that took place recently. Ms. Andrew read a statement, requesting that staff and the elected officials not form another committee for the purpose of solving the Public Safety Building issue. She was of the opinion that forming another committee would be making the same mistake that has been made for the past two years. She stated that the Council should be the deciding body to determine the future of the Public Safety Building with the Mayor acting as the moderator. In her written statement, Ms. Andrew explained that the Mayor and Council were elected to make these types of decisions and move the City forward. She referenced a presentation model used by JUB Engineers, Inc., and noted that transparency and openness play a significant role in presenting a proposal to the public.

Mayor Daniels requested that Ms. Andrew submit her written statement to staff and the elected officials so that they can have a template of her suggestions. The Mayor, Council, and Ms. Andrew brainstormed ways to integrate a deeper level of technical expertise in the planning process. A suggestion was made to involve more engineering professionals. Council Member Boyd remarked that these individuals could attend meetings to answer any questions. Ms. Andrew thanked the Council for their time.

Mayor Daniels expressed appreciation for the participation that took place both days of the retreat. The input, questions, feedback, and tone of the meetings were healthy and productive. He further explained that elected officials are only able to meet as a group in meetings that have been noticed to the public. Therefore, if staff and the elected officials opt to pursue more research for the project, all of the meetings that would take place would need to be publicly noticed. Alternatively, the meetings could be pared down to less than three elected officials participating at time, with reports on findings given in a public setting at a later date.

Council Member Boyd suggested that the matter be included as a standing agenda item for each Council Meeting. She felt it would be better for the Council to always be together when discussing plans for the public safety building and stated that it would create better continuity. Council Member Boyd was willing to contribute more of her time in order to come together as citizens on the issue.

Council Member Meacham didn't feel that a weekly discussion regarding the Public Safety Building would be necessary and alternatively suggested that the matter be reviewed on a monthly basis. Council Member Stanley agreed with the comments made by both Council Members Boyd and Meacham. He added that a huge basis of knowledge has been collected over the past two years, which will be valuable moving forward. He suggested more fully vetting some of the alternative proposals that have come forward. Council Member Stanley felt that additional financial experts would be more relevant for assessing the options and providing cost estimates.

Council Member LeMone supported Ms. Andrew's suggestions and liked the idea of future recommendations coming from an objective third party outside of the community. She spoke about having open meetings where comments, concerns, and feedback are expressed. Subsequently through an RFP, the most qualified contractor with the best bid and expertise can be selected to complete the project.

Gary Yeates gave his address as 1225 Nathaniel Drive and remarked that the City is not proposing something new and stated that every city needs a public safety building. He suggested looking at the processes by which neighboring communities came together to get their public safety buildings constructed. He agreed with Ms. Andrew that the Mayor and Council were elected because the public trusted that they would steer the City in the right direction.

Mr. Yeates did not want to see a Public Safety Building built to the same level of quality as the library. He noted that the library is not even 30 years old and it no longer can hold books on the second floor. The library building is not entirely ADA compliant and is falling apart because it was cheaply constructed. Mr. Yeates concluded that he would like to see a Public Safety Building built to last at least 80 years.

Ms. Baptista expressed her opinion that it would be best if there was only one committee open to the public and that it remain transparent. She reiterated previous comments about the lack of productivity resulting from the previous organization of multiple committees for the project.

Jason Calloway, who resides at 582 East 780 North, remarked that Pleasant Grove's elected officials are representing a quiet majority. He explained that oftentimes the Mayor and Council pay more attention to the louder minority of citizens. As a result, the citizens that voted them in and trusted them to represent the majority when making decisions have felt ignored when a loud minority has been able to railroad many City projects, such as that of the Public Safety Building. Mr. Calloway asked the Mayor and Council to stand by their moral fiber and the stances presented on certain issues when they were voted in.

Mayor Daniels agreed with Mr. Calloway's comments, and explained that he and the members of the Council have to pause and reflect on whether or not they are representing the interests of the majority. Mr. Calloway was of the opinion that the issue of the Public Safety Building did not initially need to go to the public for a vote. The real problems arose when the process turned into opinions and mudslinging that was used to sway voters, rather than providing a long-term education on the matter.

Jack Freeman gave his address as 450 East 100 North and expressed appreciation for Ms. Andrew's and Mr. Calloway's statements. Mr. Freeman expressed appreciation for the fact that he can get ahold of staff or the elected officials when there is a need. He remarked that the Planning Retreat went very well.

Diane Moss gave her address as 391 East 200 South and remarked that the retreat was a success. She appreciated the detail in which the City's projects were presented. Ms. Moss explained that the Mayor and Council are elected to represent the people and the community has indicated that they would like to be involved in the planning process for the Public Safety Building.

Mayor Daniels explained that staff and the elected officials need to determine a way to incorporate the public's recommendations into the planning processes moving forward. Ms. Andrew did not want to inhibit public opinion and stated that the meeting format of the Planning Retreat worked very well.

Council Member Boyd referenced previous discussions where several individuals indicated that the Public Safety Building could be constructed for \$5 to \$6 million. She hoped to reopen those discussions and have those individuals or group of individuals present their proposals to the Mayor and Council. Council Member Stanley added that these individuals should also be given the necessary time to fully vet their plans. Council Member LeMone asked how the Council would like to move forward in terms of public involvement. Mayor Daniels stated that Council Members need to consider a structure so that a plan can be devised at next week's meeting. He was open to suggestions and offered to meet with staff to determine a strategy. Staff briefly added that the first step in the process will involve RFPs. Mayor Daniels summarized the discussion.

Jacob Sutch gave his address as 291 South 300 East and expressed interest in knowing how staff and the elected officials plan to involve the public beyond a controlled Council Meeting. He argued that the majority vote has indicated twice that the Council is moving in a direction that does not represent the values of their constituents. He was uncomfortable not knowing how the citizens will be involved. Mr. Sutch explained that there are such polarizing opinions surrounding the issue that if the Mayor and Council don't figure out a way to meet in the middle through compromise they will continue to lose the trust of the citizenry. He voiced his disagreement with comments made previously about whether it was necessary for the decision to go before the public for a vote.

Mayor Daniels agreed with Mr. Sutch regarding the voting issue and stated that if the citizens are responsible for funding a project it is in their best interest to have a vote on the matter. He invited individuals who do not have the time or ability to share their feedback in a public forum, to email him their written comments.

Council Member LeMone remarked that distrust has resulted from misinformation. She stated that as a Council Member, she would like to hear from residents on what it means for them to lack trust in their elected officials. She then referenced Ms. Andrew's suggestions and stated that her ideas will be a more transparent approach. Furthermore, it may be a more effective approach over creating multiple small committees.

Lisa Liddiard gave her address as 1095 East Canyon View Lane and commented that the issue was not whether or not citizens felt they had a forum to voice their opinion on the previous two public safety proposals. Rather, it came down to a money issue. She appreciated the MOCA professionals presenting a plan and a budget for a new facility last year. She indicated, however, that now that the public has voted in opposition to funding a new building, it is time to move on and explore alternative options.

Mayor Daniels agreed to devise a strategy that will meet the community's need. He was very mindful of the differences of opinions on what has taken place in previous years. In conclusion, Mayor Daniels explained that there has to be a way to move forward together on this issue.

There were no further public comments. Mayor Daniels closed the open session.

6) **CONSENT ITEMS**

- a) **City Council and Work Session Minutes:
City Council Minutes for the January 6, 2015 Meeting.**
- b) **To consider approval of paid vouchers for (January 13, 2015)**

The consent items were reviewed and discussed and specifically, how consent items are presented on the weekly agendas. Council Member Boyd expressed concern with the agenda indicating that the consent items have been discussed beforehand, when in fact they have not been. She stated that the Council needs to have some knowledge of the consent items before they are presented at meetings.

Council Member LeMone asked about discussions regarding specific board appointees. City Attorney, Tina Petersen, explained that these discussions can occur during Executive Sessions, which may take place at the end of any regularly scheduled meeting. There was further discussion regarding the process of appointing individuals to neighborhood committees, the Planning Commission, Board of Adjustment, and other committees. Mayor Daniels explained that whenever recommendations are sent to him, City Recorder, Kathy Kresser, arranges a meeting between him and the appointee. In these meetings, after reviewing the candidate's qualifications the Mayor decides whether to extend an invitation to serve. He suggested including in this discussion a statement indicating that the individual's appointment is contingent upon the Council's approval. The item can then be discussed during an Executive Session the following week.

Council Member LeMone suggested that a biography or resume be included in the Council packet so that they have an idea of who is being appointed. Council Member Boyd stated that a write-up of candidates' qualifications can be included in the Council packet for review. Attorney Petersen then read a segment containing general rules from the City's Code regarding permanent board positions. Council Member Boyd stated that it is valuable for the Mayor and Council to get to know the individuals who are appointed to serve in various positions with the City. City Administrator, Scott Darrington agreed to reevaluate the process by which these appointments are made.

ACTION: Council Member Meacham moved to approve the consent items. Council Member LeMone seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

7) **PRESENTATIONS**

There were no presentations.

8) **ACTION ITEMS READY FOR VOTE:**

- A) **TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A RESOLUTION (2015-04) AMENDING THE FEE FOR CORPORATE PASSES AT THE RECREATION CENTER; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.** *Presenter: Administrator Darrington*

Attorney Petersen noted that while Resolution 2015-04 has been drafted, it is not yet ready to be acted upon. Administrator Darrington presented the item and explained that a few months earlier there were some Council Members who wanted staff to clear up the policy for corporate passes at the Recreation Center. Staff researched what other cities are doing and have prepared a proposal.

Administrator Darrington explained that there are people who work in Pleasant Grove City who are not City residents. However, since they spend the majority of their day in Pleasant Grove, it would be nice if they could take advantage of the Recreation Center. Therefore, the intent of the proposed resolution was to gain new members by reaching out to a segment of the market that currently isn't being captured. The addition of new patrons would generate more revenue for the Recreation Center's budget.

Administrator Darrington stated that the current policy offers business members a discount of 20% to 30% depending on the size of the business. In particular, staff has been working with doTERRA and the Alpine School District. Administrator Darrington credited Mr. Warnick for most of the work that has been done on the proposed resolution.

There are two proposed policies, the first which is called the "Orem Model". This model entails setting up a yearly cost to use the Recreation Center and the outdoor pool. The idea is that patrons who purchase this particular pass, would have access to both the Recreation Center and the outdoor pool. Administrator Darrington noted that Orem, Lehi, and Provo cities all have indoor pools, whereas Pleasant Grove's is an outdoor pool.

Another key to the "Orem Model" involves business partners inviting their employees to join the Pleasant Grove Recreation Center at a discounted annual rate during open enrollment periods for health insurance. After the enrollment period ends, the business partner relays the number of employees who have signed up for the program. The rate would vary based on the number of employees enrolled and whether or not the business is located in Pleasant Grove. The justification for offering a lower rate for local businesses is that the City is gaining tax revenue off them. Currently, Orem charges \$60 per person per year and they have more mass than Pleasant Grove, which allows them to justify offering a lower rate.

The second proposed policy is called the "Lehi/Provo Model", which would entail a 20% discount for Pleasant Grove residents. Non-residents who work in Pleasant Grove would be given a discount based on the non-resident rate. This discount would be consistent with what is offered at the health

fair, and would be easier to manage. Administrator Darrington explained that outreach for either of the two programs would include approaching individual businesses in Pleasant Grove and surrounding areas, as well as coordinating with the Chamber of Commerce. Advertising would also be done through social media and the City's website.

Mayor Daniels asked what residents and the Police Department personnel are currently being charged. Administrator Darrington explained that annual combo passes for individual residents cost \$240 per year. Non-residents are charged \$320 per year for the same pass, which includes access to the pool and Recreation Center. Administrator Darrington clarified that the corporate pass would be offered at a much lower annual rate than standard passes. He reiterated that the intent of the corporate passes is to bring in more patrons who wouldn't otherwise use the facility. He remarked that the Lehi/Provo model would be a bit more equitable for Pleasant Grove.

Mayor Daniels explained that the residents are paying the bond for the Recreation Center as well as its operational costs. He suggested that Pleasant Grove residents have the lowest rate and then participating businesses be offered a rate in between a non-resident rate and a resident rate. Administrator Darrington agreed that this would make sense.

Council Member Boyd suggested that businesses pay a flat total amount for the entire year for all of their participating employees. This would allow businesses and corporations to buy into an agreement whereby their employees would be allowed to access the Recreation Center and pool for the entire year. This would avoid the perception that the City is offering a better rate to patrons who don't reside in Pleasant Grove. Administrator Darrington wasn't sure what the market is for that type of corporate buy-in but he agreed to do some research.

Council Member LeMone stated that the bottom line is to bring more revenue to the Recreation Center. Therefore, the City is offering an incentive to corporations to bring hundreds of their employees to the Recreation Center, who wouldn't normally buy a pass. She pointed out that increasing revenue will help with maintenance and programs, which would benefit the entire community.

Council Member LeMone asked which city between Orem, Lehi, and Provo is bringing in the most money based on the models they have set up. Mr. Warnick replied that Orem is bringing in the most revenue. He explained that not only do they have the most businesses to draw from, but they also are more proactive in their outreach. Council Member LeMone rephrased her question by asking which model is attracting more businesses. Mr. Warnick answered that Orem's Recreation Center is more attractive to doTERRA due to the cost that Orem is able to offer. Council Member Boyd suggested adding a marketing incentive, with varying levels of sponsorship. This would allow businesses to hang their banners or logos in the Recreation Center and have designated access to the conference room throughout the year.

Mayor Daniels asked how an increased volume of patrons would affect existing programs, such as exercise classes. Administrator Darrington agreed that this could potentially be problematic. Mayor Daniels continued that while he is in favor of bringing in more revenue, it should be structured in such a way that the residents are benefitting the most. He remarked that as it stands, he is not seeing the benefit based on the current proposed rate structure. Council Member Meacham

expressed similar concerns, especially with how the supply and demand will be addressed during peak hours.

Mayor Daniels asked if everyone's rate can be lowered if there is a higher volume of patrons. Administrator Darrington was unsure if this would be possible. Council Member LeMone added that the services could be improved with a higher operating revenue. She also felt there would be too much of a gap between regular passes and the discounted corporate rate, based on Orem's model. Administrator Darrington agreed to restructure the current proposal, including an assessment of a sponsorship model, as was suggested by Council Member Boyd. Council Member Stanley reiterated previous comments made and expressed trust in staff to research additional details. The Mayor and Council concluded by summarizing ideas that were suggested throughout the discussion.

ACTION: Council Member Meacham moved to continue Resolution (2015-04) amending the fee for corporate passes at the Recreation Center to a date uncertain. Council Member Stanley seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

9) **ACTION ITEMS WITH PUBLIC DISCUSSION**

A) **PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2015-4) AMENDING THE CITY CODE SECTION 10-21-6-A TO ALLOW MAJOR HOME OCCUPATION BUSINESSES TO HAVE UP TO EIGHT PATRONS AT ONE TIME; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. CITY WIDE. Presenter: Director Young.**

Community Development Director, Ken Young, presented the staff report and explained that on December 11, 2014 the applicant, Marja Reynolds, was granted unanimous approval from the Planning Commission for a conditional use permit that would allow her to occupy a major home occupation for music lessons. The conditional use permit was approved with a specification that the business could accommodate up to six patrons per hour, which is the maximum allowed by City Code. Ms. Reynolds requested this amount be increased to eight patrons per hour. It was noted that the proposed ordinance amendment would allow for this change.

In response to a question raised by Council Member Meacham, it was confirmed that the increase to eight patrons per hour would apply to all major home occupations in the City. Reference was made to another section of the Code that indicates the number of children allowed at daycares. Ms. Reynolds remarked that there are conditions attached to the ordinance where each case that comes to the City can easily be assessed on an individual basis.

Council Member LeMone inquired about parking. Ms. Reynolds explained that parents will mostly be dropping off and picking up their children. Several of her students already live in the neighborhood and walk to her home for class. Furthermore, many of the students are siblings and will be coming in the same car. It is rare to have eight separate vehicles come to her home at the exact same time.

Council Member Meacham asked Ms. Reynolds to elaborate on her schedule. Ms. Reynolds explained that classes range from 45 minutes to 55 minutes. Council Member Meacham pointed out that if the classes overlap there could be times throughout the day when as many as 16 cars could be parked in front of her home. Ms. Reynolds agreed but stated that this has not been a concern in the past. Council Member Stanley asked if classes run all day. Ms. Reynolds explained that she teaches two days per week. Classes are taught in groups with a total of six classes taught on Tuesday and three classes on Wednesday. Ms. Reynolds stated that it is her intent to have the law on her side for her sake and for the sake of her neighborhood and patrons.

In response to a question from Mayor Daniels, Director Young explained that the intent of off-street parking would not be for the long-term use of patrons. The driveway could be used by parents who attend class with their children. Council Member LeMone asked staff how this situation compares to a similar home occupation that occurred previously where swimming lessons were taught. Director Young replied that every situation is different. He mentioned that when Ms. Reynolds initially applied for the conditional use permit many of the neighbors spoke on her behalf, indicating that off-street parking has never been an issue with her business.

Mayor Daniels opened the public hearing. There were no public comments. Mayor Daniels closed the public hearing.

Council Member Stanley expressed concern with universally increasing the number of patrons for all home occupations throughout the City. He suggested more narrowly crafting the ordinance language so that the specific needs of Ms. Reynolds' business can be met. Attorney Petersen explained that the reason daycares and nurseries are separated from the rest of the ordinance, is because they are regulated by the State. Therefore, Pleasant Grove's ordinance has to mirror the State requirements. She suggested adding a subsection to the ordinance that specifically deals with music classes and other applicable conditions that the Council sees fit. Attorney Petersen stated that the proposed ordinance was what the Planning Commission came up with as a suggested efficient way to deal with that matter.

Director Young was hesitant to go this route (amending the ordinance proposal in the meeting tonight) because there could be negative consequences without first doing more research. All of the potential situations need to be assessed and the Planning Commission can determine during the conditional use permit process whether the category is being met. Council Member Meacham didn't feel that a separate subsection would necessary so long as time separation in between classes is clearly noted. Director Young stated that this condition would be imposed by the Planning Commission.

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved that the Council adopt an Ordinance (2015-4) amending the City Code Section 10-21-6-A to allow major home occupation businesses to have up to eight patrons at one time; and providing for an effective date. Council Member LeMone seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken. Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Meacham, and Stanley voted "Aye". The motion carried.

**B) PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2015-5)
AMENDING THE CITY CODE SECTION 10-11-D-2-E TO ADD "GENERAL**

STORAGE AND WAREHOUSING" TO THE CONDITIONAL USE LIST IN THE CS-2 (COMMERCIAL SALES-2) ZONE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. CITY WIDE. Presenter: Director Young.

Director Young presented the staff report and stated that the request was brought forward by Julie Smith and her partner Paul Washburn. They are requesting that general storage and warehousing be added as a conditional use to the CS-2 Zone. Based on a recommendation by staff, a condition was added to preserve retail frontage. Director Young explained that the City has a CS (Commercial Sales) Zone and CS-2 Zone, which also allows for limited multi-family development. An aerial map of the CS-2 zones was presented as well as the area being represented by the applicants.

In response to a question from Council Member Meacham, Director Young explained that a 200-foot setback is the approximate current distance from the subject property line to State Street. The idea is to reserve the frontage for retail uses along State Street. Director Young mentioned that the accessibility for large retail may not be as beneficial in particular areas as along the street frontages. Therefore, staff indentified a need to preserve the street frontages for commercial uses and allow larger areas that are setback 200 feet to be used for the type of requests being made by the applicants. The existing storage units were identified at the request of Mayor Daniels and it was noted that they are currently being used.

Attorney Petersen asked if the proposed storage units would be used in addition to the existing units, or if they would replace them. Director Young explained that the current units are old and will be replaced. He further explained that the general warehousing category will include any type of large warehouse or storage units. The Council will need to consider how large of a warehouse they would like to see in the CS-2 zones. Council Members Boyd and Meacham felt this should be better defined. Director Young stated that according to the City's current land use code book, this is the only category that provides for storage units.

Council Member Boyd asked where other storage units in the City are located. Director Young answered that the most recent storage unit development was approved in the General Commercial Zone, which is the broadest commercial zone. That particular property is adjacent to other industrial properties. Director Young explained that oftentimes in other communities, storage units are blended with retail and commercial. Council Member Boyd asked if storage units could potentially be developed next to multi-family housing, which is also a permitted use in the CS-2 Zone. Director Young answered in the affirmative but noted that currently there aren't any proposals or approved projects for multi-family housing in the zone. Mayor Daniels inquired about a piece of land located to the south of the storage units. Director Young noted that the area in question is located in Lindon and houses a lot of junk that will ultimately be removed.

The applicant, Paul Washburn, stated that they have been looking at various ways to develop the property for the past 12 years. They would like to develop an entirely indoor climatized storage facility. Mr. Washburn explained that this style of storage blends in well with its surroundings. Furthermore, they have heightened security, including password and photo identity, which are required for access. Mr. Washburn stated that people need a place to store items of higher value, as well as important paper documents. Additionally, when people leave their homes for extended

periods of time, such as couples who leave to serve LDS missions, they need a place to store their belongings while they are away.

Mr. Washburn was very mindful of constructing a facility that will not have a negative impact on State Street. He acknowledged that there is potential for retail along the frontage and noted that it should only take a few more years to develop that retail. With regard to the existing storage units, Mr. Washburn stated that they plan to demolish them. The new storage facility will not have any outside units. All access to the building will first go through the foyer and for the most part there will be 24-hour security onsite.

Council Member LeMone asked how many units the building will have. Mr. Washburn replied that he doesn't currently have a site plan. The building will likely be between 50,000 and 70,000 square feet and the units will vary in size, with the largest being about 12 x 20 square feet. Staff suggested that rather than moving forward with number 6376 "General Warehousing and Storage", a new number be created, number 6377 "Climatized Indoor Storage Unit Facilities". This will limit the City specifically to Mr. Washburn's type of business. The general consensus was that this would be the best action to take.

Mayor Daniels opened the public hearing. There were no public comments. Mayor Daniels closed the public hearing.

Council Member Boyd expressed concern with the area and felt that more definition should be given considering that multi-family housing is allowed in the same zone. Attorney Petersen pointed out that the uses over which Council Member Boyd had concerns are conditional uses. Other permitted uses were reviewed.

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved that the Council adopt an Ordinance (2015-5) amending the City Code Section 10-11-D-2-E to add Land Use Code 6377 "Climatized Indoor Storage Unit Facilities" to the Conditional Use list in the CS-2 (Commercial Sales-2) zone; and providing for an effective date. Council Member Meacham seconded the motion. A public hearing was held. A voice vote was taken. Council Members Boyd, LeMone, Meacham, and Stanley voted "Aye". The motion carried.

10) DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE FEBRUARY 03, 2015 MEETING

Mayor Daniels reported that interviews were being set up for two neighborhood chairmen. Staff will circulate information on the applicants so that the Council can review their profiles and qualifications prior to the next meeting. If there are concerns, an Executive Session can be called prior to making a decision.

The only action item ready for a vote that was tentatively scheduled for next week pertained to the corporate passes at the Recreation Center. This item had since been moved to a date uncertain. The second item on next week's agenda will be a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to add an appendix to the Public Works Standard Specification Manual. The appendix will be a Storm Water Technical Manual and Best Management Practices, as well as UDOT specifications for untreated water base and granular borrow materials for road repair. City Engineer, Degen Lewis, explained that the City's current specification book has an appendix page that contains the

aforementioned information. Next week will be a review of updates that will be made to the manual. Furthermore, the Council will review granular borrow materials to ensure that the City's specifications process matches that of UDOT's procedures.

Mayor Daniels noted that an Executive Session will be added to next week's agenda to discuss a personnel issue.

11) ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION – NO ACTION TAKEN:

There were no further items for discussion.

12) NEIGHBORHOOD AND STAFF BUSINESS

Mayor Daniels asked staff to prepare candidate biographies for the Mayor and Council to review. Council Member LeMone also requested a copy of the application form so that when the candidates are introduced to the Council, they have a format from which to ask them questions.

Public Works Director, Lynn Walker, announced that Rick Heilbut was recently inducted into the Amateur Softball Association of America (ASA) Hall of Fame. The Council requested that Mr. Heilbut attend the next meeting so that he can be recognized. Director Walker added that he was one of five or six individuals who were selected out of 55,000 people to join the Hall of Fame.

Fire Chief, Dave Thomas, announced that Captain Drew Engemann accepted the Battalion Chief/Fire Marshall position that was previously held by recently appointed Deputy Chief Corey Cluff. Chief Thomas stated that he will introduce all of the promotions once they are complete.

Engineer Lewis reported that he attended the Utah City Engineers Association meeting the previous week. He stated that many useful presentations were given, including two from attorneys. He expressed appreciation for Attorney Petersen's availability in providing the City with legal counsel.

13) MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS

Council Member Stanley stated that he was looking forward to the upcoming City Games. Council Member LeMone reported that she was contacted by Lisa Young informing her that Officer Bryson Lystrop at the high school received the Extra Miler Award, which is given to staff members each month. It was noted that this award has never gone to anyone other than a teacher before. Council Member LeMone also reported that the PG Hope Community Kick-off Meeting took place the previous night. DoTERRA sponsored a room and businesses in the community and other key leaders were introduced to the program. This was expected to get things underway for Greg Hudnall to begin conducting trainings. Council Member LeMone expressed interest in inviting parents to a community meeting at the junior high.

14) SIGNING OF PLATS

There were no plats signed.

15) REVIEW CALENDAR

There were no further calendar items to review.

16) ADJOURN

ACTION: Council Member Meacham moved to adjourn. Council Member Boyd seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at 8:17 p.m.

Minutes of January 27, 2015 meeting were approved by the City Council on February 17, 2015.

Kathy T. Kresser, City Recorder

(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder's office.)