

**Pleasant Grove City
City Council Meeting Minutes
December 8, 2015
6:00 p.m.**

PRESENT:

Mayor: Michael W. Daniels

Council Members: Dianna Andersen
Cindy Boyd
Eric Jensen
Cyd LeMone
Ben Stanley

Staff Present: Scott Darrington, City Administrator
Denise Roy, Finance Director
Kathy Kresser, City Recorder
Deon Giles, Parks and Recreation Director
Tina Petersen, City Attorney
David Larson, Assistant to the City Administrator
Sheri Britsch, Library and Arts Director
Degen Lewis, City Engineer
Marty Beaumont, Public Works Director
Mike Smith, Police Chief
Ken Young, Community Development Director
Dave Thomas, Fire Chief

The City Council and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah.

1) **CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Daniels called the meeting to order and noted that all Council Members were present.

2) **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by City Administrator, Scott Darrington.

3) **OPENING REMARKS**

The opening remarks were given by Council Member LeMone.

4) **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved to approve the agenda. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

5) **OPEN SESSION**

Mayor Daniels opened the open session.

Molly Andrew gave her address as 1125 Sage Drive and stated that she was part of the lockdown on Thursday with 14, three-year-old children. She indicated that she would appreciate training on how to better handle those types of situations. Administrator Darrington commented that as a City they learned a lot from the experience and are grateful that in the end it was just a hoax and not a real threat. As staff, they will review and update lockdown procedures and provide training. Ms. Andrew also suggested including lockdown items in emergency kits.

Council Member Boyd asked if all City Buildings will be considered for the additional training. Administrator Darrington answered in the affirmative. He explained that while the police had their own set of protocols, there was some uncertainty on responses taking place at the other municipal office buildings. Mayor Daniels added that it would be beneficial for the Council and citizens if public safety personnel were to come provide a debriefing on that particular situation in an open forum.

Rebecca Varney gave her address as 1756 North 300 West and thanked public safety personnel for their responsiveness during the lockdown. She stated that it was a terrifying situation and recalled some of the events that took place.

Council Member Andersen briefly commented on the experiences two of her children had during the lockdown.

Mayor Daniels closed the open session.

6) **CONSENT ITEMS**

- a) **City Council Minutes:
City Council Minutes for the November 10, 2015 Meeting.**

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve the consent items. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

7) **BOARD, COMMISSION, COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS**

There were no appointments.

8) PRESENTATIONS

A) KEVIN BISHOFF AND JEFF EDWARDS PRESENTATION OF EDC UTAH PROGRAMS.

Russ Fotheringham identified himself as the Utah County Economic Development Manager with the Economic Development Corporation of Utah (EDC Utah). EDC Utah has both public and private members and many cities in the County are members. It was noted that Pleasant Grove is not a member.

City Administrator, Scott Darrington, mentioned that staff has spoken with EDC Utah in the past about membership in the organization and there is a cost to the City. In the past, they have chosen not to participate; however, recently some Council Members attended a function and decided that it would be worthwhile to take a look at joining the organization.

Kevin Bishoff introduced himself as the Director of Membership for EDC Utah. He stated that the organization was founded in 1987 as a way of addressing a problem that was occurring in Utah. The issue at the time was that there weren't any coordinated economic development efforts statewide. There were six major Utah companies, namely Zions Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, Century Link, Questar, Rocky Mountain Power and Larry H. Miller Companies that funded the founding of EDC Utah. EDC Utah is an independent, non-profit entity, non-state agency; however, they have a contract to do economic development for the Governor's Office of Economic Development (GOED).

EDC Utah was developed for three purposes; first, to bring businesses and jobs to Utah. Some businesses that relocated and added thousands of new jobs to Utah included Adobe, Ebay, and Goldman Sachs. EDC Utah has a group of business development managers that work with these companies to address their needs. GOED works with the companies to create tax incentives, including rebates for creating a certain number of jobs within a specific time frame. Mr. Bishoff stated that EDC Utah is the first stop for economic development in the State of Utah.

Secondly, EDC Utah helps existing local companies expand from the original idea to a ribbon cutting. Third, EDC Utah is member-based organization comprised of cities, counties, corporations, and smaller companies throughout the State. If cities need money for economic development, EDC Utah can provide grants, through which they have given over \$1 million. The organization has a fully staffed Research Department that can provide members with any kind of research they need, including economic impact modeling studies, which can determine how certain companies would affect Pleasant Grove's tax base. EDC Utah also connects members to an extensive network, and every city that joins is typically represented by their Mayor on EDC Utah's Board. The time was turned over to Scott Harbison.

Mr. Harbison explained that while was serving as a Mayor, he was involved with the Station Park project, and EDC Utah was an instrumental resource during that time. He spoke about the annual International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC) Conference, with which EDC Utah allows their city members to participate in. It was through this conference that Mr. Harbison was able to find CenterCal Properties, the developer of Station Park.

Russ Fotheringham commented that changes have occurred in the way economic development is taking place in Utah. All leads are funneled through EDC Utah, which are then turned into projects that are sent out to all cities, regardless of whether they are members. DoTERRA is one such example that came through EDC Utah when they wanted to expand. Mr. Fotheringham commented that the companies who come to Utah do a tremendous amount of homework before notifying EDC Utah of their interest in the State. He meets regularly with Orem, Provo, Saratoga Springs, Eagle Mountain, etc., which has become critical when communicating with companies.

Council Member Jensen inquired about a statement Mr. Fotheringham made regarding four new upcoming projects. Mr. Fotheringham noted that the projects in question will be statewide, and not just within Utah County. He further clarified how communications take place when connecting businesses to project locations. Council Member LeMone asked about the annual membership cost. Mr. Bishoff explained that the fee for cities and counties is based on a formula dealing with population and tax revenue. According to the formula, Pleasant Grove's fees would be \$8,900 per year.

Council Member Stanley commented that he and Council Member Andersen recently attended an EDC Utah event and noted that it was very worthwhile. He asked if City members change their membership status over time as development occurs. Mr. Bishoff noted that Provo and Orem, for example, are almost completely built out. The process of determining project locations was described based on the needs and intentions of each company.

Mr. Fotheringham gave an example of a company that recently requested to relocate to Payson, because it is a prime site for meeting their shipping needs, which are often to Arizona. Although Pleasant Grove is a non-member they wouldn't necessarily be excluded from communications regarding potential project locations. EDC Utah typically turns to their city members first because they are the most up-to-date on what is happening in their member cities. Essentially, member cities are the first to hear about upcoming projects and can better advocate for economic development opportunities within their city. Additional overview was provided on items previously discussed during the presentation. Administrator Darrington indicated that in his opinion, joining EDC Utah would be a worthy investment on the City's part.

9) **ACTION ITEMS WITH PUBLIC DISCUSSION**

- A) PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENTS ON THE FISCAL YEAR 2015/2016 BUDGET AMENDMENTS. THE PLEASANT GROVE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BUDGET IS INCLUDED IN THE FINAL BUDGET. CITY WIDE. A copy of the proposed budget amendment is available at the Recorder's Office, 70 South 100 East, the Library, 30 East Center, and Community Development, 86 East 100 South. *Presenter: Director Roy.***

Administrator Darrington explained that in the transition between Financial Directors, budget amendments have been posted in the aforementioned locations, as well as on the website, 10 days before the public hearing. However, for these particular amendments, staff was unable to post them 10 days before tonight's meeting. They received one request earlier in the day to review the amendments, which were sent to the resident making the request a few hours before the meeting.

It was noted that the Council may or may not decide whether to hold off on making a decision until a later date.

Finance Director, Denise Roy, presented 29 line items for budget amendments. The first seven were grants that received for various department needs. The largest line items are General Capital Improvement Project (CIP) Carryovers from 2015 into 2016, which are projects that are still incomplete. Two CIPs include Shannon Fields and funding for a property purchase. Mayor Daniels added that in the past, these types of changes have taken place and by law need to be reflected in an amended budget. Budget amendments typically take place about three times a year.

Mayor Daniels opened the public hearing. There were no public comments. The public hearing was closed.

Council Member Jensen asked if the Technology Grant was the RFID. Library and Arts Director, Sheri Britsch, answered in the affirmative. Other line items were briefly discussed. In response to a question from Council Member Stanley, Director Roy explained that in Provo grants of \$100,000 or less came back as resolutions rather than budget amendments. The CIP Carryover process is similar in Provo. Additionally, they also presented the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) to the Council for approval after completion of the audit. Property purchases came forward as a budget amendment to the Council prior to any action taking place. Items that took place as resolutions came forward as quarterly reports, which saved time.

Council Member Stanley suggested continuing the public hearing, considering the fact that the Council received the budget amendments earlier in the day. Typically, the City gives a 10-day public notice for similar items, something that Attorney Petersen clarified is the City's preferred course of action rather than a mandate according to State law. Mayor Daniels commented that many of the budget amendments presented are projects that have been discussed throughout the year. Some of the related expenditures were authorized in previous Council actions. Council Member Stanley recognized many of the transactions because there has already been a significant amount of scrutiny associated with each of the projects. He simply wanted to make sure that as a City they are meeting their legal obligations with the public noticing period. There was continued deliberation on the matter as well as a review of the remaining line items.

Council Member LeMone asked City Engineer, Degen Lewis, to provide updates on the Murdock Canal street lights. Engineer Lewis explained that they didn't order the lights because the trail is closed at night. If the Council decides that they want to continue the project, there is funding available. The General Fund and Enterprise Funds were identified. It was noted that General Funds can be used anywhere.

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved that the Council continue a public hearing to receive comments on the Fiscal Year 2015/2016 budget amendments, to a date certain of December 15, 2015. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

10) **ACTION ITEMS WITH READY FOR VOTE**

- A) TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A RESOLUTION (2015-041) ADJUSTING AND AMENDING THE REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR 2014/2015. THE PLEASANT GROVE CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY (RDA) BUDGET IS INCLUDED IN THE FINAL BUDGET; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. *Presenter: Director Roy.***

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved that the Council continue the adoption of a Resolution (2015-041) adjusting and amending the Revenues and Expenditures for the year 2014/2015, to a date certain of December 15, 2015. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

- B) TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION AN ORDINANCE (2015-49) SETTING THE TIME AND PLACE OF THE REGULAR MEETINGS OF THE CITY COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE YEAR 2016. *Presenter: Administrator Darrington.***

Administrator Darrington stated that the above item was briefly discussed the previous week. Staff recommended that in 2016 the Council hold two Council Meetings per month on the first and third Tuesdays. Staff also suggested that Work Sessions be scheduled on an as-needed basis. The Council requested that at least one Work Session be scheduled each month for a total of three monthly meetings. A schedule of next year's meetings was presented for the following groups: City Council, Planning Commission, Arts Commission, Beautification Commission, Board of Adjustment, Design Review Board, Historical Preservation Commission, Library Board, Metropolitan Water District, Municipal Building Authority, Neighborhood Advisory Board, and Redevelopment Agency. City Council Work Session Meetings will be held the second Tuesday of every month, with the exception of February, June and November, due to the Budget Retreat, Strawberry Days and General Election, respectively. Joint City Council and Planning Commission Meetings are scheduled in March, May, August and November.

Council Member Stanley wanted to make sure that the public remains involved as much as possible. Council Member LeMone commented that the public will have the opportunity to address the Council at two other monthly meetings. Mayor Daniels added that there will be further discussion on the item during Item 11B. Administrator Darrington described the meeting format of Work Sessions, which take place between staff and Council. While the public is invited to attend, public comments are not received and no formal action is taken.

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved that the Council adopt an Ordinance (2015-49) setting the time and place of the regular meetings of the City Council, Boards, Commissions and Committee meetings for the year 2016. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken with Council Members Jensen, Stanley, LeMone, Boyd and Andersen voting "Aye". The motion carried.

C) TO CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION A RESOLUTION (2015-042) AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN AN OVERHEAD POWER EASEMENT AGREEMENT WITH ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR THE BLUE ENERGY POWER PLANT LOCATED AT THE MOUTH OF BATTLE CREEK CANYON. *Presenter: Engineer Lewis.*

Engineer Lewis identified a new building that houses a new generator. The generator is designed to fit a three phase power system, because originally Rocky Mountain Power planned to have the line go underground; however, the City already has several underground utilities in the area. Therefore, it was determined that the line will go overhead, in a single phase wire between two poles. Council Member Andersen asked if there is any landscaping around the energy plant. Engineer Lewis noted that there isn't one at this point. There is a long term need for the property to look attractive, as well as a water tank that will need to be replaced. Engineer Lewis explained that staff identified a location for the plant that will be out of the way to avoid any potential conflicts.

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved that the Council adopt a Resolution (2015-042) authorizing the Mayor to sign an overhead power easement agreement with Rocky Mountain Power for the Blue Energy Power Plant located at the mouth of Battle Creek Canyon. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken with Council Members Andersen, LeMone, Jensen, Boyd and Stanley voting "Aye". The motion passed unanimously.

11) ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

A) DISCUSSION ON THE PROMENADE. *Presenter: Assistant Larson.*

Assistant to the City Administrator, David Larson, stated that he has been involved with the Promenade for the past four years. While there have been several changes made along the way, the core of the Promenade remains the same. Assistant Larson provided an overview of some of the trending thoughts and expressions that have been made from the public. He stated that the purpose of tonight's discussion is to gather feedback on changes that need to be made to the event.

Minor adjustments that will be made include starting the Promenade at 6:00 p.m. rather than 5:00 p.m., which will allow more of a buffer between regular business working hours. Secondly, the Promenade will be condensed to July and August only, rather than June through September. Assistant Larson explained that it is difficult to launch the Promenade so quickly after Strawberry Days, and participation drops off after school starts in the fall. This will create an increased focus on marketing and advertising, many of which can take place via free social media avenues.

Assistant Larson requested feedback from the Council on whether staff should pursue rebranding of the Promenade. The numbers have begun to decrease and customers are starting to exude the "been there, done that" attitude toward the event, because it isn't changing and growing. Assistant Larson explained that while the structure of the Promenade is still solid, the messaging around it has lost some appeal. He suggested purchasing new banners and creating a new logo. He presented some of the marketing that is currently being used.

Council Member Jensen mentioned that Culinary Crafts does their own event on Saturday mornings. Assistant Larson noted that their event is separate from the Promenade. He also explained that the Promenade is not a Farmers Market and noted that Provo holds a Farmers Market every Thursday night. In response to a question from Council Member LeMone, Assistant Larson stated that Melissa Carbajal will still be on board with the Promenade this year and is assisting in the gathering of feedback and the transition to some of the forthcoming changes. She is, however, planning to move next summer.

Council Member Boyd commented that the buying power isn't as strong because of the quality of goods. She suggested marketing for higher quality vendors, in addition to the number of consumers. Additionally, she suggested moving the Promenade downtown rather than holding it in the park, which could encourage other businesses to participate. Assistant Larson agreed that the makeup of the downtown area has changed in recent years and that there could be greater collaboration with those businesses. Council Member Stanley agreed and stated that rebranding could be a good idea. Assistant Larson indicated that it would cost around \$1,500 to move forward with rebranding. Council Members Jensen and LeMone were also supportive of rebranding. It was noted that non-food booths cost \$12 and food booths cost \$15.

Mayor Daniels asked if the Promenade has achieved the original goal for which it was created. If not, he asked Assistant Larson to define that goal. Assistant Larson explained that at the time the Promenade was originally created, Richard Bradford was involved. The original goal was to bring people downtown and provide a community event during the summer. The entertainment started out as ancillary to the vendors; however, over time the focus shifted more to the concept of an entertainment and community gathering. Assistant Larson mentioned that there is one business that started out as vendor at the Promenade as a secondary family-owned business, and they have since expanded to at least three full-time food trucks. In the time Assistant Larson has been involved with the Promenade, he has found that his focus has mostly been as a community gathering where families can linger in the park. He shared some of his most cherished memories of the Promenade in past years.

Council Member Boyd remarked that another goal when the Promenade was started was to market the downtown businesses. She reiterated that it is vital to get the businesses to buy into the Promenade in order for it to be successful. The food truck business came later. Council Member Andersen emphasized the importance of creating a destination, and listed some of the community events her family enjoys attending. She provided an overview of food truck businesses coming to Pleasant Grove and stated that it is important for the Council to identify what they are seeking to accomplish with the Promenade. Personally, she loved the entertainment aspect of the Promenade in recent years.

Mayor Daniels commented that the Promenade either needs to be refocused back to its original purpose of revitalizing downtown, or done away with altogether. Council Member LeMone asked where Assistant Larson pulled some of the feedback that he has received, to which he responded that most of it has been pulled through social media. Council Member LeMone suggested reengaging the Downtown Advisory Board on the matter. Administrator Darrington responded that they could alternatively involve the downtown business owners, and was of the opinion that this issue could be reviewed for the next couple of months prior to making a decision. Mayor

Daniels stated that efforts to engage the downtown business owners should fall under the direction of the Chamber of Commerce. He argued that the City doesn't create business; businesses create business. There was further deliberation on the matter.

B) DISCUSSION ON THE CITY COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE POLICY.

Presenter: Administrator Darrington.

Administrator Darrington stated that the City Council Rules of Procedure Policy was adopted in 2011, and is followed on a regular basis. He read the following statement from Section 6.3:

Preservation of Order: The Mayor shall preserve order and decorum; prevent attacks on personalities or the impugning of members motives; and confine debate to the question under discussion.

In other words, the Mayor runs the meetings and works through the agenda as it is written and approved. If the Mayor is not present, the Mayor Pro-Tem is charged with this responsibility. Administrator Darrington suggested that a review of this document be included with new member orientation. He then read the following statement from Section 6.5:

Time Limits: The Mayor shall have the power to set reasonable time limits on input and discussion, ensuring that all Council Members have the opportunity to participate and be heard. When the time limit expires, the City Council may vote to extend the time limit or to take some action on the item.

While this particular section may apply to the public, it can also be applied to discussions taking place among Council Members as well. City Attorney, Tina Petersen, commented that some cities assign a certain amount of time for which an item will be discussed during the meeting, and expanding those time limit requires a motion by the Council. The time limits for each item are determined by staff input. Council Member LeMone commented that it may be too difficult to assign specific time limits to each agenda item. Administrator Darrington read from Section 10 pertaining to Public Hearings:

10.1 Purpose: The purpose of public hearings is to provide public input to the City Council on issues on a specific day and time in order for the City Council to be better informed prior to making a decision on the issue.

10.2 Time Limited: The Mayor shall determine a reasonable amount of time for each person to address the City Council.

Administrator Darrington made mention of a public hearing that took place at the Fire Station where over 90 people spoke. The Public Hearing lasted almost four hours and could have lasted even longer had the Mayor not mandated a time limit on comments. Time limits are set at the discretion of the Mayor. Mayor Daniels remarked that the process has changed over the years to allow more public input, and it has been valuable in the decision making process. He asked if there is any way they can still receive input while remaining within a reasonable time frame, such as implementing a time clock.

Council Member Andersen stated that Orem has a time clock, which is used during the open session and public hearings. Council Member Jensen recommended Pleasant Grove follow Orem's example by implementing a time clock as well and set a time limit of three minutes on all public comments.

Council Member Stanley liked the way the policy is written, in that the Mayor can set a time limit at his discretion. He expressed concern that there would be a perception from the public that they would feel restricted if a time clock were implemented.

Council Member LeMone remarked that holding meetings until 11:00 or 11:30 at night is a disservice, as well as allowing the same individuals to get up for 10 minutes at a time or multiple times on the same subject. She felt they owe it to the others on the agenda to give a time clock a try, for a limit that is deemed appropriate by the Mayor. A time clock could help meetings be more efficient. In Orem, members of the public who wish to speak on a particular item are advised to sign in, thereby allowing more people the opportunity to address the Council. Council Member LeMone felt that the policy needs to be written so that respect is not lost throughout the meeting, as well as language addressing audience members that speak out from the audience when they are not at the podium.

Council Member Boyd agreed with some of the comments been made by Council Members LeMone and Jensen. She explained that when she was on the Planning Commission, at the beginning of each item they respectfully asked members of the public to keep their comments to under two or three minutes. She did not feel that this limited anyone from expressing their opinions. Council Member Jensen and Mayor Daniels mentioned that there is a signup sheet for large ticket items. Council Member Jensen agreed with Council Member Boyd that people do not feel slighted when given a time limit. Administrator Darrington read Sections 10.3 through 10.6:

Order of Comment: The City Council shall first be addressed by the appropriate Staff member who will be present and discuss with the City Council the issue at hand. Next if there is an applicant, the applicant shall discuss with the City Council the issue at hand and present additional or more specific information. Finally, any person desiring to address the City Council shall have written their name on the signup sheet provided by the City for that specific public hearing and shall be recognized to speak to the City Council by the Mayor. The Council Members may request clarification on comments from any speaker, and the speaker shall be allowed to respond.

10.4 Procedures:

- a. The Mayor shall conduct all public hearings and should review the rules for public hearing prior to comment.*
- b. The Mayor should courteously limit repetition and retain flexibility for additional comments but only on new information.*

- c. *Under the discretion and the authority of the Mayor the public hearing shall be closed after hearing the public comment unless the City Council wishes to continue the hearing to another specific date and time. No further remarks by the public will be heard once the hearing is closed unless specifically requested by the City Council.*
- d. *The City Council may continue a public hearing or continue City Council consideration to another specific date and time.*
- e. *Upon completion of the public hearing, the City Council may discuss and take appropriate action on the issue during the scheduled items portion of the meeting.*

10.5 Manner of Addressing the City Council: Each person addressing the City Council shall come forward and shall state their name and address of residence in an audible tone of voice for the record. All remarks shall be addressed to the City Council as a body, and not to any specific member(s) thereof.

10.6 Questions from Council Members: The Council Members may request clarification or additional input from the Staff, applicants, or the general public through the Mayor during the scheduled items portion of the meeting.

City Recorder, Kathy Kresser, commented that they used to have a signup sheet for anyone wishing to comment during the meeting. Mayor Daniels explained that the reason this was brought forward for review was because of volatility and mutual disrespect that has surfaced over certain conflicts. He spoke of the importance of speaking to the subject and addressing the group rather than allowing one-on-one disagreements to brew. As a community, they will go a long way if everyone who participates decides beforehand to have respectful discussions. Furthermore, formulating thoughts and expressing them in a non-emotional way, takes practice. Mayor Daniels stated that it is his responsibility as Mayor to carry out this policy as it is written. It is entirely up to the Council what this policy will entail, and it is their prerogative to make changes they deem necessary. Council Member Stanley acknowledged that Mayor Daniels has a difficult job and complimented him for his ability to regulate meetings.

Staff and the Council discussed when and how to move forward on this item. Mayor Daniels stated that whatever is implemented should be in the best interest of the public. Administrator Darrington remarked that the genesis of the document is the Utah League of Cities and Towns. The Council further deliberated on points brought up throughout the discussion. They collectively decided to limit comments to three minutes, and leave whether or not to have a signup sheet as a discretion item based on the subject matter.

Mayor Daniels remarked that the other issues that have been discussed are subjective and are not metric driven. Council Member LeMone asked how situations where people are shouting from the audience will be handled. Mayor Daniels responded that those situations will be addressed by whoever is occupying the Mayor's position. Concerns from the Council should be directed to the Mayor. Attorney Petersen added that in the past, the Mayor has reviewed the rules of public

hearings, which include avoiding repetitive comments. Mayor Daniels suggested publishing the rules on the agenda which is publicly noticed.

Council Members LeMone, Jensen and Boyd were supportive of the policy indicating that a person may only comment once per public hearing during any given meeting, whereas Council Members Andersen and Stanley preferred the way the policy is currently written with regard to public hearings. Council Member LeMone remarked that something has to change so that these meetings are more respectful and efficient. If the proposed changes are unsuccessful, they can readdress the matter.

12) DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE DECEMBER 15, 2015 MEETING

Mayor Daniels briefly reviewed the items on next week's agenda, as well as the items that were continued from tonight that will also be discussed next week.

13) NEIGHBORHOOD AND STAFF BUSINESS

Staff shared various updates pertaining to their respective departments. Assistant Larson remarked that the Hometown Heroes event was a success. Public Works Director, Marty Beaumont, commented that sometime in the near future he would like to discuss the City's water situation, and how the City measures up overall to the State of Utah. It will be especially important to have the discussions with the new Council Members as well.

Police Chief, Mike Smith, read an email from a Coach at Pleasant Grove High School, regarding the recent lockdown. In the email, Officer Paul Rogerson and his team, were specifically commended for the way they conducted themselves. Chief Smith added that it was a team effort between Public Safety Personnel and the teachers in the school to get through that situation as smoothly as possible.

Library and Arts Director, Sheri Britsch, reported that they recently had to return some furniture, and noted that they had help from several other departments in loading it onto the truck. She invited those in attendance to walk over to the Library to see other changes that have recently been made. Administrator Darrington announced that next year's Budget Retreat will be held on February 5th and 6th at Fox Hollow.

Attorney Petersen noted that Verizon Wireless was removed from tonight's agenda, and will need to be addressed at a future date. Engineer Lewis provided updates on Lantana land donation. The legal description had been written, including their desire to split the donation over two tax years. The legal description includes the value of each parcel. Further action was not needed provided that the Council doesn't have any other concerns. Last, Engineer Lewis reported that they closed on the Cook property earlier in the day.

14) MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS

Various comments and updates were provided by Members of Council. Council Member Jensen expressed that it was a privilege to work with Hometown Heroes. Council Member Andersen

commented that her father was a Hospital Administrator in the Air Force over Mobile Units, and he invented mock disasters in the 1950s. She commented that while many people are upset over a lie that precipitated the recent lockdown, she hoped it would not affect how the City responds to potential threats in the future. Chief Smith commented that the department took action as soon as the incident was reported. Council Member Stanley also remarked that Hometown Heroes was a huge success. It was noted that staff and the Council will have a party on December 22. Council Member LeMone made additional remarks pertaining to last week's lockdown. Mayor Daniels concluded that next week will be Council Member Boyd's last Meeting as a Council Member.

15) SIGNING OF PLATS

No plats were signed.

16) REVIEW CALENDAR

No additional calendar items were reviewed.

17) ADJOURN

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to adjourn. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at 9:43 p.m.

Minutes of December 8, 2015 were approved by the City Council on January 5, 2016.

Kathy T. Kresser, City Recorder, MMC

(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder's office.)