

Road Funding History

2011- JUB does road analysis on each PG road. Assigns a Pavement Condition Index to each road (PCI).

1-27-12

Discussed in budget retreat the need for more road funding. Initial discussion on increasing the franchise fee and earmarking money for roads.

2-15-13

Discussed in annual budget retreat increasing franchise fee to 6% as allowed by State Law. Additional revenue generated was \$195,000 to be earmarked specifically for roads.

8-14-13

Franchise fee increased through the yearly budgeting process and adoption of FY14 budget.

2-7-14

**Discussed in annual budget retreat further road funding. Options discussed:
Bonding- Not preferred
Road Fee- Look at Provo model
Use of General Fund monies- No conclusions**

Summer 2014

Engaged JUB to do a study on the costs of road improvement over the next 10 years.

1-27-15

JUB presented a study on how much money is needed to maintain our roads at where they are currently at over 10 years.

JUB was asked to create a 20 year plan to get roads to a 70 PCI or higher and present it back to the city council.

3-10-15

JUB presented updated study which estimated roughly \$4M a year needs over 20 years to get everything to a 70 PCI.

March 2015

State Legislature adopts two bills to help with City road funding.

Gas Tax Bill- Gas tax is increased in which will bring in an estimated \$180K annually

HB 362- Allows each county to propose a ballot question allowing for the county to raise sales tax .25% with part of the new revenue going to cities for road funding

Summer 2015

City engages on a road fee study following the Provo model by LYRB

12-1-15

3 Year Coordinated Road and Utilities plan unveiled. Intent is to update yearly with the new roads and utilities that will need to be done provided adequate funding.

November 2015

Residents of Utah County don't pass Proposition 1 which would have created extra funding to cities for roads.

1-19-16

Road Fee Study presented to the City Council from LYRB

2-5-16

At annual budget retreat, City Council reviews the road fee study in depth. They request a few tweaks to the study.

3-8-16

LYRB makes the changes requested and presents their findings. The study breaks down the user fee for residential and commercial users.

City Council also requests that staff review the current budget to see what additional funding could be ascertained for roads.

4-12-16

Staff presents \$129,620 in a combination of new and old money that could be used for road maintenance without affecting services.

First round of roads scenarios presented to the City Council with various variables discussed:

Old Money

New Money

Fee for Residential

Fee for Commercial

Number of years to get to \$3.8M

May 2016

Flyer mailed to each resident and commercial utility account that shows the consideration for the City to generate \$1M in monies through a road fee with the residential fee being \$4.90 per month and the commercial fee being \$60.20 per month. This was in conjunction with the budget public hearing coming up on June 7th.

6-7-16

Had public hearing on the budget which included discussion of a potential road fee. 18 people commented on road funding during that hearing.

Discussed exemptions and creating a Transportation Utility Fund (TUF).

6-21-16

Had further discussion on exemptions and the TUF.

1-27-17

At annual budget retreat, Staff recaps past funding discussion.

Discussed the \$2.3M funding gap

2-28-17

Staff discusses 3 options of funding for roads:

- 1. Property Tax increase**
- 2. Budget reduction**
- 3. Road fee**

Reviewed 9 different scenarios on a road fee.

Discussed the use of new money for roads.

3-7-17

Citizen Initiative is presented to the City Council. Initiative creates an ordinance that requires the City to fund roads at \$2.625M.

Citizen Initiative Process is reviewed by the City Council.

City Council reviews road fee funding scenarios and gives Staff feedback on changes.

3-14-17

Discussed road funding with other general fund needs regarding new money.

3-21-17

**Discussion on impact of general fund services in conjunction with the Initiative.
Discuss on the creation of the fiscal note and summary required by State Law.**

4-11-17

**Discussed implementation of an updated street cut fee with new revenues
being earmarked for road maintenance.**

Reviewed funding scenarios.

Discussed using \$100K of new money earmarked for roads.

4-18-17

**Discussed a public hearing on a road fee and further budget review by City
Council of current budget.**

5-2-17

Discussed timeline for budget review by the City Council.

5-9-17

Updated the 3 Year Road and Utilities Plan

6-20-17

Adopted FY18 budget with \$100K of new money for roads.

**Mayor Daniels recommends to the City Council to postpone the in-depth budget
review until after the November elections. Wanted to let the citizens decide on
the Initiative and then have the City act accordingly after the November
decision with the new elected officials.**

Majority of city council tables the discussion until after the November elections.